

Mr Alan Scott
Department for Communities
and Local Government
National Planning Policy Framework,
Zone 1/H6
Eland House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU

Ealing Council
Perceval House
14-16 Uxbridge Road
London W5 2HL

t 020 8825 5000

Your ref	My ref	Extension	Date
NPPF	NPPF 13.10.11	8056	17th October 2011

Dear Mr Scott,

NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

I am Ealing Council's Planning Policy Manager and therefore have lead responsibility for the matters relating to strategic planning and the Local Development Framework. I am writing to present a view of behalf of Ealing Council officers on the draft NPPF.

We recognise that the draft NPPF has generated considerable debate, controversy and interest and that you are likely to receive a great many representations. Therefore, we have preferred to feed through more detailed comments and analysis as part of the institutional responses made in the name of:

- The Planning Officers Society (POS) London - who have tabled a covering letter and a revised version of the draft NPPF with track changes and
- The Association of London Borough Planning Officers (ALBPO) - that includes representatives from each of the London boroughs and the City of London.

For the avoidance of doubt, we attach their comments for information.

POS London represents Chief Planning Officers across London responsible for delivering spatial planning, development management and all other aspects of the planning system in the capital. POS also have a vibrant network of spatial planning and development management specialists known as the Association of London Borough Planning Officers representing the Heads of Service in those areas.

Ealing Council officers attend and serve on POS's national Spatial Planning and Policy Committee, POS London meetings and ALBPO meetings (including both the Development Planning and Development Management Committees).

We wish to highlight the following key points:

1. General Observations

- We welcome the direction that the Government has taken in reducing the amount of guidance and level of prescription in national planning policy. This is a progressive step, as much of the previous guidance was too detailed, stifled creativity and the ability of local authorities to plan positively with their communities to respond to the needs of their area. This approach also accords with our own council's approach in seeking to produce a Development (or Core) Strategy with a more concise and clear narrative structure that is easier to comprehend and is therefore more accessible to a wider audience.
- We also understand and generally support the government's wish to promote growth and development.
- However, we are concerned that parts of the draft NPPF need fine-tuning and better internal consistency. There is also a need for greater clarity around some key policy areas. As currently drafted we are concerned that the draft NPPF could slow down and not speed up the planning system. We hope that you will therefore welcome the changes proposed by POS London.
- There is no reference to spatial planning and this is a serious omission. Worse, the expectation that LPAs approve all development on which their plan is silent will have the unintended consequence of encouraging authorities to try and draft plans that seek to anticipate every eventuality in detail. This could lead to longer, not shorter plans and will slow down the process of plan making.
- Crucially, there is no recognition of the unique statutory and administrative circumstances of London and this needs to be urgently addressed. As a world-class city it is only appropriate that there a strategic planning framework for the capital should be retained and this means that Ealing, as a London borough, must produce local plans that are in general conformity with the London Plan.
- There is no definition of what constitutes a "local plan" and this should be included in the glossary. This should include the London Plan.
- The draft NPPF fails to outline any sensible transitional arrangements during the implementation period, post adoption. This is a concern for Ealing Council as we submitted our Development (or Core) Strategy for examination in public a matter of days before the draft NPPF was published. The Planning Inspectorate have advised that the draft NPPF is capable of being a material consideration of some weight in the examination process and this has created some uncertainty and confusion.
- Furthermore, there must be some practical acknowledgement that the preparation of neighbourhood plans will require a lead in time and resources particularly at a time when LPAs are already working within constrained budgets.

2. Delivering Sustainable Development

- The presumption in favour of sustainable development tips the scales too far in favour of a blanket and uncritical approval of development. This sits uneasily with the stated aims and objectives of the “localism” agenda and would be nearly impossible to apply in practice. As worded the test would apply to all development, however minor. It therefore needs to be more nuanced and proportionate in its approach and ensure that each of the “three pillars” – social, economic and environmental – are more balanced in a way that reflects local circumstances and priorities.
- The core planning principles need to be more nuanced and proportionate (see suggested amendments made by the POS London), including more specific references to design and addressing climate change issues.
- In particular, the draft NPPF says that when assessing allocations of land for development preference should be given to land of lesser environmental value. This should also make an explicit reference to previously developed land especially where it is already served by or can easily be served by the infrastructure necessary to support the development.
- The draft NPPF also fails to offer a simple, transparent and flexible approach to long-term strategic planning and investment for the delivery of strategic priorities e.g. infrastructure, energy, etc.

3. Plan Making

- Reference should be made to the fact that local plans (and neighbourhood plans for that matter) are spatial plans for an area.
- The proposal to limit the scope for the production of supplementary planning documents is perverse and will have unintended consequences. SPDs are valuable and effective tools that produce helpful guidance and are often highly regarded by both the local community and businesses.
- The mechanics and implications of obtaining a Certificate of Conformity need to be further explained. In the London context, can this mechanism be simplified given that the boroughs must be in general conformity with the London Plan, which is presumed to be in general conformity with the NPPF?
- The references to using a proportionate evidence base are helpful.
- The new test of soundness is to be generally welcomed. However, the requirement for authorities to meet the unmet development and infrastructure requirements from neighbouring authorities is quite impractical and should be deleted.
- There is scope for considerable confusion as to the relationship between local plans and neighbourhood plans and some further clarity as to which plans take precedence and the circumstances for this need to be more clearly articulated.

- However, neighbourhood plans will provide a useful mechanism for helping to shape future development and for engaging communities and businesses in the planning process. Be aware that the council intends to apply for a grant under the final tranche of it's the CLG Neighbourhood Planning Frontrunners scheme, Communities and Local Government (CLG) to undertake a project in collaboration with a local community group and a business organisation. This will examine the potential for one or two neighbourhood plans covering the Ealing Metropolitan Town Centre. We understand that the closing date for receipt of applications is November 4th 2011.
- With regard to planning strategically across local boundaries this seems to presuppose that consensus can always be achieved when in fact some strategic issues cannot be readily resolved between neighbouring authorities. A good example of this in Ealing is our efforts to seek a major new development on the site of a former gas works in Southall but where improved road access through a neighbouring borough is an essential requirement to enable the development to be fully realised.

4. Development Management

- The references to encouraging pre-application engagement and front loading are welcome.
- There is no reference to the enforcement function, which is a serious omission.
- Similarly, there are no references to advertisements or tree work.

5. Planning for Prosperity

- Some clarity needs to be provided regarding short, medium and long-term market pressures and signals and a greater recognition that short-term development pressures must be balanced against longer term economic needs.
- Removing office development from 'Town Centre First' policy will have unintended consequences for outer, suburban London boroughs like Ealing who want to attract and retain office floorspace.
- It is vital that essential employment land is protected. A local reservoir of such land is essential to facilitate the growth of small and medium sized enterprises and to attract inward investment. Whilst it would be unrealistic to protect such land in the absence of any prospect of it being used for employment, the draft NPPF goes too far in fuelling pressures for land to go to higher value uses.

6. Planning for People

- The basis for planning for housing has not yet been clearly thought through. There is considerable confusion between objectives of meeting housing need and housing demand. But in London demand far exceeds anything that could reasonably be delivered.

- There is no definition of the affordable housing in the draft NPPF. The definition of affordable housing (in PPS3, Annex B) should be retained.
- With regards the policy on green belt, the draft NPPF appears to simply perpetuate existing policy and the opportunity for a promised review of that policy has clearly been missed.

7. Planning for Places

- The objectives fail to recognise the cross cutting nature and impacts of climate change and the importance of good design in mitigating and adapting to climate change.
- Policies that seek to preserve and enhance the historic environment need further clarification particularly in cases of minor and moderate harm and which assess their cumulative impacts.

I also attach copies of the POS London track changes version of the draft NPPF and comments by the ALBPO. Finally, please keep us informed of any further changes or proposals.

Yours sincerely,

Steve Barton
Planning Policy Manager
Regeneration and Housing

t 020 8825 8056
t 07940021963
e bartons@ealing.gov.uk



Draft National Planning Policy Framework



www.communities.gov.uk
community, opportunity, prosperity



Draft National Planning Policy Framework

This is a track changed version of the NPPF containing the changes that are recommended by POS London.

POS London represents Chief Planning Officers across London responsible for delivering spatial planning, development management and all other aspects of the planning system in the capital. We also have a vibrant network of spatial planning and development management specialists known as the Association of London Borough Planning Officers representing the Heads of Service in those areas.

The changes take two forms.

Firstly we have gone through the document to make sure that terms are used accurately and consistently. Some of the main amendments are:

- We have sought to ensure that there is accurate use and definition of the terms Local Plan and Development Plan, particularly in the context of S38(6) of the 2004 Act. We have also suggested a definition of Local Plan to draw the London Plan into the use of that term. This resolves many of the issues thrown up by the different planning regime that operates in the capital.
- The document uses the terms growth, economic growth, sustainable growth and sustainable economic growth in contexts that suggest they are referring to the same thing. We have used sustainable growth as representing the most accurate phrase given the overall policy context.
- There are many references to Local Plans but the provisions would also apply to Neighbourhood Plans. There are a couple of instances where we feel the reverse is true. In places therefore it was also necessary to expand the reference to local planning authorities to include parishes and neighbourhood forums.

Secondly, we have made the changes that we think are necessary to address the concerns that we have about the document. We have sought to keep these to a minimum and where necessary we have inserted a brief comment to explain our reasoning.

We hope that you find this approach constructive and helpful.

Mike Kiely
Chair POS London

July 2011
Department for Communities and Local Government

© Crown copyright 2011

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.communities.gov.uk

If you require this publication in an alternative format please email: alternativeformats@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Any enquiries regarding this document/publication should be sent to us at:

Department for Communities and Local Government
Eland House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU
Telephone: 030 3444 0000

July 2011

ISBN: 978-1-4098-3048-1

Contents

Ministerial foreword	V
Introduction	1
Delivering sustainable development	3
Plan-making	7
Development management	15
Planning for prosperity	18
Business and economic development	18
Transport	22
Communications infrastructure	25
Minerals	27
Planning for people	31
Housing	31
Design	34
Sustainable communities	36
Green Belt	39
Planning for places	43
Climate change, flooding and coastal change	43
Natural environment	48
Historic environment	52
Glossary	55

Ministerial foreword



The purpose of planning is to help achieve sustainable development.

Sustainable means ensuring that better lives for ourselves don't mean worse lives for future generations.

Development means growth. We must accommodate the new ways by which we will earn our living in a competitive world. We must house a rising population, which is living longer and wants to make new choices. We must respond to the changes that new technologies offer us. Our lives, and the places in which we live them, can be better, but they will certainly be worse if things stagnate.

Sustainable development is about change for the better, and not only in our built environment.

Our natural environment is essential to our wellbeing, and it can be better looked after than it has been. Habitats that have been degraded can be restored. Species that have been isolated can be reconnected. Green belt land that has been depleted of diversity can be refilled by nature – and opened to people to experience it, to the benefit of body and soul.

Our historic environment – buildings, landscapes, towns and villages – can better be cherished if their spirit of place thrives, rather than withers.

Our standards of design can be so much higher. We are a nation renowned worldwide for creative excellence, yet, at home, confidence in development itself has been eroded by the too frequent experience of mediocrity.

So sustainable development is about positive growth – making economic, environmental and social progress for this and future generations.

The planning system is about helping to make this happen.

Development that is sustainable should go ahead, without delay - a presumption in favour of sustainable development that is the basis for every plan, and every decision. This **Framework** sets out clearly what could make a proposed plan or development unsustainable.

In order to fulfil its purpose of helping achieve sustainable development, planning must not simply be about scrutiny. Planning must be a creative exercise in finding ways to enhance and improve the places in which we live our lives.

This should be a collective enterprise. Yet, in recent years, planning has tended to exclude, rather than to include, people and communities. In part, this has been a result of targets being imposed, and decisions taken, by bodies remote

Comment [A1]: Consistent with capitalisation used throughout rest of document

Deleted: framework

from them. Dismantling the unaccountable regional apparatus and introducing neighbourhood planning addresses this.

In part, people have been put off from getting involved because planning policy itself has become so elaborate and forbidding – the preserve of specialists, rather than people in communities.

This National Planning Policy Framework changes that. By replacing over a thousand pages of national policy with around fifty, written simply and clearly, we are allowing people and communities back into planning.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Greg Clark". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Rt Hon Greg Clark MP
Minister for Planning

July 2011

Introduction

1. Planning shapes the places where people live and work. Planning plays a vital role in building our economy and supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities.
2. The Government expects the planning system to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs, while protecting and enhancing the natural and historic environment. Planning has a key role in securing a sustainable future.
3. To deliver this, planning must be transparent, effective and efficient and it must ensure the public interest is protected. This should be achieved through a system based on:
 - national policies which set out the Government's requirements for the planning system and how these are expected to be addressed
 - local and neighbourhood plans, which empower local ~~communities~~ to shape their surroundings; and
 - development management, which allows planning applications to be considered ~~in accordance with the Development Plan and in the context of this National Policy Framework.~~
4. The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's economic, environmental and social planning policies for England. Taken together, these policies articulate the Government's vision of sustainable development, which should be interpreted and applied locally to meet local aspirations.
5. The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's requirements for the planning system only to the extent that it is relevant, proportionate and necessary to do so. It provides a framework within which local people and their accountable councils can produce their own distinctive local and neighbourhood plans, which reflect the needs and priorities of their communities.
6. Nationally significant infrastructure projects are determined by the decision-making framework set out in national policy statements, which are part of the overall framework of planning policy.
7. This Framework does not contain specific waste policies, since national waste planning policy will be published alongside the National Waste Management Plan for England¹. However, local authorities preparing waste plans should have regard to policies in this Framework.

Deleted: people

Comment [A2]: Consistent capitalisation

Deleted: on their merits, within

Deleted: n

Deleted: and local p

Deleted: f

¹ The Waste Planning Policy Statement will remain in place until the National Waste Management Plan is published.

