

Matter 1
Issues and Questions

10.

The Government Office for London in the Representations Received and Officer's Responses Document

States

'Infrastructure and Delivery: At this stage of the plan preparation we accept that much evidence is yet to be finalised and this detail will be included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (identifying infrastructure needs, costs, funding sources, phasing of delivery and responsibilities for delivery)'

I still cannot see from the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the Development Sites Document any indication of costs, funding sources, phasing of delivery and responsibilities for delivery. Monitoring and reviewing on a yearly basis is not enough.

S106 and CIL is quite often woefully inadequate especially as many developments contain more children than was anticipated and smaller developments are not required to provide certain S106 monies but quite often contain more adults and children than was planned for the site.

A relatively recent 1x1 bed flat, 8x2 bed flat development near me was supposedly built, and judging by the advertising material, was marketed as a development for the 'active elderly' and young childless professionals. However because none of the flats were sold the development is rented to families with children. There is no amenity space and a contribution via S106 to education was never made.

Schools

In the Proposed New Infrastructure map there appears to be only one new primary school and one new secondary school proposed and they are not in the corridor.

Since this map was produced the government has already cut back on the school building programme.

In 4.2.9 of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan it states-

The re-use of existing buildings as primary schools....

Primary schools in town centres....This may mean allowing and providing primary schools as part of an estate or town centre master plan, providing primary schools as part of mixed-use developments'

Yet only one site in the Development Sites-Ealing document mentions the possibility of a school (EAL33) despite the fact that 2166 units are planned for Ealing. Toby Young wanted this site as site for his free school but had no reply from the Saudi Royal Family.

The Ealing 2026 LDF Development Strategy states in 1.2(c) that the LDF should deliver 'the right level of infrastructure for growth'. I don't consider the primary school expansion on existing sites to be sustainable. 870 children attending one primary school is far too many.

What for instance will happen to the children who will be living on the Westel House site given that the site has now changed from office use/30 units to 131 units?

There is inadequate provision planned for the primary school and secondary children who will live in the proposed housing along the corridor.

Lack of healthcare facilities

Given that, as mentioned in 5.2. of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, there are health inequalities within the Borough of Ealing especially in the deprived corridor areas of Southall, Acton and

West Ealing there seem to be absolutely no concrete plans to improve health provision other than vague statements such as Ealing and Hanwell –

Refurbishment or other solution for Mattock Lane Health Centre (Uncertainty as to delivery) I would like to point out that for the past four years the council has been discussing an integrated health centre on the Daniel Department store site. The site is now a gym with rather strange small retail units underneath.

Both Hounslow and Harrow have state of the art walk-in health centres.

'Possible other large health centre yet to be developed (Linked to redevelopment of Ealing Town Centre and Dickens Yard)

Acton-Integrated health social care facility (Uncertainty as to delivery...

Two years ago Ealing Hospital came bottom in a patient satisfaction survey and recently the ambulance response time was one of the longest in London.

My own GP practice sends everyone to Ealing Hospital for blood tests and quite often there are 80-100 people in the queue.

Given that by 2026 the council aims to have 14000 additional new homes the healthcare facilities as proposed in the LDF are woefully inadequate.

Given the outstanding problems that already exist along the Uxbridge Road/Crossrail corridor, building 9788 new units would exacerbate the situation and certainly not meet the LDF's vision requirement of 'making Ealing a better place to live'.

Green Space

I have yet to see a new development that improves and adds to green space. All that has happened so far is that due to lack of amenity space the developer has had to contribute S106 money to parks that have already had S106 contributions and no more improvements can be made.

