

Topic paper 2 – Strategic Industrial Land (SIL)

Additional questions to the Council.

I have your comments on conformity with the London Plan. Answers to the following points should be made without reference to conformity. They relate to matters of background/justification for the inclusion of part of OIS7 as SIL.

You have indicated that the loss of SIL to the north of OIS7 needs to be compensated by the designation of the land to the south. This implies that there is a critical mass of SIL necessary to ensure that the strategic role of SIL in Greenford is maintained and that the OIS7 proposed SIL is essential to meet this objective.

My questions are: Can you tell me how you arrived at this position? For example, is there an analysis of the sufficiency of SIL in the Greenford area, and, is there an indication of risk to its strategic role without the inclusion of OIS7 proposed SIL? Is there an analysis of how much SIL is necessary (i.e. the critical mass) in this area to ensure that it remains a strategic resource for London?

I only require answers to these specific points.

Christine Thorby
14/08/2013