X. In the distinct circumstances of London, the policy outcomes which government seeks from the NPPF will be delivered through the capital's London Plan and its unique planning and administrative arrangements.

8. The policies set out in this Framework apply to the preparation of local and neighbourhood plans, and to development management decisions. Planning policies and decisions should be compatible with and where appropriate further the achievement of relevant EU obligations and statutory requirements set out in domestic legislation. The Framework should be read and interpreted as a whole.

Delivering sustainable development

9. The purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. Sustainable development means development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs². It is central to the economic, environmental and social success of the country and is the core principle underpinning planning. Simply stated, the principle recognises the importance of ensuring that all people should be able to satisfy their basic needs and enjoy a better quality of life, both now and in the future.
10. For the planning system delivering sustainable development means:
- **planning for prosperity (an economic role)** – use the planning system to build a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type, and in the right places, is available to allow growth and innovation; and by identifying and coordinating development requirements, including the provision of infrastructure
 - **planning for people (a social role)** – use the planning system to promote strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing an increased supply of housing to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by creating a good quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and supports its health and well-being; and
 - **planning for places (an environmental role)** – use the planning system to protect and enhance our natural, built and historic environment, to use natural resources prudently and to mitigate and adapt to climate change, including moving to a low-carbon economy.
11. These three components should be pursued in an integrated way, looking for solutions which deliver multiple goals. There is no necessary contradiction between increased levels of development and protecting and enhancing the environment, as long as development is planned and undertaken responsibly. The planning system must play an active role in guiding development to sustainable solutions through the local balancing of these three components in a way that reflects local circumstances.
12. When taken as a whole, the policies in this Framework set out the Government's view of what constitutes sustainable development in practice and how the planning system is expected to deliver it. It is also an important role of the planning system to prevent proposals that are not sustainable development.

² The Report of the Brundtland Commission, *Our Common Future*, 1987

The presumption in favour of sustainable development

13. The Government is committed to ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable growth. A positive planning system is essential because, without sustainable growth, a sustainable future cannot be achieved. Planning must operate to encourage sustainable growth and not act as an impediment. Therefore, significant weight should be placed on the need to support sustainable growth through the planning system.

14. At the heart of the planning system is a presumption in favour of sustainable development, which should be seen as a golden thread running through both plan making and decision taking. The principles to achieve this are:

- Local planning authorities, parishes and neighbourhood forums should plan positively for new development and prepare Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans on the basis that objectively assessed development needs should be met, and with sufficient flexibility to respond to rapid shifts in demand or other economic changes
- Local planning authorities should approve development proposals that demonstrate sustainable development and accord with the Development Plan without delay; and
- Where the Development Plan is absent, or where relevant policies are out of date approve development proposals that accord with this Framework.

All of these principles should apply unless the adverse impacts of allowing development would demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the Development Plan, or in the absence of such a plan, the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.

15. All plans should be based upon and contain the presumption in favour of sustainable development as their starting point, with clear policies that will guide how the presumption will be applied locally.

17. The application of the presumption will have implications for how communities engage in neighbourhood planning. Critically, it will mean that neighbourhoods should:

- develop plans that support the strategic development needs set out in Local Plans, including policies for housing and economic development
- plan positively to support local development, with the power to promote more development than is set out in the Local Plan; and
- identify opportunities to use neighbourhood development orders to grant planning permission for developments that are consistent with an adopted neighbourhood plan.

Deleted: economic

Deleted: economic

Comment [A3]: This was effectively repeated in the bullet points below

Deleted: Local planning authorities should plan positively for new development, and approve all individual proposals wherever possible. Local planning authorities should

Deleted: strategy

Deleted: statutory plans

Comment [A4]: The word "silent" could bring about a slide back to old-style, bloated plans where there was a policy for everything – spatial planning has moved us away from that.

Comment [A5]: Development plan policies will often pull in opposite directions – this could be described as "indeterminate". The job of the planning system is to weigh up these issues whereas this suggests that if all the planning policies are not coming to the same conclusion then the plan no longer counts – that is contrary to S38(6).

Deleted: grant permission where

Deleted: plan

Deleted: silent, indeterminate

Deleted: policies

Comment [A6]: The word "significantly" introduces a new test that is not likely to assist the operation of the planning system as its meaning is tested in appeals and in the courts. It also ignores the scale of a development – what is significant on a single dwelling or small extension?

Deleted: significantly and

Comment [A7]: This amendment is necessary to avoid conflict with the statutory S38(6) position

Comment [A8]: Para 16 is repeated under para 170 & is an odd addition here as other "special" designations are not referred to.

Deleted: 16. Development likely to have a significant effect on sites protected under the Birds and Habitats Directives would not be sustainable under the terms of the presumption in favour of sustainable development.¶

18. Those responsible for bringing forward development are expected to play their part by recognising and responding to the needs of communities. Development should be of good design and appropriately located. National incentives and relevant local charges will help ensure local communities benefit directly from the increase in development that this Framework seeks to achieve. The revenue generated from development will help sustain local services, fund infrastructure and deliver environmental enhancement.

Core planning principles

19. A set of core land-use planning principles should underpin both plan-making and development management and should be taken into account by all those engaged in the planning system, from local authorities and developers through to communities. These core planning principles provide the basis for a spatial expression of what sustainable development should mean in the planning system and will therefore guide plan making and decision making. These principles are:
- Planning should be genuinely plan-led, with succinct Local Plans, including any Neighbourhood Plans, setting out a positive long-term vision for an area. These plans should be kept up to date and should provide a practical framework within which decisions on planning applications can be made with a high degree of certainty and efficiency.
 - Local Plans should take account of other relevant strategies and programmes that have spatial implications for an area and, where possible, be drawn up in collaboration with those responsible for them. The aim should be to co-ordinate these strategies and programmes with development plan
 - Planning should proactively drive and support the development that this country needs. Every effort should be made to identify and meet the housing, business, and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for sustainable growth, while at the same time addressing the need to protect and enhance the natural and built environment. Decision-takers at every level should assume that the default answer to development proposals is “yes”, except where this would compromise the relevant Development Plan or, in the absence of such a plan, compromise the key sustainable development principles set out in this Framework.
 - Planning policies and decisions should take into account local circumstances and market trends such as land prices, commercial rents and housing affordability, particularly where these are indicative of long-term issues for local communities. Plans should set out a clear strategy for allocating sufficient land which is suitable for development in their area, taking account of the needs of the residential and business community.
 - In considering the future use of land, planning policies and decisions should take account of its environmental quality or potential quality

Comment [A9]: POS London feels that this section could be strengthened significantly by recasting it as a spatial expression of sustainable development – this would not be a redefinition but a policy interpretation of what sustainable development means spatially

Comment [A10]: Trends is a more appropriate term to support planning. It implies evidence of effective monitoring of the market. Signals are open to misinterpretation and could be construed as short term

Deleted: signals

regardless of its previous or existing use, except where the previous or existing use has been recognised as being of value and its protection is signalled in the development plan or through statute.

- Planning policies and decisions should seek to protect and enhance environmental and heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance.
- Where practical and consistent with other objectives, allocations of land for development should prefer land of lesser environmental value, in particular Previously Developed Land, especially where it is already served by or can easily be served by the infrastructure necessary to support development.
- Planning policies and decisions should adopt a sequential approach to the provision of Town Centre Development in order to promote the vitality and viability of town centres and deliver sustainable development.
- Planning policies and decisions should promote good design that ensures attractive, usable and durable places and supports buildings that are contextually appropriate, robust and flexible in their use and sustainable in their operation.
- Planning policies and decisions should make effective use of land, promote mixed use developments that create more vibrant places, and encourage multiple benefits from the use of land in urban and rural areas, recognising that some open land can perform many functions (such as for wildlife, recreation, flood risk mitigation, carbon storage, or food production).
- Planning policies and decisions should enable the reuse of existing resources, such as through the conversion of existing buildings, and encourage, rather than restrict, the use of renewable resources (for example, by the development of renewable energy).
- Planning policies and decisions should take account of the latest knowledge and understanding of the effects of climate change and encourage developments that address these impacts.
- Planning policies and decisions should actively manage patterns of growth to make the fullest use of public transport, walking and cycling, and focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable.
- Planning policies and decisions should take account of and support local strategies to improve health and wellbeing for all.
- Planning policies and decisions should always seek to secure a good standard of amenity for existing and future occupants of land and buildings.

Comment [A11]: This seems out of context here and rather odd.

Deleted: , and reduce pollution

Plan-making

Local plans

20. Development plans must aim to achieve the objective of sustainable development. To this end, they should be consistent with the objectives, principles and policies set out in this Framework, including the presumption in favour of sustainable development. This means that plans should be prepared on the basis that objectively assessed development needs should be met, unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.
21. Each local planning authority should produce a Local Plan for its area. ~~Similarly, mineral planning and waste planning authorities should produce minerals and waste plans. These~~ can be reviewed in whole or in part to respond flexibly to changing circumstances. Any additional development plan documents should only be used where clearly justified. Supplementary planning documents ~~can be useful tools~~ to bring forward sustainable development at an accelerated rate. ~~They should~~ not be used to add ~~unnecessarily~~ to the financial burdens on development.
22. ~~Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans are spatial plans and therefore should set out a clear, persuasive and deliverable vision for an area and contain concise and locally distinctive policy frameworks. They~~ should be aspirational but realistic, ~~and~~ address the spatial implications of economic, social and environmental change. ~~The plan~~ should set out the opportunities for development and clear guidance on what will or will not be permitted and where. Only policies that provide a clear indication of how a decision maker should react to a development proposal should be included in the plan.
23. Local planning authorities should set out the **strategic priorities** for the area in the Local Plan. This should include strategic policies to deliver:
- housing and economic development requirements
 - the provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development
 - the provision of infrastructure for transport, minerals, waste, energy, telecoms, water supply and water quality
 - the provision of health, security, community infrastructure and other local facilities; and
 - climate change mitigation and adaptation, protection and enhancement of the natural and historic environment, including landscape, and where relevant coastal management.
24. Crucially, Local Plans, ~~and where appropriate Neighbourhood Plans,~~ should:

Deleted: This

Deleted: should only be necessary where their production can help

Deleted: , and must

Deleted: Local Plans

Deleted: . They should

Deleted: Local Plans

Comment [A12]: As drafted originally, this paragraph could be read as a return to old style land use plans – that clearly was not the intention given comments elsewhere in the NPPF.

- plan positively for the development and infrastructure required in the area to meet the objectives, principles and policies of this Framework
- be drawn up over an appropriate time scale, preferably a 15 year time horizon, take account of relevant longer term requirements that are apparent, and be kept up to date
- indicate broad locations for strategic development on a key diagram and land-use designations on a proposals map
- allocate sites to promote development and flexible use of land, providing detail on form, scale, access and quantum of development where appropriate
- identify areas where it may be necessary to limit freedom to change the uses of buildings, and support such restrictions with a clear explanation
- identify land which it is genuinely important to protect from development, for instance because of its environmental or historic value; and
- contain a clear strategy for the environmental enhancement of the area.

25. Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans are the key to delivering development that reflects the vision and aspiration of local communities. To do this, early and meaningful engagement and collaboration with neighbourhoods, local organisations and businesses is essential. A wide section of the community should be proactively engaged, so that Local Plans, including any Neighbourhood Plans, as far as possible, reflect a collective vision and a set of agreed priorities for the development of the area, including adopted neighbourhood plans.

26. Up-to-date Local Plans, including any Neighbourhood Plans (i.e. plans which are consistent with this Framework) should be in place as soon as practical. In the absence of an up-to-date and consistent plan, more weight will be given to the policies in this Framework in the determination of planning applications, including its presumption in favour of sustainable development. It will be open to local planning authorities to seek a certificate of conformity with the Framework.

Deleted: Local Plans

Deleted: planning applications should be determined in accord with

Comment [A13]: This is to bring the text in line with S38(6)

Using a proportionate evidence base

27. Each local planning authority should ensure that the Local Plan is based on adequate, up-to-date and relevant evidence about the economic, social and environmental characteristics and prospects of the area. Local planning authorities should ensure that their assessment of and strategies for housing, employment and other uses are integrated, and that they take appropriate account of relevant market and economic trends, such as land prices, to inform judgements about indicators of demand but also consider the long term trends that will impact of the delivery of the plan's vision. Parishes and neighbourhood forums need to do the same when drawing up Neighbourhood Plans.

Deleted: full

Deleted: signals

Deleted: levels

Comment [A14]: As written this suggested that planning is driven by responding to short term market indicators and ignores long term issues. This cannot be the case given other policies within the framework.

Housing requirements

28. Local planning authorities should have a clear understanding of housing requirements in their area. They should:

- prepare a Strategic Housing Market Assessment to assess their full housing requirements, working with neighbouring authorities where housing market areas cross administrative boundaries. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment should identify the scale and mix of housing and the range of tenures that the local population is likely to require over the plan period which:
 - meets household and population projections, taking account of migration and demographic change
 - addresses the need for all types of housing, including affordable housing and the needs of different groups in the community (such as families with children, the elderly and people with disabilities); and
 - seeks to cater for housing need and the scale of housing supply necessary to meet this need
- prepare a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment to establish realistic assumptions about the availability, suitability and the likely economic viability of land to meet the identified requirement for housing over the plan period.

Comment [A15]: Consistency with wording in para 111

Deleted: older people, disabled people, service families and people wishing to build their own homes

Deleted: demand

Deleted: demand

Business requirements

29. Local planning authorities should have a clear understanding of business needs within the economic markets operating in and across their area. To achieve this, they should:

- work together with county and neighbouring authorities and with local enterprise partnerships to prepare and maintain a robust but proportionate evidence base to understand both existing business needs and likely changes in the market; and
- work closely with the business community to understand their changing needs and identify and address barriers to investment, including a lack of housing, infrastructure or viability.

