1. Does the Core Strategy make appropriate provision for the effective delivery?
1.1 The issues to be addressed in this hearing statement are:

1. Are all the changes in the Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004 referred to in the CS and is the remainder of the Proposals still relevant in the light of the CS?
2. Map 1 - there are two different colours of diamonds but only one is identified in the Legend.
3. Map 1 – the route of Crossrail 1 has been identified and is anticipated for completion between 2013 and 2020 and funded. Surely therefore the proposed route should be included in Map 1.
4. Is the Grand Union Canal and associated mooring/access identified on the CS maps so that improved usage can be effectively directed?
5. Whether the Proposals Maps and Inset Maps are correct and effective in implementing the CS development proposals and policies.

2. **Atlas of Proposed Changes**

1. Are all the changes in the Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004 referred to in the CS and is the remainder of the Proposals still relevant in the light of the CS?

2.1 All of the changes in the Atlas of Proposed Changes are referred to in the CS. We consider that the remainder of the Proposals are still relevant in light of the CS.

2.2 Following the submission of the Development Strategy in July, a handful of additional mapping changes have been identified. These changes are detailed in Appendix 1. These are largely minor in nature, and or are intended to provide consistency with the regional context.

3. **Map 1 Legend**

2. Map 1 - there are two different colours of diamonds but only one is identified in the Legend.
3.1 Further clarification may be needed. Only one style of diamond is illustrated on the map (in light blue to denote Strategic Industrial locations). A dark blue square is used to denote Town Centres.

4 Map 1 CrossRail

3. Map 1 – the route of Crossrail 1 has been identified and is anticipated for completion between 2013 and 2020 and funded. Surely therefore the proposed route should be included in Map 1.

4.1 The route of CrossRail is identified on Map 1 but this may not be clear as it coincides with the Great Western route to Bristol and the South West. If preferred, this could be annotated in the same way as Thameslink.

5 Grand Union Canal

4. Is the Grand Union Canal and associated mooring/access identified on the CS maps so that improved usage can be effectively directed?

5.1 The Grand Union Canal is identified on the Key Diagram and other maps where relevant as a component of the Blue Ribbon Network. However it is not considered practical to identify mooring/access points given the scale used for CS maps and their diagrammatic nature.

6 Effectiveness

5. Whether the Proposals Maps and Inset Maps are correct and effective in implementing the CS development proposals and policies.

6.1 We consider the Proposals Maps and Inset Maps to be correct and effective in implementing development proposals and policies.
## Appendices

### Appendix 1 - Additional Mapping Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy/Paragraph</th>
<th>Suggested Change</th>
<th>Reason For Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the Proposals Map/Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces</td>
<td>Amend MOL boundary, removing properties at Billets Hart Close and land adjoining no. 2 Fox Villas. Re-designate as ‘Residential Area’.</td>
<td>To update the proposals map following the implementation of the residential development at Billets Hart Close.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the Proposals Map/Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces</td>
<td>Amend MOL boundary of Ealing Green removing the forecourt area of ‘Flavas’ and footpath on the north side of Ealing Green.</td>
<td>To correct a cartographical error on the 2004 UDP Proposals Map.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the Proposals Map/Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces</td>
<td>Further revisions to Community Open Space boundary for the South Acton Allotments to reflect the actual boundaries of the consented scheme.</td>
<td>To reflect the actual boundaries of the outline consent for the South Acton Estate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the Proposals Map/Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces</td>
<td>Popefield Playing Fields – Re-designate the whole site as Community Open Space.</td>
<td>To reflect the current use of the site as both allotments and as a sports ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the Proposals Map/Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces</td>
<td>Map 8 to be amended to include a different notation for the section of the A40 Green Corridor east of Canada Crescent to the borough boundary.</td>
<td>To differentiate between that section of the Green Corridor which has yet to be implemented/delivered, and the wider network. Please also see proposed supporting text to policy 5.3, detailed in LPA 9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces</td>
<td>Amend Map 8 to include Brentham Sports Ground and Old Actonians Sports Ground as Strategic Outdoor Sports sites</td>
<td>Both sites satisfy the definition of a Strategic Site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the Proposals Map Vol. 1/Map 2 – Key Diagram</td>
<td>Remove maps 7 (Glade Lane), 10 (Rockware Avenue) and 11 (Southern Gateway) from Vol. 1 ‘Atlas of Proposed Changes’. Remove Special Opportunity Site notification from Map 2 ‘Key Diagram’.</td>
<td>In light of the proposed change to delete the Special Opportunity Site designation as detailed in LPA 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas of Changes to the</td>
<td>Remove map 9 ‘Middlesex Business Centre’ from</td>
<td>See response to representation 657 in EAL12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy/Paragraph</strong></td>
<td><strong>Suggested Change</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reason For Change</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 10 – New and Expanded Infrastructure</td>
<td>Amend map 10 to identify the Acton Storm Tanks serving the Thames Tideway Tunnel project.</td>
<td>To recognise this infrastructure project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 10 – New and Expanded Infrastructure</td>
<td>Amend map 10 to correctly plot the Mattock Lane Health Centre (Primary Care Facility)</td>
<td>To correct a simple factual error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 12 – PTAL in Ealing</td>
<td>Update map 12 with latest PTAL map.</td>
<td>To reflect the latest data with regard to public transport accessibility levels in Ealing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addendum to Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004
Removal of Metropolitan Open Land designation from Billets Hart Close
Addendum to Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004
Removal of Metropolitan Open Land designation – Footpath north side of Ealing Green
Addendum to Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004
Boundary correction to community open space designation – South Acton Allotments (Map 17)
Addendum to Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004
Re-designation of whole site as Community Open Space – Popefield Playing Fields (Map 108)
Map 8 – Green and Open Spaces in Ealing (Revised) denoting new Acton Green Corridor Policy Area
Addendum to Atlas of Proposed Changes to the Adopted UDP Proposals Map 2004 denoting the extent of the Acton Green Corridor Policy Area
Map 10 – New and Expanded Infrastructure (Revised)
Map 11: Strategic Diagram for London
(Source: London Plan 2011)
Map 12: Public Transport Accessibility Levels in Ealing (from London Plan)
(Source: Transport for London 2010)