

London Borough of Ealing
Development Strategy Examination in Public

Submission Statement for Matter 7

(A40 Corridor and Park Royal)

By

Neil Kedar on behalf of Corporate Finance Property Development, Transport for London

(Personal Objector Reference: 13, Representations No 62 and 63)

10 October 2011

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This Submission statement relates to Corporate Finance Property Development (hereafter CFPD) Transport for London (TfL) representations 62 and 63 on the submission version of the London Borough of Ealing's (hereafter LB Ealing) Development Strategy. This Submission specifically relates to the 13 TfL owned sites along the A40 corridor. Please note that this statement represents the views of officers in CFPD Transport for London in its capacity as landowner only and should not be taken as the TfL corporate response or an indication of any subsequent Mayoral/ Greater London Authority decision.
- 1.2 In November 2010, CFPD submitted a Representation to the Development Strategy Final Proposals and the Development Sites Development Plan Document (DPD): Initial Proposals. This statement amplifies the original representation made by CFPD on the Development Strategy. This statement has been structured to respond to the matters and issues raised by the Inspector during the Pre-Hearing Meeting held on 15 September 2011, more specifically Matter 7.
- 1.3 This statement does not seek to repeat existing information already presented to the Inspector, but draws on additional information since the submission of the CFPD'S Representation to the Development Strategy to support the production of a policy which is both (i) justified and (ii) effective.

Response to Matter 7

- 1.4 Our Representations submitted to LB Ealing in November 2010 discussed Final Proposal Policy 3.1(c) (Realising the Potential of the A40 Corridor and Park Royal) and the need for LB Ealing to provide further clarification of this Policy. A number of questions have been raised under Matter 7 'A40 Corridor and Park Royal', however, none of the questions raised relate specifically to the status of the green corridor along the A40 Corridor. We spoke to LB Ealing to clarify this position.
- 1.5 In light of our discussion with Ian Weake of LB Ealing on the 4th October 2011, it was agreed that questions under Matter 7 did not have any bearing on the status of the green corridor. LB Ealing suggested that a Recommendation would be made to the Inspector to focus discussion of the sites under Matter 9 'Protecting and Enhancing Ealing's Green and Open Space' Question 6. As a result, CFPD will not be making any further representations under Matter 7 as it was understood that this will be addressed under Matter 9, where a detailed representation has been submitted separately.
- 1.6 Not only will this reduce unnecessary duplication on representations for separate matters, we feel that this will improve efficiency and ensure a smooth procedure in the Examination in Public process.
- 1.7 For completion, any relevant Annexes on this matter are contained in CFPD's Submission Statement for Matter 9.