30. Local planning authorities should use this evidence-base to assess:

- the requirements for land or floorspace for economic development, including both the quantitative (how much) and qualitative (what type) requirements for foreseeable types of economic activity over the plan period, including for retail and leisure development
- the existing and future supply of land available for economic development and its sufficiency and suitability to meet the identified requirements. Reviews of land available for economic development should be undertaken at the same time as, or combined with, strategic

Deleted: all

housing land availability assessments and should include a reappraisal of the suitability of and need for previously allocated land

- the role and function of town centres and the relationship between them, including any trends in the performance of centres
- the capacity of existing centres to accommodate new Town Centre Development
- locations of deprivation which may benefit from planned remedial action; and
- the needs of the food production industry and any barriers to investment that planning can resolve.

Deleted: town
Deleted: centre
Deleted: development

Infrastructure requirements

31. Local planning authorities should work with other authorities and providers to:

- assess the quality and capacity of transport, water, energy, telecommunications, utilities, health and social care, waste and flood defence and other forms of infrastructure and its ability to meet forecast demands; and
- take account of the need for nationally significant infrastructure within their areas.

Comment [A16]: This could be simplified further to refer to CIL Regs – “assess the quality and capacity of the infrastructure (as defined in the CIL Regulations) necessary to support development and its ability to meet forecast demands”

Minerals requirements

32. Local planning authorities should use the best available information to develop and maintain an understanding of the extent and location of mineral reserves in their areas and assess the projected demand for their use.

Defence and National Security

33. Local planning authorities should work with the Ministry of Defence’s Strategic Planning Team to ensure that they take into account information about defence and security needs in their area.

Deleted: have and
Deleted: the most up-to-date
Comment [A17]: More accurate wording

Environmental assessment

34. Planning policies and decisions should be based on up-to-date information about the natural environment and other characteristics of the area. A sustainability appraisal should be an integrated part of the plan preparation process, and should consider all the likely significant effects on the environment, economic and social factors.

35. Local Plans, including any Neighbourhood Plans, may require a variety of other environmental assessments, including under the Habitats Regulations where there is a likely significant effect on a European wildlife site (which may not necessarily be within the same local authority area) and Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Wherever possible, assessments should share the same evidence base and be conducted over similar timescales, but local authorities should take care to ensure that the

purposes and statutory requirements of different assessment processes are respected.

36. Assessments should be proportionate to the plan. They should not repeat the assessment of higher level policy. Wherever possible the local planning authority should consider how the preparation of any assessment will contribute to the plan's evidence base. The process should be started early in the plan-making process and key stakeholders should be consulted in identifying the issues that the assessment must cover.

Historic environment

37. Local planning authorities should have up-to-date evidence about the historic environment in their area and use it to assess the significance of heritage assets and the contribution they make to their environment. They should also use it to predict the likelihood that currently unidentified heritage assets, particularly sites of historic and archaeological interest, will be discovered in the future. Local planning authorities should either maintain or have access to a historic environment record.

Health and well-being

38. Local planning authorities should work with public health leads and health organisations to understand and take account of the health status and needs of the local population, including expected future changes, and any information about relevant barriers to improving health and well-being.

Ensuring viability and deliverability

39. To enable a plan to be deliverable, the sites and the scale of development identified in the plan should not be subject to such a scale of obligations and policy burdens that their ability to be developed viably is threatened. To ensure viability, the costs of any requirements likely to be applied to development, such as requirements for affordable housing, local standards, infrastructure contributions or other requirements should, when taking account of the normal cost of development and on-site mitigation, provide acceptable returns to a **reasonable and** willing land owner and **reasonable and** willing developer to enable the development to be deliverable.

Comment [A18]: As written, this paragraph does not take account of the need for there to be a reasonable balance between the planning obligations that are necessary to allow a development to proceed and the reasonable and proper costs of a development. As written a high and unrealistic land value could be used to render the provision of infrastructure as unviable.

40. Local planning authorities should set out their policy on local standards in the Local Plan, including requirements for affordable housing. Where practical Community Infrastructure Levy charges should be worked up and tested alongside Local Plan preparation. The Community Infrastructure Levy should support and incentivise new development, particularly by placing control over a meaningful proportion of the funds raised with the neighbourhoods where development takes place.

41. Local planning authorities ~~should~~ assess the likely cumulative impacts on development in their area of all existing and proposed local standards, supplementary planning documents and policies that support the development plan, when added to nationally required standards. In order to be appropriate, the cumulative impact of these standards and policies

Deleted: , parishes and neighbourhood forums

should not put implementation of the development plan at serious risk, and should facilitate development ~~across economic cycles.~~

Comment [A19]: A plan period of 15 years will traverse at least two economic cycles

42. Evidence supporting the assessment should be proportionate, using only appropriate available evidence.

Deleted: throughout

Deleted: the

43. It is equally important to ensure that there is a reasonable prospect that planned infrastructure is deliverable in a timely fashion. To facilitate this, it is important that local planning authorities understand district-wide development costs at the time Local Plans are drawn up. Parishes and neighbourhood forums need to do the same when drawing up Neighbourhood Plans. For this reason, infrastructure and development policies should be planned at the same time. ~~Any affordable housing or local standards requirements that may be applied to development should be assessed at the plan-making stage, where possible, and kept under review.~~

Deleted: , in the Local Plan

Planning strategically across local boundaries

44. Public bodies have a duty to cooperate on planning issues that cross administrative boundaries, particularly those which relate to the **strategic priorities** identified above (para 23). The Government expects joint working on areas of common interest to be diligently undertaken for the mutual benefit of neighbouring authorities.

45. Local planning authorities should work collaboratively with other bodies to ensure that strategic priorities across local boundaries are properly co-ordinated and clearly reflected in individual Local Plans, including in any Neighbourhood Plans³. They should take account of different geographic areas, including travel-to-work areas. In two tier areas, county and district authorities should cooperate with each other on relevant issues. Local planning authorities should work collaboratively on strategic planning priorities to enable delivery of sustainable ~~growth in consultation with Local Enterprise Partnerships.~~

Deleted: economic

46. Local planning authorities will be expected to demonstrate evidence of having successfully cooperated to plan for issues with cross-boundary impacts when their Local Plans are submitted for examination. This could be by way of plans or policies prepared as part of a joint committee, a memorandum of understanding or a jointly prepared strategy which is presented as evidence of an agreed position. Cooperation should be a continuous process of engagement from initial thinking through to implementation, resulting in a final position where plans are in place to provide the infrastructure necessary to support current and projected future levels of development.

47. Joint working should enable local planning authorities to work together to meet development requirements which cannot wholly be met within their own areas – for instance, because of a lack of physical capacity or

³ In marine areas, local planning authorities should collaborate with the Marine Management Organisation.

because to do so would cause significant harm to the objectives, principles and policies of this Framework. As part of this process they should consider producing joint planning policies on strategic matters and informal strategies such as joint infrastructure and investment plans.

Examining Local Plans

48. The Local Plan will be examined by an independent inspector whose role is to assess whether the plan has been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound. A local planning authority should submit a plan for examination which it considers is “sound” – namely that it is:

- **Positively prepared** – the plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements;
- **Justified** – the plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence
- **Effective** – the plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities; and
- **Consistent with national policy** – the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the Framework.

Comment [A20]: It is hard to see how this could work except where there is willing & full cooperation

Deleted: , including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is practical to do so consistently with the presumption in favour of sustainable development

Neighbourhood plans

49. Neighbourhood plans give communities direct power to plan the areas in which they live. Parishes and neighbourhood forums can use neighbourhood plans to:

- develop a shared vision for their neighbourhood
- set planning policies for the development and use of land; and
- give planning permission through Neighbourhood Development Orders and Community Right to Build Orders.

50. This provides a powerful set of tools for local people to ensure that they get the right types of development for their community. However, the ambition of the neighbourhood should be aligned with the strategic needs and priorities of the wider local area. Neighbourhood plans, therefore, must be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the Local Plan. To facilitate this, local planning authorities should set out clearly their strategic policies for the area. Neighbourhood plans should reflect these policies and neighbourhoods should plan positively to support them.

Neighbourhood plans should be prepared on the basis that objectively assessed development needs should be met, and with sufficient flexibility to respond to rapid shifts in demand or other economic changes.

Comment [A21]: This is the same requirement placed on LPAs in para 14

Neighbourhoods will have the power to promote more development than is set out in the strategic policies of the Local Plan.

51. Outside these strategic elements, neighbourhood plans will be able to shape and direct development in their area, subject to the presumption in favour of sustainable development. When a neighbourhood plan is adopted, the policies it contains take precedence over existing policies in the Local Plan for that neighbourhood, where they are in conflict. Local planning authorities should avoid duplicating planning processes for non-strategic policies where a neighbourhood plan is in preparation.

Deleted: made

52. A neighbourhood plan must be assessed by an independent examiner before it can go to a local referendum. To pass the independent examination, the neighbourhood plan must:
- have regard to the policies in this Framework
 - be in general conformity with the strategic policies in the Local Plan; and
 - be compatible with relevant EU obligations and human rights requirements.

Development management

53. The primary objective of development management is to foster the delivery of sustainable development, not to hinder or prevent development.
54. To enable each local authority to proactively fulfil their planning role, and to actively promote sustainable development, local planning authorities need to:
- approach development management decisions positively – looking for solutions rather than problems in pre-submission negotiations, so that when applications are submitted they can be approved wherever it is practical to do so
 - attach significant weight to the benefits of economic and housing growth
 - influence development proposals to achieve quality outcomes; and
 - enable the delivery of sustainable development proposals.
55. The relationship between development management and plan-making should be seamless and both should recognise the presumption in favour of sustainable development and the positive approach to planning set out in this Framework. The application of the presumption should achieve the delivery of enhanced levels of development consistent with national, strategic and local requirements.

Comment [A22]: It is important to maintain the principle that substantive negotiation should take place in the pre-application stage and not once an application is submitted.

Pre-application engagement and front loading

56. Early engagement has significant potential to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the planning application system for all parties. Good quality pre-application discussion enables better coordination between public and private resources and improved outcomes for the community.
57. Local planning authorities have a key role to play in encouraging other parties to take maximum advantage of the pre-application stage. They cannot require that a developer engages with them before submitting a planning application, but they could encourage take-up of any pre-application services they do offer. They could also, where they think this would be beneficial, encourage any applicants who are not already required to do so by law to engage with the local community before submitting their applications.
58. The more issues considered at pre-application stage, the greater the benefits. Statutory planning consultees also need to take the same early, pro-active approach, and provide advice in a timely manner throughout the development process. The participation of other consenting bodies in pre-application discussions should enable early consideration of all the fundamental issues relating to whether a particular development will be acceptable in principle. ↓

Comment [A23]: These issues can be relevant to the design of a building particularly issues such as compliance with Code for Sustainable Homes/BREEAM/energy efficiency

Deleted: Consents relating to how a development is built or operated can be dealt with at a later stage.

59. The right information is crucial to good decision making, particularly where formal assessments are required (such as Environmental Impact Assessment, Habitats Regulations Assessment and Flood Risk Assessment). To avoid delay, developers should discuss what information is needed with the local planning authority and expert bodies as early as possible. Local planning authorities should publish a list of their information requirements for applications, which should be proportionate to the nature and scale of development proposals. Local planning authorities should only request supporting information that is relevant, necessary and material to the application in question.
60. Developers and local planning authorities should consider the potential of entering into planning performance agreements, where this might achieve a faster and more effective application process.
61. For their role in the planning system to be effective and positive, statutory consultees will need to take the same early and pro-active approach to their involvement in development proposals, providing advice in a timely manner at all stages of the development process. This assists local planning authorities in issuing timely decisions, helping to ensure developers do not experience unnecessary delays and costs.

Determining applications

62. The planning system is plan-led. Therefore **Local Plans**, incorporating neighbourhood plans where relevant, are the starting point for the determination of any planning application.
63. In assessing and determining development proposals, local planning authorities should apply the presumption in favour of sustainable development.

Comment [A24]: This statement is only correct in London with the definition of Local Plan that we have included in the Glossary

Tailoring planning controls to local circumstances

64. Local planning authorities should consider using Local Development Orders to relax planning controls for particular areas or categories of development, where the impacts would be acceptable, and in particular where this would boost enterprise and growth. The use of article 4 directions to remove national permitted development rights should be limited to situations where this is necessary to protect local amenity or the wellbeing of the area (this could include the use of article 4 directions to require planning permission for the demolition of local facilities). Similarly, planning conditions should not be used to restrict national permitted development rights unless there is clear justification to do so.

Neighbourhood Development and Community Right to Build Orders

65. Neighbourhoods can use neighbourhood development orders to grant planning permission. **Developments that are permitted through a neighbourhood development order will not require further planning permission from local planning authorities, unless the order specifies details or matters that require subsequent approval.**

Comment [A25]: An Order can give an outline approval and allow the detail to be approved along the lines of SPZ and the prior notification consents in the current GPDO.

66. Community Right to Build Orders require the support of the local community through a referendum. Therefore, local planning authorities should take a proactive and positive approach to proposals, working collaboratively with community organisations to resolve any issues before draft Orders are submitted for examination. Independent examiners should apply the presumption in favour of sustainable development when considering draft Orders.

Planning conditions and obligations

67. Local planning authorities should consider whether otherwise unacceptable development could be made acceptable through the use of conditions or planning obligations. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address unacceptable impacts through a planning condition.
68. Planning obligations should only be sought where they meet all of the following tests (S122(2) of the 2010 CIL Regulations):
- necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms
 - directly related to the development; and
 - fair and reasonably related in scale and kind of development.
69. Planning conditions should only be imposed where they are necessary, relevant to planning and to the development to be permitted, enforceable, precise and reasonable in all other respects.
70. Local planning authorities should only impose conditions or obligations that are necessary.

