

# Ealing Council Core Strategy Independent Examination

## Matter 12 – Phasing, Delivery and Monitoring

Submission by Tony Miller on behalf of Ealing Borough Liberal Democrats

### Issues and questions

- 1. It is of concern that most of the transport proposals are grouped in three categories: Mayor’s Transport Strategy/Sub-Regional Transport Plan proposals, LDF/LBE Transport Schemes and the LIP corridors and neighbourhood programmes. This makes it difficult to assess the delivery timeframe of individual proposals. Priority proposal are not identified. In addition all schemes are only deliverable with additional funding which could have repercussions for growth in the Borough. What is the certainty of the identified projects being feasible and effective?**
1. We agree that the dispersal of transport infrastructure improvement plans under different headings makes them difficult to relate to the rest of the CS objectives and in particular to assess their relationship to other development aims of the London Plan, such as providing adequate interchange facilities at Crossrail stations. These need to be seen in the context of traffic management plans for the town centres, which do not appear to be related to major site redevelopment aims, such as the Arcadia site in central Ealing.
2. Provision of transport infrastructure seems to follow rather than shape developers’ plans for specific sites, and the CS fails to anticipate issues which will arise when there are several areas for potential redevelopment in close proximity, as in central Ealing..