Deleted: avoid unnecessary

Deleted: , particularly when this would undermine the viability of development proposals

Comment [A26]: This is more in line with the statutory tests

Planning for prosperity

Business and economic development

Objectives

71. The Government is committed to securing sustainable growth. In particular, there is an urgent need to restructure the economy, to build on the country's inherent strengths and to meet the twin challenges of global competition and of a low carbon future.

Deleted: economic

72. To help achieve sustainable growth, the Government's objectives are to:

Deleted: economic

- plan proactively to meet the development needs of business and support an economy fit for the 21st century
- promote the vitality and viability of town centres, and meet the needs of consumers for high quality and accessible retail services; and
- raise the quality of life and the environment in rural areas by promoting thriving, inclusive and locally distinctive rural economies.

Support economic development

73. Investment in business should not be over-burdened by the combined requirements of planning policy expectations. Planning policies should recognise and seek to address potential barriers to investment, including poor environment or any lack of infrastructure, services or housing. In drawing up Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans, local planning authorities, parishes and neighbourhood forums should ensure that they:

- carry out assessments of the need for supply and demand for business space and to use such evidence to inform their policies in process of plan making
- set out a clear economic vision and strategy for their area which positively and proactively encourages sustainable growth
- set criteria, or identify strategic sites, for local and inward investment to match the strategy and to meet anticipated requirements over the plan period
- support existing business sectors, taking account of whether they are expanding or contracting and, where possible, identify and plan for new or emerging sectors likely to locate in their area. Policies should be flexible enough to accommodate requirements not anticipated in the plan and to allow a rapid response to changes in economic circumstances
- positively plan for the location, promotion and expansion of clusters or networks of knowledge driven, creative or high technology industries and infrastructure to ensure resilient energy provision

Deleted: economic

- identify priority areas for economic regeneration, infrastructure provision and environmental enhancement; and
- facilitate new working practices such as live/work.

74. In considering applications for planning permission, local planning authorities should apply the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Where applications are being negotiated, particularly in the pre-submission period, local planning authorities should seek to find solutions to overcome any substantial planning objections where practical and consistent with the Framework and the Development Plan.

Deleted: and
 Comment [A27]: See note at para 54

75. Planning policies should make realistic provision for commercial activities but avoid the long term protection of employment land or floorspace for which there is no long term demonstrable demand. Applications for alternative uses of designated land or buildings should be treated on their merits having regard to market trends and the relative need for different land uses.

Deleted: ,
 Deleted: and applications
 Deleted: signals

Promote the vitality and viability of town centres

76. Planning policies should be positive, promote competitive town centre environments and set out policies for the management and sustainable growth of centres over the plan period. Local planning authorities should:

- recognise town centres as the heart of their communities and pursue policies to support the viability and vitality of town centres
- define a network (the pattern of provision of centres) and hierarchy (the role and relationship of centres in the network) of centres that is resilient to anticipated future economic changes
- define the extent of the town centre and the primary shopping area, based on a clear definition of primary and secondary frontages in designated centres, and set policies that make clear which uses will be permitted in such locations
- recognise that residential development can play an important role in ensuring the vitality of centres and set out policies to encourage residential development on appropriate sites
- allocate a range of suitable sites to meet the scale and type of retail, leisure, commercial, community services and residential development needed in town centres. It is important that retail and leisure needs are met in full unless it can be demonstrated that over concentrations of such uses impact on the amenity and vitality of centres. Local planning authorities should therefore undertake an assessment of the need to expand town centres to ensure a sufficient supply of suitable sites
- allocate appropriate edge of centre sites where suitable and viable town centre sites are not available, and if sufficient edge of centre sites

Deleted: and are not compromised by limited site availability

cannot be identified, set policies for meeting the identified requirements in other accessible locations; and

- set policies for the consideration of retail and leisure proposals which cannot be accommodated in or adjacent to town centres.

X. Retailers should develop formats for their outlets which can be accommodated within town centres;

77. Local planning authorities should apply a sequential approach to planning applications for retail and leisure uses that are not in an existing centre and are not in accordance with an up to date Local Plan, including any Neighbourhood Plan.

78. Local planning authorities should prefer applications for retail and leisure uses to be located in town centres, ~~then in edge of centre locations and only if suitable sites are not available should~~ accessible out of centre sites be considered. In applying this sequential approach, local planning authorities should ensure that potential sites are assessed for their availability, suitability and viability and for their ability to meet the full extent of assessed quantitative and qualitative needs.

Deleted: where practical

79. When assessing applications for retail and leisure development outside of town centres, which are not in accordance with an up to date Local Plan, including any Neighbourhood Plan, local planning authorities should require an impact assessment if the development is over a proportionate, locally set floorspace threshold. If there is no locally set threshold, the default threshold is 2,500 sq m.

80. Planning policies and decisions should assess the impact of retail and leisure proposals, including:

- the impact of the proposal on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in a centre or centres in the catchment area of the proposal; and
- the impact of the proposal on town centre vitality and viability, including local consumer choice and trade in the town centre and wider area, up to ten years from the time the application is made.

Support the rural economy

81. Planning policies should support sustainable ~~growth in rural areas by~~ taking a positive approach to new development. Planning strategies should maintain a prosperous rural economy including policies to:

Deleted: economic

- support the sustainable growth of rural businesses
- promote the development and diversification of agricultural businesses; and
- support sustainable rural tourism and leisure developments that benefit rural businesses, communities and visitors and which respect the

character of the countryside. This should include supporting the provision and expansion of tourist and visitor facilities in appropriate locations where identified needs are not met by existing facilities in rural service centres.

Transport

Objectives

82. Transport policies have an important role to play in facilitating development but also in contributing to wider sustainability and health objectives. Smarter use of technologies can reduce the need to travel. The transport system needs to be balanced in favour of sustainable transport modes, giving people a real choice about how they travel. However, the Government recognises that different policies and measures will be required in different communities and opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions will vary from urban to rural areas.

83. Where practical, encouragement should be given to solutions which support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and reduce congestion. The planning system should therefore aim for a pattern of development which, where reasonable to do so, facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport.

Deleted: support

84. To this end, the objectives of transport policy are to:

- facilitate sustainable growth by taking a positive approach to planning for development; and
- support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and congestion, and promote accessibility through planning for the location and mix of development.
- enhance people's sustainable access to essential services.

Deleted: economic

Facilitate economic growth

85. Local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure necessary to support sustainable growth, including large scale facilities such as Rail Freight Interchanges, roadside facilities for motorists or transport investment necessary to support strategies for the growth of ports, airports or other major generators of travel demand in their areas. The primary function of roadside facilities for motorists should be to support the safety and welfare of the road user.

Deleted: economic

86. All developments that generate significant amounts of movement, as determined by local criteria, should be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment. Planning policies and decisions should consider whether:

- the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure.
- safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people; and

- improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. Subject to those considerations, development should not be prevented or refused on transport grounds unless the residual impacts of development are severe, and the need to encourage increased delivery of homes and sustainable economic development should be taken into account.

87. When planning for ports, airports and airfields that are not subject to a separate national policy statement, planning policies should consider their growth and role in serving business, leisure, training and emergency service needs. In doing this, planning policies should take account of this Framework as well as the principles set out in the relevant national policy statements and the Government Framework for UK Aviation.

Support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and congestion

88. Planning policies and decisions should ensure developments that generate significant movement are located where the need to travel will be minimised and the use of sustainable transport modes can be maximised. However this needs to take account of policies set out elsewhere in this Framework, particularly in rural areas.
89. Planning strategies should protect and exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes for the movement of goods or people. Therefore, developments should be located and designed where practical to:
- accommodate the efficient delivery of goods and supplies
 - give priority to pedestrian and cycle movements, and have access to high quality public transport facilities
 - create safe and secure layouts which minimise conflicts between traffic and cyclists or pedestrians
 - incorporate facilities for charging plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles; and
 - consider the needs of disabled people by all modes of transport.
90. A key tool to facilitate this will be a Travel Plan. All developments which generate significant amounts of movement, as determined by local criteria, should be required to provide a Travel Plan.
91. Planning policies should aim for a balance of land uses within their area so that people can be encouraged to minimise journey lengths for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities.
92. For larger scale residential developments in particular, planning policies should promote a mix of uses in order to provide opportunities to undertake day-to-day activities including work on site. Where practical,

particularly within large-scale developments, key facilities such as primary schools and local shops should be located within walking distance of most properties.

93. When setting local standards for residential and non-residential development, local planning authorities should take into account:

- the accessibility of the development
- the type, mix and use of development
- local car ownership
- existing and future public transport accessibility; and
- an overall need to reduce the use of high-emission vehicles.

Deleted: ; and

94. Local planning authorities should identify and protect, where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice.

Communications infrastructure

Objectives

95. Advanced, high quality communications infrastructure is essential for sustainable growth. The development of high speed broadband technology and other communications networks also plays a vital role in enhancing the provision of local community facilities and services. The Government's objective for the planning system is to facilitate the growth of new and existing telecommunication systems in order to ensure that people have a choice of providers and services, and equitable access to the latest technology.

Deleted: economic

Facilitate the growth of communications infrastructure

96. In preparing Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans, local planning authorities, parishes and neighbourhood forums should support the expansion of the electronic communications networks, including telecommunications and high speed broadband. They should aim to keep the numbers of radio and telecommunications' masts and the sites for such installations to a minimum consistent with the efficient operation of the network. Existing masts, buildings and other structures should be used, unless the need for a new site has been justified. Where new sites are required, equipment should be sympathetically designed and camouflaged where appropriate.
97. Local planning authorities should not impose a ban on new telecommunications' development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or wide range of telecommunications development or insist on minimum distances between new telecommunications development and existing development. They should ensure that:
- communications infrastructure does not cause significant and irremediable interference with other electrical equipment, air traffic services or instrumentation operated in the national interest; and
 - construction of new buildings or other structures does not cause interference with broadcast and telecommunications services.
98. Applications for telecommunications development (including for prior approval under Part 24 of the General Permitted Development Order) should be supported by the necessary evidence to justify the proposed development. This should include:
- the outcome of consultations with organisations with an interest in the proposed development, in particular with the relevant body where a mast is to be installed near a school or college or within a statutory safeguarding zone surrounding an aerodrome or technical site; and

- for an addition to an existing mast or base station, a statement that self-certifies that the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission on non-ionising radiation protection guidelines; or
- for a new mast or base station, evidence that the applicant has explored the possibility of erecting antennas on an existing building, mast or other structure and a statement that self-certifies that, when operational, International Commission guidelines will be met.

99. Local planning authorities should not question whether the service to be provided is needed nor seek to prevent competition between operators, but must determine applications on planning grounds. Whilst health issues are capable of being a material consideration, local planning authorities should not need to consider further the health implications of telecommunications installations that are accompanied by an ICNIRP certificate.

Minerals

Objectives

100. Minerals are essential to support sustainable growth. It is therefore important that there is a sufficient supply of material to provide the infrastructure, buildings, energy and goods that the country needs. The Government's objective for the planning system is to:

Deleted: economic

- secure an adequate and steady supply of indigenous minerals needed to support sustainable growth, whilst encouraging the recycling of suitable materials to minimise the requirement for new primary extraction; and
- facilitate sustainable use of energy minerals.

Secure an adequate supply of minerals

101. In preparing minerals plans local planning authorities should:

- liaise with neighbouring authorities to co-ordinate the planning of locally important minerals or with more distant authorities where those authorities also host minerals of greater than local importance
- not identify sites or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction
- plan for a steady and adequate supply of land-won aggregates by:
 - taking account of the proposed apportionment of aggregates in the current National and Regional Guidelines, as advised by Aggregate Working Parties, while ensuring planned quantitative allocations of minerals reflect the ability for requirements to be met from sustainable sources including recycling⁴; and
 - using landbanks of permitted reserves to indicate when new permissions for extraction are likely to be needed
- ensure security of supply of industrial and energy minerals to support their likely use in manufacturing processes and energy generation taking account of any national forecasts of requirements and the importance of avoiding local as well as wider scarcity of supply, specifically by:
 - close liaison with other planning authorities where the minerals exist to manage extraction rates and, where appropriate, to encourage safeguarding or stockpiling so that important minerals remain available for use

⁴ Local planning authorities can choose to use alternative figures for preparing their plans if they have new or different information and a robust evidence base.

- allocating sufficient land to maintain landbanks of at least seven years for sand and gravel and at least 10 years for crushed rock, silica sand and brick clay, although longer land banks may be justified in specific circumstances, such as the need to ensure the viability of proposed new investment.

102. Local planning authorities should:

- as far as is practical, ensure sufficient levels of permitted reserves are available from outside National Parks, the Broads, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and World Heritage sites
- ensure that large landbanks bound up in very few sites do not stifle competition
- define Minerals Safeguarding Areas in order that proven resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development, whilst not creating a presumption that resources defined will be worked. This should include known locations of specific minerals of local and national importance, such as aggregates, brickclay (especially Etruria Marl and fireclay), silica sand (including high grade silica sands), salt, fluorspar, coal, kaolin, ball clay, potash and local minerals of importance to heritage assets
- safeguard:
 - existing, planned and potential rail heads, rail links to quarries, wharfage and associated storage, handling and processing facilities for the bulk transport by rail, sea or inland waterways of minerals, including recycled, secondary and marine-dredged materials; and
 - existing, planned and potential sites for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other concrete products and the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material
- contain policies to encourage the prior extraction of minerals, where practicable, if it is necessary for non-mineral development to take place in Minerals Safeguarding Areas
- set out environmental criteria, in line with the policies in this Framework, against which planning applications will be assessed so as to ensure that permitted operations do not have unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural and historic environment or human health, including from tip- and quarry-slope stability, differential settlement of quarry backfill and migration of contamination from the site
- when developing noise limits, recognise that some noisy short-term activities, which may otherwise be regarded as unacceptable, are unavoidable to facilitate minerals extraction; and

- put in place policies to ensure worked land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity, taking account of aviation safety, and that high quality restoration and aftercare of mineral sites takes place, including for agriculture, biodiversity, native woodland and recreation.

103. When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should:

- give significant weight to the benefits of the mineral extraction, including to the economy
- ensure, in granting planning permission for mineral development, that there are no unacceptable adverse impacts on the natural and historic environment, human health or aviation safety, and bear in mind the cumulative effect of multiple impacts from individual sites and/or from a number of sites in a locality
- ensure that any unavoidable noise, dust and particle emissions and any blasting vibrations caused by mineral extraction are controlled, mitigated or removed at source, and establish appropriate noise limits for extraction in proximity to noise sensitive properties
- not grant planning permission for peat extraction from new or extended sites
- provide for restoration to be carried out to high environmental standards, through the application of appropriate conditions, where necessary
- not normally permit other development proposals in mineral safeguarding areas where they might constrain potential future use for these purposes; and
- consider allowing small-scale extraction of building stone at, or close to, relic quarries where it would contribute to the repair of historic buildings without compromising the requirement to protect designated sites.

Facilitate sustainable use of energy minerals

104. In addition to the general policies on minerals, local planning authorities should:

- encourage underground gas and carbon storage if local geological circumstances indicate its feasibility
- encourage capture and use of methane from coal mines in coalfield areas
- when planning for on-shore oil and gas development, clearly distinguish between the three phases (exploration, appraisal and production) and address constraints on production and processing within areas that are licensed for oil and gas exploration or production; and

- provide for coal producers to extract separately, and if necessary stockpile, fireclay reserves so that it remains available for use; and
- indicate any areas where coal extraction and the disposal of colliery spoil may be acceptable.

105. When determining planning applications, planning authorities should ensure that the integrity and safety of underground storage facilities are appropriate, taking into account the maintenance of gas pressure, prevention of leakage of gas and the avoidance of pollution.

106. For the extraction of coal, there should be a presumption against development unless:

- the proposal is environmentally acceptable, or can be made so by planning conditions or obligations; or, if not
- it provides national, local or community benefits which clearly outweigh the likely impacts to justify the grant of planning permission.

Planning for people

Housing

Objectives

107. The Government's key housing objective is to increase significantly the delivery of new homes. Everyone should have the opportunity to live in high quality, well designed homes, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live. This means:

- increasing the supply of housing
- delivering a wide choice of high quality homes that people want and need
- widening opportunities for home ownership; and
- creating sustainable, inclusive and mixed communities, including through the regeneration and renewal of areas of poor housing.

108. To enable this, the planning system should aim to deliver a sufficient quantity, quality and range of housing consistent with the land use principles and other policies of this Framework.

Significantly increasing the supply of housing

109. To boost the supply of housing, local planning authorities, parishes and neighbourhood forums should:

- use a robust but proportionate evidence-base to ensure that their Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plan seeks to meet the full requirements for market and affordable housing in the housing market area, including identifying key sites which are critical to the delivery of the housing strategy over the plan period
- identify and maintain a rolling supply of specific deliverable⁵ sites sufficient to provide five years worth of housing against their housing requirements. In order to safeguard against sites that may not come forward, this five year supply should include an additional allowance of at least 20 per cent of sites that are deliverable
- identify a supply of specific, developable⁶ sites or broad locations for sustainable growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15

Deleted: n

Comment [A28]: In places like London need cannot be met in many areas and demand would be insatiable. In some areas if all housing was affordable even the need for AH would not be met. Hence the need for "... seeks to..."

Deleted: s

Deleted: The

Comment [A29]: Original wording did not convey the policy intention accurately

⁵ To be considered deliverable, sites should at the point of adoption of the Local Plan be available now, offer a suitable location for development now, and be achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within five years and in particular that development of the site is viable i.e. that it would provide acceptable returns to a willing landowner and a willing developer based on current values and taking account of all likely infrastructure, standards and other costs.

⁶ To be considered developable, sites should be in a suitable location for housing development and there should be a reasonable prospect that the site is available and could be viably developed at the point envisaged.

- not make allowance for windfall sites in the first 10 years of supply, or in the rolling five-year supply, unless they can provide **robust evidence** of genuine local circumstances that prevent specific sites being identified. Any allowance should be realistic having regard to the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, historic windfall delivery rates and expected future trends
- illustrate the expected rate of housing delivery through a housing trajectory for the plan period and, for market housing, set out a housing implementation strategy describing how they will maintain delivery of a five-year supply of housing land to meet their housing target
- set out their own approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances; and
- identify and bring back into residential use empty housing and buildings in line with local housing and empty homes strategies and, where appropriate, acquire properties under compulsory purchase powers.

Comment [A30]: Consistency in wording

Deleted: compelling

110. The presumption in favour of sustainable development means that Local Plans **and Neighbourhood Plans** should be prepared on the basis that **they should seek to meet** objectively assessed development needs, unless the adverse impacts of doing so would **demonstrably outweigh the benefits**, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole. Applications should be considered in accordance with the presumption. **In the absence of an up-to-date and consistent Development Plan, more weight will be given to the policies in this Framework in the determination of planning applications, including its presumption in favour of sustainable development.**

Deleted: should be met

Comment [A31]: Again, need to avoid introducing a new test – see para 14

Deleted: significantly and

Comment [A32]: This is in line with the statutory S38(6) position

Deliver a wide choice of quality homes

111. To deliver a wide choice of quality homes and widen opportunities for home ownership, local planning authorities should:

- plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, market trends and the needs of different groups in the community (such as families with children, the elderly and people with disabilities)
- identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required in particular locations, reflecting local **need and also considering viability and deliverability**; and
- where they have identified affordable housing is required, set policies for meeting this need on site, unless off-site provision or a financial contribution of broadly equivalent value can be robustly justified (for example to improve or make more effective use of the existing housing stock) and the agreed approach contributes to the objective of creating mixed and balanced communities.

Deleted: Planning permission should be granted where relevant policies are out of date, for example where a local authority cannot demonstrate an up-to-date five-year supply of deliverable housing sites.

Deleted: demand

112. In rural areas, local planning authorities should be responsive to local circumstances and plan housing development to reflect local requirements, particularly for affordable housing. Local planning authorities should in particular consider whether allowing some market housing would facilitate the provision of significant additional affordable housing to meet local needs. To promote sustainable development, housing in rural areas should not be located in places distant from local services.
113. However, local planning authorities should avoid isolated homes in the countryside unless there are special circumstances such as:
- the essential need for a rural worker to live permanently at or near their place of work in the countryside; or
 - where development would ensure the future of buildings of special architectural or historic interest; or
 - where the development would re-use redundant or disused buildings and lead to an enhancement to the immediate setting; or
 - the exceptional quality or the innovative nature of the design of the dwelling. Such a design should:
 - be truly outstanding or innovative, helping to raise standards of design more generally in rural areas
 - reflect the highest standards in architecture
 - significantly enhance its immediate setting; and
 - be sensitive to the defining characteristics of the local area.

Design

Objectives

114. The Government attaches great importance to the design of the built environment. Good design is indivisible from good planning and should contribute positively to making places better for people. The Government's objective for the planning system is to promote good design that ensures attractive, usable and durable places and supports buildings that are contextually appropriate, robust and flexible in their use and sustainable in their operation. This is a key element in achieving sustainable development.

Deliver high quality design

115. It is important to plan positively for the achievement of high quality and inclusive design for all development, including individual buildings, public and private spaces and wider area development schemes.

116. Local Plans, including any neighbourhood plans, should develop robust and comprehensive policies that set out the quality of development that will be expected for the area. Such policies should be based on stated objectives for the future of the area and an understanding and evaluation of its present defining characteristics. Planning policies and decisions should aim to ensure that developments:

- ensure that a place will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development
- optimise the potential of the site to accommodate development, create and sustain an appropriate mix of uses (including incorporation of green and other public space as part of developments) and support local facilities and transport networks
- respond to local character and the historic environment and reflect the identity of local surroundings, while not preventing or discouraging appropriate innovation
- create safe and accessible environments that prioritises the amenity of current and future residents where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion,
- are visually attractive as a result of good architecture and appropriate landscaping; and
- address some of the causes of climate change and includes sustainable design and construction measures.

Deleted: ; and

117. Local planning authorities should consider using design codes where they could help deliver high quality outcomes. However, design policies should avoid unnecessary prescription or detail and should concentrate on

guiding the overall scale, density, massing, height, landscape, layout and access of new development in relation to neighbouring buildings and the local area more generally.

- 118. Planning policies and decisions should not attempt to impose architectural styles or particular tastes and they should not stifle innovation, originality or initiative through unsubstantiated requirements to conform to certain development forms or styles.
- 119. Although visual appearance and the architecture of individual buildings are important factors, securing high quality and inclusive design goes beyond aesthetic considerations. Therefore, planning policies and decisions should require design and access statements to be submitted to address the connections between people and places and the integration of new development into the natural, built and historic environment to help create sustainable communities.
- 120. Local planning authorities should have local design review arrangements in place to provide assessment and support to ensure high standards of design. They should also when appropriate refer major projects for a national design review⁷.
- 121. In determining applications, significant weight should be given to exemplary designs when assessed against well-established industry design principles, which will help raise the standard of design more generally in the area. Permission should be refused for development of obviously poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions.
- 122. Developers will be expected to work closely with those directly affected by their proposals to evolve design proposals that take account of the views of the community. Proposals that can demonstrate this in developing the design of the new development should be looked on more favourably.
- 123. Control over outdoor advertisements should be efficient, effective and simple in concept and operation. Only those advertisements which will clearly have an appreciable impact on a building or on their surroundings should be subject to the local planning authority's detailed assessment. Advertisements should be subject to control only in the interests of amenity and public safety.

Comment [A33]: The policy as it is worded does not provide guidance on what should be considered 'truly outstanding or innovative designs'. This subjectivity at a national level could lead to difficulty when Planning Inspectors are assessing proposals at planning appeals. It is possible to check schemes against well-established design principles on which there is consensus from designers who use widely varied styles. For example, measures such as Building for Life (used for housing) allow schemes to be rated for design quality.

Deleted: truly outstanding or innovative designs

Comment [A34]: It is not just about engagement but also taking "account of the views of the community"

Deleted: good engagement with the community

⁷ Currently provided by Design Council Caba

Sustainable communities

Objectives

124. The Government's objective is to create strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by creating a good quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect community needs and support well-being. To achieve this objective the planning system should:

- create a built environment that facilitates social interaction and inclusive communities, such as lifetime neighbourhoods
- deliver the right community facilities, schools, hospitals and services to meet local needs; and
- ensure access to open spaces and recreational facilities that promote the health and well-being of the community.

Facilitate social interaction and inclusive communities

125. The planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating inclusive communities. Local planning authorities should create a shared vision with communities of the residential environment and facilities they wish to see. To support this, local planning authorities should aim to involve all sections of the community in the development of local and neighbourhood plans and in planning decisions. Planning policies and decisions, in turn, should aim to design places which promote:

- opportunities for meetings between members of the community who might not otherwise come into contact with each other, including through mixed-use developments which bring together those who work, live and play in the vicinity
- safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion; and
- accessible developments, containing clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas.

Deliver community facilities and local services

126. To deliver the facilities and services the community needs, planning policies and decisions should:

- plan positively for the provision and integration of community facilities (such as local shops, meeting places, public houses and places of worship) and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments

- safeguard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services, particularly where this would reduce the community's ability to meet its day-to-day needs
- ensure that established shops, facilities and services are able to develop and modernise in a way that is sustainable, and retained for the benefit of the community; and
- ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities and good access to key services and infrastructure. Where large scale development is proposed in less sustainable locations, local planning authorities should require investment to improve the sustainability of the site.

127. Local authorities should take a proactive, positive and collaborative approach to the development of schools by working with schools promoters to identify and resolve key issues before applications are submitted. In determining planning applications for schools, local planning authorities should:

- attach very significant weight to the desirability of establishing new schools and to enabling local people to do so
- seek to mitigate any negative impacts of development through the use of planning conditions or planning obligations, as appropriate; and
- only refuse planning permission for a new school if the adverse planning impacts on the local area outweigh the desirability of establishing a school in that area.

Deliver open space, sports and recreational facilities

128. Access to good quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and recreation can make an important contribution to the health and well-being of communities. The planning system has a role in helping to create an environment where activities are made easier and public health can be improved. Planning policies should identify the existing and future specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities in the local area through an audit. The information gained from this assessment of needs and opportunities should be used to set locally derived standards for the provision of open space, sports and recreational facilities. Planning policies should protect and enhance rights of way and access.

129. Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless:

- an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; and
- the need for and benefits of the development clearly outweigh the loss.

Deleted: or

130. Local communities through local plans should be able to identify for special protection green areas of particular importance to them. By designating land as Local Green Space local communities will be able to rule out new development other than in very special circumstances. Identifying land as Local Green Space should therefore be consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or reviewed, and planned so that they are capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period.

Comment [A35]: These designations are strategic decisions made in the context of delivering the development needs of the area as a whole

Deleted: and neighbourhood

131. The Local Green Space designation will not be appropriate for most green areas or open space. The designation should only be used:

- where the green space is in reasonably close proximity to a centre of population or urban area
- where the green area is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance because of its beauty, historic importance, recreational value, tranquillity or richness of its wildlife
- where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land; and
- if the designation does not overlap with Green Belt.

132. Local policy for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with policy for Green Belts.

Green Belt

Objectives

133. The Government attaches great importance to Green Belts. The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and their permanence.
134. Green Belt serves five purposes:
- to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas
 - to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another
 - to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment
 - to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
 - to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.
135. Once Green Belts have been defined, local planning authorities should plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt, such as looking for opportunities to provide access; provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation; retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity; or improve damaged and derelict land.

Designation of Green Belts

136. The general extent of Green Belts across the country is already established. It should not be necessary to designate new Green Belts except in exceptional circumstances. If proposing a new Green Belt, local planning authorities should:
- demonstrate why normal planning and development management policies would not be adequate
 - set out whether any major changes in circumstances have made the adoption of this exceptional measure necessary
 - show what the consequences of the proposal would be for sustainable development
 - demonstrate the necessity for the Green Belt and its consistency with Local Plans for adjoining areas; and
 - show how the Green Belt would meet the other objectives of the Framework.

137. Local planning authorities with Green Belts in their area should establish Green Belt boundaries in their Local Plans which set the framework for Green Belt and settlement policy. Once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered through the preparation or review of the Local Plan in exceptional circumstances. At that time, authorities should consider the Green Belt boundaries having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so that they should be capable of enduring beyond the plan period.

Deleted: ¶
138. . The appropriateness of existing Green Belt boundaries should only be considered when a Local Plan is being prepared or reviewed.

139. When drawing up or reviewing Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development. They should consider the consequences for sustainable development of channelling development towards urban areas inside the Green Belt boundary, towards towns and villages inset within the Green Belt or towards locations beyond the outer Green Belt boundary.

Comment [A36]: Wording tightened up to establish the process more clearly – only review GB boundary in exceptional circumstances and then only through the Local plan process. Original drafting could be misread.

140. When defining boundaries, local planning authorities should:

- ensure consistency with the Local Plan strategy for meeting identified requirements for sustainable development
- not include land which it is unnecessary to keep permanently open
- where necessary, identify in their plans areas of ‘safeguarded land’ between the urban area and the Green Belt, in order to meet longer-term development needs stretching well beyond the plan period
- make clear that the safeguarded land is not allocated for development at the present time. Planning permission for the permanent development of safeguarded land should only be granted following a local plan review which proposes the development
- satisfy themselves that Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the development plan period; and
- define boundaries clearly, using physical features that are readily recognisable and likely to be permanent.

141. If it is necessary to prevent development in a village primarily because of the important contribution which the open character of the village makes to the openness of the Green Belt, the village should be included in the Green Belt. If, however, the character of the village needs to be protected for other reasons, other means should be used, such as conservation area or normal development management policies, and the village should be excluded from the Green Belt.

142. Inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances.

143. When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green

Belt. 'Very special circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations. The need to provide housing alone is unlikely to constitute very special circumstances.

144. A local planning authority should regard the construction of new buildings as inappropriate in Green Belt. Exceptions to this are:

- buildings for agriculture and forestry
- provision of essential facilities for outdoor sport, outdoor recreation and for cemeteries, as long as it preserves the openness of the Green Belt and does not conflict with the purposes of including land within it
- the extension or alteration of a building provided that it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the **original** building
- the replacement of a building, provided the new building is not materially larger than the one it replaces
- limited infilling in villages, and limited affordable housing for local community needs under policies set out in the Local Plan, including any Neighbourhood Plans; or
- limited infilling or the partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed sites (excluding temporary buildings), whether redundant or in continuing use, which would not have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt and the purpose of including land within it than the existing development.

X. Essential facilities should be genuinely required for uses of land which preserve the openness of the green belt and do not conflict with the purposes of including land in it. Possible examples of such facilities include small changing rooms or unobtrusive spectator accommodation for outdoor sport, or small stables for outdoor sport and outdoor recreation.

145. Certain other forms of development are also not inappropriate in Green Belt provided they preserve the openness of the Green Belt and do not conflict with the purposes of including land in Green Belt. These are:

- mineral extraction
- engineering operations
- local transport infrastructure which can demonstrate a requirement for a Green Belt location
- the re-use of buildings provided that the buildings are of permanent and substantial construction; and
- development brought forward under a Community Right to Build Order.

Comment [A37]: This change and new paragraph below are important elements of PPG2 – their omission will weaken Green Belt policy and Ministers have stated that it's not their intention to do so.

Deleted: appropriate

146. When located in the Green Belt, elements of many renewable energy projects will comprise inappropriate development. In such cases developers will need to demonstrate very special circumstances if projects are to proceed. Such very special circumstances may include the wider environmental benefits associated with increased production of energy from renewable sources.
147. Community Forests offer valuable opportunities for improving the environment around towns, by upgrading the landscape and providing for recreation and wildlife. An approved Community Forest plan may be a material consideration in preparing development plans and in deciding planning applications. Any development proposals within Community Forests in the Green Belt should be subject to the normal policies controlling development in Green Belts.

X. In London, Metropolitan Open Land should be addressed and managed in a similar way to Green Belt.

Planning for places

Climate change, flooding and coastal change

Comment [A38]: The NPPF focuses almost solely on use of energy/DE/ renewable energy and flood risk. We suggest adding one or two points to this section to deal with this.

Objectives

148. The Government's objective is that planning should fully support the transition to a low carbon economy in a changing climate, taking full account of flood risk and coastal change. To achieve this objective, the planning system should aim to:

- secure, consistent with the Government's published objectives, radical reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, through the appropriate location and layout of new development, and active support for energy efficiency improvements to existing buildings and the delivery of renewable and low-carbon energy infrastructure
- recognise the cross-cutting nature of climate change impacts on areas such as biodiversity, open space provision, water use, physical infrastructure, transport, use of materials (embedded energy), and health and well-being
- minimise vulnerability and provide resilience to impacts arising from climate change
- recognise the heat island effect, especially in urban areas, and include measures for "cooling" - both for buildings and also for the public realm
- avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding by directing development away from areas at highest risk or where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere; and
- reduce risk from coastal change by avoiding inappropriate development in vulnerable areas or adding to the impacts of physical changes to the coast.

149. To this end, local planning authorities should adopt proactive strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change.

Support cuts in greenhouse gas emissions

150. To support the move to a low-carbon economy, local planning authorities should:

- plan for new development in locations and ways which reduce greenhouse gas emissions; and
- when setting any local requirement for a building's sustainability, do so in a way consistent with the Government's zero carbon buildings policy and adopt nationally described standards.

151. Local planning authorities should not refuse planning permission for well-designed buildings or infrastructure which promote high levels of sustainability because of concerns about incompatibility with an existing townscape unless the concern relates to a designated heritage or landscape/environmental asset and the impact would cause material harm to the asset or its setting, and this harm is not outweighed by the proposal’s wider social, economic and environmental benefits.

Support the delivery of renewable and low-carbon energy

152. To help increase the use and supply of renewable and low-carbon energy, local planning authorities should recognise the responsibility on all communities to contribute to energy generation from renewable or low-carbon sources. They should:

- have a positive strategy to promote energy from renewable and low-carbon sources, including deep geothermal energy
- design their policies to maximise renewable and low-carbon energy development while ensuring that adverse impacts are addressed satisfactorily
- consider identifying suitable areas for renewable and low-carbon energy sources, and supporting infrastructure, where this would help secure the development of such sources⁸
- support community-led initiatives for renewable and low carbon energy, including developments outside such areas being taken forward through neighbourhood planning; and

• ~~require development to draw its energy supply from decentralised, renewable or low carbon energy supply systems and for co-locating potential heat customers and suppliers where possible.~~

Deleted: identify opportunities where

Deleted: can

Comment [A39]: In practice this is a development management issue as much as a development planning issue

153. When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the presumption in favour of sustainable development and:

- not require applicants for energy development to demonstrate the overall need for renewable or low-carbon energy and also recognise that even small-scale projects provide a valuable contribution to cutting greenhouse gas emissions; and
- approve the application if its impacts are acceptable. Once opportunity areas for renewable and low-carbon energy have been mapped in plans, local planning authorities should also expect subsequent applications for commercial scale projects outside these areas to

Comment [A40]: Already covered more clearly in paragraphs 54 & 74

Deleted: (or can be made)

⁸ In assessing the likely impacts of potential wind energy development in broad areas, and in determining planning applications for such development, planning authorities should follow the approach set out in the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (read with the relevant sections of the Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy Infrastructure, including that on aviation impacts). Where plans identify areas as suitable for renewable and low-carbon energy development, they should make clear what criteria have determined their selection, including for what size of development the areas are considered suitable.

demonstrate that the proposed location meets the criteria used in identifying opportunity areas.

Minimise vulnerability to climate change and manage the risk of flooding

154. New development should be planned to avoid increased vulnerability to impacts arising from climate change. When new development is brought forward in areas which are vulnerable, care should be taken to ensure that risks can be managed through suitable adaptation measures, including through the planning of green infrastructure.
155. Local Plans must be supported by strategic flood risk assessment ([SFRA](#)) and develop policies to manage flood risk, taking account of advice from the Environment Agency.
156. Local Plans [and Neighbourhood Plans](#), should apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid flood risk to people and property where possible, and manage any residual risk, taking account of the impacts of climate change, by:
- applying the Sequential Test⁹
 - if necessary, applying the Exception Test¹⁰
 - safeguarding land from development that is required for current and future flood management
 - using opportunities offered by new development to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding; and
 - where climate change is expected to increase flood risk so that some existing development may not be sustainable in the long-term, seeking opportunities to facilitate the relocation of development, including housing, to more sustainable locations.
157. When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere and only consider development in flood risk areas appropriate where informed by a site-

⁹ The aim of the Sequential Test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will provide the basis for applying this test. A sequential approach should be used in areas known to be at risk from other forms of flooding. The Sequential Test should not be applied to minor development and changes of use.

¹⁰ 10 If, following application of the Sequential Test, it is not possible, consistent with wider sustainability objectives, for the development to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding, the Exception Test can be applied if appropriate. For the Exception Test to be passed: a) it must be demonstrated that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, informed by a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment where one has been prepared; and b) a site-specific flood risk assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall. Both elements of the test will have to be passed for development to be allocated or permitted.

specific flood risk assessment¹¹ following the Sequential Test, and if required the Exception Test, it can be demonstrated that:

- within the site, the most vulnerable development is located in areas of lowest flood risk unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location; and
- development is appropriately flood resilient and resistant, including safe access and escape routes where required, and that any residual risk can be safely managed; and it gives priority to the use of sustainable drainage systems.

158. For individual developments on sites allocated in development plans through the Sequential Test, applicants need not apply the sequential test. Applications for minor development and changes of use should not be subject to the Sequential or Exception Tests but should still meet the requirements for site-specific flood risk assessments.

X. Flood risk assessment should be carried out to the appropriate degree at all levels of the planning process, to assess the risks of all forms of flooding to and from development taking climate change into account and to inform the application of the sequential approach.

X. A SFRA should be carried out by the local planning authority to inform the preparation of its Local Plan, having regard to catchment-wide flooding issues which affect the area. The SFRA will provide the information needed to apply the sequential approach. Policies in the local Plan should set out requirements for site-specific Flood Risk Assessments to be carried out by developers and submitted with planning applications in areas of flood risk identified in the plan.

Comment [A41]: We supports the Government's sequential, risk-based approach to managing the risk of flooding. The continued support for site specific flood risk assessments is welcomed. However, we recommend that this further guidance is included on how flood risk assessment should be carried out, to ensure consistency

Manage risk from coastal change

159. In coastal areas, local planning authorities should take account of marine plans and apply Integrated Coastal Zone Management across local authority and land/sea boundaries.

160. Local planning authorities should identify as a Coastal Change Management Area any area likely to be affected by physical changes to the coast. Planning authorities should:

- be clear as to what development will be appropriate in such areas and in what circumstances; and
- make provision for development and infrastructure that needs to be relocated away from Coastal Change Management Areas.

¹¹ A site-specific flood risk assessment is required for proposals of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 1 and all proposals for new development (including minor development and change of use) in Flood Zones 2 and 3 and Critical Drainage Areas, and also where proposed development or a change of use to a more vulnerable class may be subject to other sources of flooding.

161. When assessing applications, authorities should consider development in a Coastal Change Management Area appropriate where it is demonstrated that:
- it will be safe over its planned lifetime and will not have an unacceptable impact on coastal change
 - the character of the coast including designations is not compromised
 - the development provides wider sustainability benefits; and
 - the development does not hinder the creation and maintenance of a continuous signed and managed route around the coast.
162. Local planning authorities should also ensure appropriate development in a Coastal Change Management Area is not impacted by coastal change by limiting the planned life-time of the proposed development through temporary permission and restoration conditions where necessary to reduce the risk to people and the development.

Natural environment

Objectives

163. The Government's objective is that planning should help to deliver a healthy natural environment for the benefit of everyone and safe places which promote wellbeing.
164. To achieve this objective, the planning system should aim to conserve and enhance the natural and local environment by:
- protecting valued landscapes
 - minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity, where possible; and
 - preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of land, air, water or noise pollution or land instability.
165. In preparing plans to meet development requirements, the aim should be to minimise adverse effects on the local and natural environment. Plans should allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value where practical, having regard to other policies in the Framework including the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Plans should be prepared on the basis that objectively assessed development needs should be met, unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole.
166. To this end, local planning authorities should set criteria based policies against which proposals for any development on or affecting protected wildlife sites or landscape areas will be judged. Distinctions should be made between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites¹².

Protect valued landscape

167. Local planning authorities should:
- set out a strategic approach in their Local Plans, planning positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure
 - maintain the character of the undeveloped coast, protecting and enhancing its distinctive landscapes, particularly in areas defined as

¹² National sites comprise Sites of Special Scientific Interest, which should be given a high degree of protection. Circular 06/2005 provides further guidance in respect of statutory obligations for biological conservation and their impact within the planning system.

Heritage Coast, and improve public access to and enjoyment of the coast

- take into account the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land. Where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, local planning authorities should seek to use areas of poorer quality land in preference to that of a higher quality, except where this would be inconsistent with other sustainability considerations or the Local Plan's [sustainable](#) growth strategy and where poorer quality land is unavailable or unsuitable
- give great weight to protecting landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The conservation of wildlife and cultural heritage are important considerations in all these areas, and should be given great weight in National Parks and the Broads. Planning permission should be refused for major developments in designated areas except in exceptional circumstances where it can be demonstrated they are in the public interest. Consideration of such applications should include an assessment of:
 - the need for the development, including in terms of any national considerations, and the impact of permitting it, or refusing it, upon the local economy
 - the cost of, and scope for, developing elsewhere outside the designated area, or meeting the need for it in some other way; and
 - any detrimental effect on the environment, the landscape and recreational opportunities, and the extent to which that could be moderated.

Minimise impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity

168. Planning policies should:

- take account of the need to plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale across local authority boundaries
- identify and map components of the local ecological networks, including: international, national and local sites of importance for biodiversity, and areas identified by local partnerships for habitat restoration or creation
- promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the recovery of priority species populations, linked to national and local targets¹³; and identify suitable indicators for monitoring biodiversity in the plan; and
- aim to prevent harm to geological conservation interests.

¹³ Including those identified by local biodiversity partnerships.

169. When determining planning applications in accordance with the **Local Plan** and the presumption in favour of sustainable development, local planning authorities should aim to conserve and enhance biodiversity by applying the following principles:

Comment [A42]: This statement is only correct with the definition of Local Plan we have included in the Glossary

- if significant harm resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused
- development proposals where the primary objective is to conserve or enhance biodiversity should be permitted
- opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments should be encouraged
- planning permission should be refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland, unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss
- the following wildlife sites should be given the same protection as European sites:
 - potential Special Protection Areas and possible Special Areas of Conservation
 - listed or proposed Ramsar sites¹⁴; and
 - sites identified, or required, as compensatory measures for adverse effects on European sites, potential Special Protection Areas, possible Special Areas of Conservation, and listed or proposed Ramsar sites.

170. Development likely to have a significant effect on sites protected under the Birds and Habitats Directives are likely to require particular justification, should be looked at on their merits and their wider economic and environmental benefits assessed.

Deleted: would not be sustainable under the terms of the presumption in favour of sustainable development

Preventing unacceptable risks from pollution and land instability

171. Local policies and decisions should ensure that:

- new development is appropriate for its location, having regard to the effects of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity, taking account of the potential sensitivity of the area or proposed development to adverse effects from pollution; and

¹⁴ Potential Special Protection Areas, possible Special Areas of Conservation and proposed Ramsar sites are sites on which Government has initiated public consultation on the scientific case for designation as a Special Protection Area, candidate Special Area of Conservation or Ramsar site.

- the site is suitable for its new use taking account of ground conditions, pollution arising from previous uses and any proposals for land remediation¹⁵.
172. In doing so, local planning authorities should focus on whether the development itself is an acceptable use of the land, and the impact of the use, rather than the control of processes or emissions themselves where these are subject to approval under pollution control regimes. Planning authorities should assume that these regimes will operate effectively. Equally, where a planning decision has been made on a particular development, the planning issues should not be revisited through the permitting regimes operated by pollution control authorities.
173. Planning policies and decisions should aim to:
- avoid noise from giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life as a result of new development
 - mitigate and reduce to a minimum other adverse impacts on health and quality of life arising from noise from new development, including through the use of conditions, while recognising that many developments will create some noise; and
 - identify and protect areas of tranquillity which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason.
174. Planning policies should sustain compliance with and contribute towards EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas and the cumulative impacts on air quality from individual sites in local areas. Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in Air Quality Management Areas is consistent with the local air quality action plan.
175. By encouraging good design, planning policies and decisions should limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation.

¹⁵ As a minimum, the land should not be capable of being determined as contaminated land under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.

Historic environment

Objectives

176. The Government's objective is that the historic environment and its heritage assets should be conserved and enjoyed for the quality of life they bring to this and future generations. It is a non-renewable resource that can bring economic, social and economic benefits to communities and society generally, provided it is properly managed.
177. To achieve this, the Government's objectives for planning for the historic environment¹⁶ are to:
- conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance; and
 - contribute to our knowledge and understanding of our past by capturing evidence from the historic environment and making this publicly available, particularly where a heritage asset is to be lost.

Conserve heritage assets

178. Local planning authorities should set out a strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk through neglect, decay or other threats. In developing this strategy, local planning authorities should take into account:
- the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and their settings
 - the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring; and
 - the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.
179. When considering the designation of conservation areas, local planning authorities should ensure that an area justifies such status and that the concept of conservation areas is not devalued through the designation of areas that lack special interest.
180. In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been

¹⁶ The principles and policies set out in this section apply to the heritage-related consent regimes for which planning authorities are responsible under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, as well as to plan-making and development management decisions.

consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where an application site includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

181. Local planning authorities should identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal (including by development affecting the setting of a heritage asset) taking account of the available evidence and any necessary expertise. They should use this assessment when considering the impact of a proposal on a heritage asset, to avoid or minimise conflict between the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the proposal.
182. Where there is evidence of deliberate neglect of or damage to a heritage asset the deteriorated state of the heritage asset should not be taken into account in any decision.
183. When considering the impact of a proposed development on a designated heritage asset, considerable importance and weight should be given to its conservation. For applications that effect listed buildings, local planning authorities must have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses and for conservation areas, they must pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area. The more important the asset, the greater the weight should be. As heritage assets are irreplaceable, any harm or loss should require clear and convincing justification. Substantial harm to or loss of a grade II listed building, park or garden should be exceptional. Substantial harm to or loss of designated heritage assets of the highest significance, notably scheduled monuments, protected wreck sites, battlefields, grade I and II* listed buildings, grade I and II* registered parks and gardens, and World Heritage Sites, should be wholly exceptional.

Comment [A43]: These are the statutory provisions in the Planning LB&CA Act 1990

X. In judging whether a proposal affects the intrinsic value of a historic asset, the local planning authority should also have regard to the cumulative effect of small scale developments and alterations.

184. Where the application will lead to substantial harm to or total loss of significance of a designated heritage asset local planning authorities should refuse consent, unless it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss, or all of the following apply:
- the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and
 - no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term that will enable its conservation; and

- conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is not possible; and
- the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.

185. In weighing applications that affect directly or indirectly non-designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the presumption in favour of sustainable development, the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.
186. Local planning authorities should not permit loss of the whole or part of a heritage asset without taking all reasonable steps to ensure the new development will proceed after the loss has occurred.
187. Loss of a building (or other non-designated heritage asset) that makes a positive contribution to a Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should also be treated as substantial harm to a designated heritage asset.
188. Local planning authorities should look for opportunities to enhance or better reveal the significance of Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites. When considering applications for development that affect the setting of a heritage asset, local planning authorities should treat favourably applications that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to or better reveal the significance of the asset.
189. Non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest that are demonstrably of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments, should be considered subject to the policies for designated heritage assets.
190. Local planning authorities should assess whether the benefits of an application for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies.

Contribute to knowledge and understanding of the past

191. Local planning authorities should make information about the significance of the historic environment gathered as part of plan-making or development management publicly accessible. They should also require developers to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) in a manner proportionate to their importance and the impact, and to make this evidence (and any archive generated) publicly accessible¹⁷. However, the ability to record, evidence of our past should not be a factor in deciding whether such loss should be permitted.

¹⁷ Copies of evidence should be deposited with the relevant Historic Environment Record, and any archives with a local museum or other public depository.

Glossary

Affordable housing: Social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing, provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Affordable housing should include provisions to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households or for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision.

- *Social rented housing* is owned by local authorities and private registered providers¹⁸, for which guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime. It may also be owned by other persons and provided under equivalent rental arrangements to the above, as agreed with the local authority or with the Homes and Communities Agency.
- *Affordable rented housing* is let by local authorities or private registered providers of social housing to households who are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable Rent is subject to rent controls that require a rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent (including service charges, where applicable).
- Intermediate housing is homes for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels subject to the criteria in the Affordable Housing definition above. These can include shared equity (shared ownership and equity loans), other low cost homes for sale and intermediate rent, but not affordable rented housing.

Homes that do not meet the above definition of affordable housing, such as "low cost market" housing, may not be considered, for planning purposes, as affordable housing.

Aggregate Working Parties: Established in the 1970s to identify and consider problems in the supply of aggregates. They provide technical advice in relation to the supply of, and demand for, aggregates (including for sand, gravel and crushed rock).

Archaeological interest: An interest in carrying out an expert investigation at some point in the future into the evidence a heritage asset may hold of past human activity. Heritage assets with archaeological interest are the primary source of evidence about the substance and evolution of places, and of the people and cultures that made them. These heritage assets are part of a record of the past that begins with traces of early humans and continues to be created and destroyed.

Best and most versatile agricultural land: Land in grades 1, 2 and 3a of the Agricultural Land Classification.

¹⁸ As defined in S80 of the Housing and Regeneration Act 2008.

Birds and Habitats Directives: Council Directive on the conservation of wild birds of 2 April 1979 (79/409/EEC) and 2009/147/EC, and Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora of 21 May 1992.

Climate change mitigation and adaption: Involves adjustments to natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities.

Coastal change: Physical change to the shoreline i.e. erosion, coastal landslip, permanent inundation and coastal accretion.

Coastal Change Management Area: Areas likely to be affected by physical changes to the coast – local authorities should identify these areas.

Conservation: The process of maintaining and managing change to a heritage asset in a way that sustains and where appropriate enhances its significance.

Designated heritage asset: A World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monument, Listed Building, Protected Wreck Site, Registered Park and Garden, Registered Battlefield or Conservation Area designated as such under the relevant legislation.

Development Plan: this is the Local Plan(s) for an area and any Neighbourhood Plan that has been adopted. In London it would also include the London Plan.

Comment [A44]: This links to the Local Plan definition and clarifies the use of the new term “Local Plan” within the statutory framework.

Ecological networks: These link sites of biodiversity importance and provide routes or stepping stones for the migration, dispersal and genetic exchange of species in the wider environment.

Edge of centre: For retail purposes, a location that is well connected to and within easy walking distance (i.e. up to 300 metres) of the primary shopping area. For all other main town centre uses, this is likely to be within 300 metres of a town centre boundary. In determining whether a site falls within the definition of edge-of-centre, account should be taken of local circumstances.

Enabling development: Development that would be unacceptable in planning terms but for the fact that it would bring heritage benefits, which would not otherwise be achieved, sufficient to justify it being carried out.

European site: means candidate Special Areas of Conservation, Sites of Community Importance, Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas (for advice on statutory obligations affecting biodiversity and geological conservation, see Circular 06/2005).

Flood risk mitigation: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding to communities and business.

General conformity: Case law in planning tends to indicate that a policy is in general conformity if it upholds the general principle of the policy or issue it is concerned with. Therefore in a neighbourhood planning context, “general

conformity” provides a vital element of flexibility in the system. It is not strict conformity with every single strategic policy but overall conformity with the strategic policies of the plan. In each case the local authority and independent examiner will need to come to a view as to whether this test has been satisfied.

General Permitted Development Order: This is a statutory instrument that sets out a range of general planning permissions that Parliament have granted for developments that are either minor in nature or otherwise unlikely to cause harm. A number of forms of agricultural, telecommunications and other developments which are permitted under the General Permitted Development Order are subject to a 56 day prior approval procedure. For such types of development the developer must apply to the local planning authority for its determination as to whether prior approval will be required as to the siting and appearance of the proposed development.

Geological conservation and geodiversity: Relates to sites that are designated for their geology and/or geomorphological importance. Geodiversity is the natural range (diversity) of geological, geomorphological and soil features.

Greenhouse gas emissions: The release of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere. Greenhouse gases ‘trap’ energy radiated by the Earth within the atmosphere and include carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane, nitrous oxide and fluorinated gases. Carbon dioxide is the main greenhouse gas from the UK.

Heritage assets: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape positively identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions. Heritage assets are the valued components of the historic environment. They include designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority during the process of decision-making or through the plan-making process (including local listing).

Heritage Coast: A strip of UK coastline designated as having notable natural beauty or scientific significance.

Historic environment: All aspects of the environment resulting from the interaction between people and places through time, including all surviving physical remains of past human activity, whether visible, buried or submerged, and landscaped and planted or managed flora. Those elements of the historic environment that hold significance are called heritage assets.

Housing density: Density is a measure of the number of dwellings which can be accommodated on a site or in an area.

Housing market areas: Geographical areas defined by household demand and preferences for housing. They reflect the key functional linkages between places where people live and work.

Instrumentation operated in the national interest: Includes meteorological and climate monitoring installations, satellite and radio communication, defence and national security sites and magnetic calibration facilities operated by or on behalf of the Government, delegated authorities or for defence purposes.

Integrated Coastal Zone Management: A process for the management of the coast using an integrated approach, regarding all aspects of the coastal zone, including geographical and political boundaries, to achieve sustainability.

International, national and local sites of importance for biodiversity: All Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, and Ramsar sites, Local Sites and natural habitats (as identified in the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 section 41 list) and areas identified for habitat restoration and creation.

Local plan: These are the planning policy documents produced by local planning authorities. They are the Development Plan Documents within the Local Development Framework, but in London it would also include the London Plan.

Comment [A45]: This definition is vital to clarify the new term "Local Plan" but also provides a neat way of dealing with the London Plan issue.

Market housing: Private housing for rent or for sale, where the price is set in the open market.

Older people: People over retirement age, including the active, newly-retired through to the very frail elderly, whose housing needs can encompass accessible, adaptable general needs housing for those looking to downsize from family housing and the full range of retirement and specialised housing for those with support or care needs.

Open space: All open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a visual amenity.

Out of centre: A location which is not in or on the edge of a centre but not necessarily outside the urban area.

Pollution: Any consideration of the quality of land, air, water, soils, which might lead to an adverse impact on human health, the natural environment or general amenity. Pollution can arise from a range of emissions, including smoke, fumes, gases, dust, steam and odour.

Previously developed land: Land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land and any associated fixed surface infrastructure. This includes defence buildings, but excludes:

- Land that is or has been occupied by agricultural or forestry buildings.
- Land that has been developed for minerals extraction or waste disposal by landfill purposes where provision for restoration has been made through development control procedures.
- Land in built-up areas such as private residential gardens, parks, recreation grounds and allotments, which, although it may feature paths, pavilions and other buildings, has not been previously developed.

- Land that was previously-developed but where the remains of the permanent structure or fixed surface structure have blended into the landscape in the process of time (to the extent that it can reasonably be considered as part of the natural surroundings).

Primary and secondary frontages: Primary frontages are likely to include a high proportion of retail uses. Secondary frontages provide greater opportunities for a diversity of uses.

Primary shopping area: Defined area where retail development is concentrated (generally comprising the primary and those secondary frontages which are contiguous and closely related to the primary shopping frontage).

Priority habitats and species: The England Biodiversity List under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 provides details of all Species and Habitats of Principal Importance.

Ramsar sites: Ramsar sites are wetlands of international importance, designated under the Ramsar Convention.

Renewable and low-carbon energy: Includes energy for heating and cooling as well as generating electricity. Renewable energy covers those energy flows that occur naturally and repeatedly in the environment – from the wind, the fall of water, the movement of the oceans, from the sun and also from biomass. Low-carbon technologies are those that can help reduce emissions (compared to conventional use of fossil fuels).

Safeguarding zone: As defined in Circular 01/03 on safeguarding aerodromes, technical sites and military explosives storage areas.

Setting of a heritage asset: The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

Significance of heritage assets: The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting.

Special Areas of Conservation: Areas which have been given special protection under the European Union's Habitats Directive. They provide increased protection to a variety of wild animals, plants and habitats and are a vital part of global efforts to conserve the world's biodiversity.

Special Protection Areas: Special Protection Areas are areas which have been identified as being of international importance for the breeding, feeding, wintering or the migration of rare and vulnerable species of birds found within European Union countries. They are European designated sites, classified under the 'Birds Directive 1979' which provides enhanced protection given by

the Site of Special Scientific Interest status all Special Protection Areas also hold.

Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment: The primary role of this assessment is to identify sites with potential for housing; assess their housing potential; and assess when they are likely to be developed.

Strategic Housing Market Assessment: A key part of the evidence base required to ensure the delivery of housing that meets the needs of communities now and in years to come.

Sustainable drainage systems: Sustainable Drainage Systems cover the whole range of sustainable approaches to surface drainage management including: source control measures including rainwater recycling and drainage; infiltration devices to allow water to soak into the ground, that can include individual soakaways and communal facilities; filter strips and swales, which are vegetated features that hold and drain water downhill mimicking natural drainage patterns; filter drains and porous pavements to allow rainwater and run-off to infiltrate into permeable material below ground and provide storage if needed; and basins and ponds to hold excess water after rain and allow controlled discharge that avoids flooding.

Sustainable transport modes: Any means of transport with low impact on the environment, including walking and cycling, green or low emission vehicles, car sharing and public transport.

Town centre: Defined area, including the primary shopping centre area and areas of predominantly leisure, business and other main town centre uses within or adjacent to the primary shopping area. The extent of the town centre should be defined on the proposals map.

Town Centre Development: Development that is most appropriately located within town centres due to its ability to deliver sustainable development. This would include uses that benefit from linked trips and uses that benefit from the concentration of transport accessibility that usually occurs in town centres. Uses include retail (all A use classes), leisure (use class D2), community (use class D1) and offices (use class B1).

Veteran tree: A tree which, because of its great age, size or condition is of exceptional value culturally, in the landscape or for wildlife.

Windfall Site: Windfall sites are those which have not been specifically identified as available in the local plan process. They comprise previously-developed sites that have unexpectedly become available. These could include, for example, large sites resulting from a factory closure or small sites such as a residential conversion or a new flat over a shop.

Comment [A46]: There is a need to define what a windfall site is as there is variation in practice.

ISBN: 978-1-4098-3048-1



ASSOCIATION OF LONDON BOROUGH PLANNING OFFICERS

Development Planning

Chair: DAVID JOYCE

Job Title: Head of Placeshaping
London Borough of Camden
Tel: (020) 7974 5615
Email: David.Joyce@camden.gov.uk



Secretary: ROB WILLIS/EMMA FENTON

Planning Policy & Information
London Borough of Camden
Tel: (020) 7974 5615
Email: Emma.Fenton@camden.gov.uk
Rob.Willis@camden.gov.uk

PLANNING OFFICERS SOCIETY LONDON

The voice of public sector planning in London

ASSOCIATION OF LONDON BOROUGH PLANNING OFFICERS

Development Planning | Development Management | Technical Support

By email to: Alan C Scott, DCLG: planningframework@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Date: 21 September 2011

Dear Alan,

Draft National Planning Policy Framework - Association of London Borough Planning Officers Response

- 1.1 Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). This letter summarises feedback from a recent meeting of the Association of London Borough Planning Officers Development Planning Committee where the Draft Replacement London Plan was discussed. The response has been endorsed by Planning Officers Society London which is made up of Chief Planning Officers from London Boroughs, the City of London, London Thames Gateway Development Corporation and the Olympic Delivery Authority.

General comments

- 2.1 The Association welcomes the direction which the Government has taken in reducing the amount of guidance and level of prescription in national planning policy. This is a progressive step as much of the previous guidance was so detailed that some or most of it was either not applicable in many parts of the country or it stifled creativity and the ability of local authorities to plan positively with communities to respond to the needs of their area. At its worst it was also contradictory and pulled in different directions.
- 2.2 In relation to the presumption in favour of Sustainable Development, which the draft NPPF sets out, the association is supportive of the principle, however we have concerns about whether some of the subsequent sections/wording in the NPPF carries this principle through and in particular we have strong reservations as to whether the way in which it is currently expressed sufficiently emphasises the fact that the presumption in favour sits within the context of strengthened support for a plan-led system. It is our strong belief that the Government should be clear that where local authorities have up to date local plans which promote economic growth (London Boroughs are very well placed in this regard) that the presumption in favour of sustainable development sits firmly within the context of the objectives which are expressed in local plans. It should also be made clear that where an up to date local plan is not in place that other local material considerations can be taken into account in determining applications including emerging plans, local evidence, consultation and research.
- 2.3 The Association agrees that the planning system needs to do all it can to promote sustainable economic growth. It would be useful for the Government to define what is meant by sustainable economic growth. London Boroughs have an excellent track record of delivering economic growth and the substantial increase in housing delivery over the past 5 years has demonstrated this, reflecting the proactive approach which has been taken to

identifying sufficient sites for development in the right locations. However, growth should not take place at all costs and it is the view of the Association that there is some internal contradiction within the document and that there needs to be greater attention and follow through within the document in relation to social and environmental considerations as well as a recognition throughout that the local plan has primacy in helping deliver against these objectives. For instance, the statement that *'the default answer to development proposals is yes, except where this would compromise the key sustainable development principles set out in this Framework'* would be greatly improved by adding *'and the relevant local plan for the area concerned'*. This would also have the benefit of making this statement consistent with the Government's own stated desire in the draft NPPF that there continues to be a local plan-led system and ultimately ensuring consistency with the planning system and the principles set in the Government's Localism Bill as well as the plan for growth.

- 2.4 There is a general lack of reference to the cumulative impact of development. It is particularly important to areas that don't see large developments but do have a large number of small developments and this is particularly the case in a number of London Boroughs. The ability to deal with cumulative impacts such as parking is critical to the quality of life of people who live and work in the city and there is concern that this needs to be better recognised.

Plan Making

- 3.1 The draft NPPF doesn't recognise that London retains a regional tier of planning. It is important that the document acknowledges this and explains the government's position on how the London Plan should be required to conform or otherwise with the NPPF. Also should the government not set out its views on what the role of the London Plan should be? Similarly the document should recognise that in London the London Plan and borough input to its preparation is a critical factor in ensuring that we plan strategically across local boundaries. It is also important to reflect on the implications of the fact that Boroughs need to be in general conformity with the London Plan. If we are to presume that the London Plan will need to conform with the NPPF, then this raises the question as to whether there should be a single certificate of conformity with the NPPF for London, which then means that Boroughs do not need to seek individual certificates. It would feel somewhat duplicitous to require a certificate of conformity with the NPPF if boroughs have already passed the test of being in general conformity with the London Plan.
- 3.2 Sensible transitional arrangements are necessary to ensure that Boroughs can continue to progress plan-making whilst the NPPF is being progressed. There are several authorities in London which are currently at or nearing their public examination in relation to their local plans. In each case this follows on from years of work and engagement with local communities to reach this point. Whilst Boroughs will be keen to consider the implications of the draft NPPF and seek to reflect these, it is important to recognise that some flexibility should be provided by the Government, including through the Planning Inspectorate in their role of examining plans which recognises that continued progress in relation to plan preparation outweighs the need to ensure that every single element of NPPF is rigidly complied with.
- 3.3 There appears to be a change in emphasis for the role of supplementary planning documents (SPDs) so that they can only be used in more limited circumstances than currently is the case. SPDs have been used very effectively in London and are used to provide further guidance and clarification on complex policy issues. If there is little prescription at national level and no SPDs at the local level, local plan policies will end up being very lengthy to provide enough detail to ensure they are applied correctly. SPDs can be a flexible, quick and responsive tool to addressing matters of detail and are often welcomed by both the development industry and local communities as a way of offering a greater degree of certainty as to how certain issues will be dealt with, taking account of local circumstances.

Planning for Prosperity

- 4.1 The Association supports the Government's objectives around achieving sustainable economic growth. In so doing, it is our strong belief that the NPPF should make reference to the need to respond to medium and long term economic needs as well as short term market pressures. In London, the opportunity to regenerate and redevelop strategic sites can often represent a once in a lifetime opportunity and it is important that short term development pressures such as the current high demand for student housing are balanced against longer terms economic needs and the requirement to secure a balanced economy with a range of employment, housing and leisure opportunities for residents, workers and visitors. Merely responding to current and short term market pressures will not achieve such objectives and with a greater scarcity of land supply will be much harder to reconcile in the future in a city like London.
- 4.2 We consider that the principles which underpin the definition of sustainable economic growth ~~from the current Planning Policy Statement 4 should be given greater prominence. The definition from PPS4 is: 'Economic growth that can be sustained and is within environmental limits, but also enhances environmental and social welfare and avoids greater extremes in future economic cycles.'~~ This responds well to our concern that sustainable economic growth will only be achieved through planning for medium and long term economic considerations in the London context and also recognises the importance of environmental and social considerations. Adopting this or a similar definition would help respond to our earlier comments that growth should not take place at all costs.
- 4.3 There is concern in relation to the sequential test and the fact that offices are no longer included within this. This is of particular concern to outer London Boroughs who will struggle to retain and attract office floorspace if providers can simply concentrate on out of centre locations (e.g. close to the M25) which are more accessible by car. This could have a serious and detrimental impact on the health of outer London town centres and also be unsustainable in terms of encouraging people to move away from public transport as a means of getting to work.

Deleted: o

Planning for People

- 5.1 London Boroughs have been at the forefront of increasing housing delivery in recent years and we support the Government's objectives around increasing housing supply and widening choices given the strategic importance of the issue for London.
- 5.2 We have concerns over the workability of requiring an additional allowance of 20% specific deliverable sites in addition to those required to provide five years of housing when considered against housing requirements. In London, it is important to point out that housing supply is capacity rather than demand driven. In most parts of London, demand is virtually limitless and the ambition should be to optimise delivery on sites which are capable of being brought forward. Exceeding housing requirements by 20% does not therefore seem achievable in London. We would also question what the evidence base is for this requirement?
- 5.3 It is the view of the Association that the definition of affordable housing from PPS3 (Annex B) should be retained. There appears to be no definition of affordable housing given in the NPPF and this could create unnecessary confusion over what has become a well understood term.
- 5.4 The Association has concern in relation to the apparent weakening of the Government's approach to design. Phrases such as '*permission should be refused for development of obviously poor design*' would appear to significantly lower the bar from current design policy around achieving excellence and ensuring that good design enhances and responds to

local context. We would advocate the removal of this phrase which seems unnecessary given the clear guidance offered by other paragraphs in the draft NPPF (e.g. para 116) in relation to design. The use of this phrase is another example of where we feel that the document is unnecessarily internally inconsistent.

- 5.5 It is also our view that design should be referred to in the Core planning principles set out in paragraph 19.
- 5.6 In relation to the green belt, there is considered to be a lack of clarity over when and where green belt can be released. Some boroughs are concerned that the NPPF will actually encourage the release of green belt land which will slow the development of brown field sites.

Planning for Places

5.7 The Association welcomes the continued emphasis in the document towards the historic environment and its contribution to the quality of life it brings to future generations. We do however feel concerned over the change in wording from the requirement for applications to 'preserve ~~or~~ enhance' the historic environment to a position where applications are only deemed unacceptable if they will not cause 'substantial harm'. The Draft NPPF doesn't talk about minor or moderate harm which many applications in relation to the historic environment would raise. In fact, the real long term danger to the historic environment in London comes from the cumulative impact which minor and moderate changes can have on the unique character of valued historic assets. It is vital that this issue is addressed in the final draft of the NPPF.

Deleted: and

- 5.8 There isn't any recognition for the benefits that heritage led regeneration can bring to ensuring that growth is both sustainable and contributes to the uniqueness of UK plc in an ever changing world.
- 5.9 In relation to climate change, the Association supports much of the content in the document, however there is a belief that it needs to be more of a cross cutting issue and should at least be mentioned in the core planning principles as expressed in paragraph 19. We do have concerns about whether the wording in the draft NPPF allows boroughs to go further than the Government's timetable for zero carbon development. It is worth noting that the London Plan already exceeds the government timetable.

Concluding Remark

- 6.1 We are happy to discuss any of the above points with you and look forward to reviewing the next version of the NPPF.

Yours sincerely,

David Joyce
Chair, ALBPO Development Planning