

BLOCK 2 ACTION LOGS
MATTERS 4 AND 6-8:
DATE: 2ND – 11TH DECEMBER 2025

NO.	POLICY / PARAGRAPH	ACTION LOG
MATTER 4: HOUSING		
Explanatory Note: The numbering for this matter only continues the Action Log numbering system for Matter 4 in Block 1.		
4.12	SP 3.1 D	To share and publish the London Wide Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment, Mayor of London/GLA, November 2025.
4.13	SP 3.1 D	To provide a note on the council's position regarding the London Wide Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment, Mayor of London/GLA, November 2025.
4.14	SP 3.1 D	To provide a note on the treatment of potential GRT transit sites/nomadic families and to review the supporting text to reflect the future joint work being undertaken.
4.15	General	To suggest new supporting text on an early review of the Local Plan (including how GTAA needs will be taken into account) and provide policy wording to cater for a scenario where we find that an early review is necessary.
4.16	SP 3.1 D	To include in the supporting text a table showing the need for gypsy and traveller households by year periods and 5-year supply position, i.e. drawn from Figure 2 on Page 8, LBE GTAA, November 2025.
4.17	New Policy GTW (arising from suggested modification FSMM39)	To provide a note on whether Limb A of the draft policy is justified and make reference to the inclusion of a planning condition restricting occupancy in the supporting text.

NO.	POLICY / PARAGRAPH	ACTION LOG
4.18	SP 4.3 A	To provide a note on the current housing delivery pipeline for sites 08SO (Middx Business Centre), 10SO (The Green) and the Quayside Quarter, Southall.
4.19	SP 4.3 A	To explore how the LPA can update the trajectory base date and content to demonstrate a 5-year housing land supply at adoption.
4.20	Policy H16	To provide a note on the viability assessment of LSPBSL and whether the approach taken and methodology is sound.
4.21	Policy H16	To consider some additional supporting text that makes reference to over concentration of similar uses as being considered on a case-by-case basis also.
4.22	Policy HOU and Policy H16 Paras 5.22 and 5.24	To check whether the requirements contained in Paras 5.22 and 5.24 should be set down in policy, or whether it is already covered in policy in the London Plan.
4.23	Policy H16/HOU	To provide on a note on consistency of approach between Policy H16 and HOU particularly relating to affordability and Policy H16 b (iii) Ealing Local Variation and London Plan Policy H16 A 2).
4.24	Policy SSC	<p>To provide a note on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the principle of the levy; b) the viability evidence that informs the thresholds and tenure mix; c) mechanisms for implementation including how the sum would be calculated and why using. real construction cost and sales value is preferred by the Council in light of the concerns raised by a participant in the session about clarity of approach and potential for delays at the pre- construction phase; and, d) whether any other LPAs have brought forward a similar policy approach.
4.25	Policy SSC/Para 5.26	To consider some additional supporting text in Para 5.26 that makes reference to the approach to viability testing in decision making.

NO.	POLICY / PARAGRAPH	ACTION LOG
MATTER 6: DESIGN AND AMENITY, TALL BUILDINGS AND THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT		
6.1	Policy D9	To clarify that the Tall Building threshold height is the finished floor level (as per the London Plan, measured from ground to the floor level of the uppermost storey) and not the absolute building height and consider a modification to the Plan to clarify.
6.2	Policy D9	To provide a short note setting out how the Kew Gardens Screening Report was conducted and how it relates to the Tall Building evidence base, including confirming whether it was available as the time the evidence base was compiled.
6.3	Policy D9 F	<p>To provide a note clarifying:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. The intention of this part of the policy, specifically whether it is intended to apply to (1) any site not proposed for allocation that exceeds the thresholds set out in Table DMP1, (2) sites proposed for allocation, or (3) both. B. What the Councils specific justification and evidence is for the intended approach, including any assessment of effects on delivery. C. Why the exceptional circumstances test in (F) is necessary, proportionate, justified and clear, including what might be regarded as an exceptional circumstance. D. Why (F) is needed in light of the Impacts requirements at D9 (C) providing a detailed set of policy considerations for tall buildings? Why would leaving any proposal that meets the Council's definition of a tall building by exceeding the thresholds to be considered under D9 (C) without the need to show exceptional circumstances be inadequate? E. In relation to specific allocations, how is the need to provide exceptional circumstances in D9(F) consistent with the Council's suggested modifications across the Plan to remove references to maximum heights and replace with 'Design analysis indicates an appropriate height of up to...' with the aim of introducing more flexibility? F. What would the practical and policy effect be if the Plan were modified to remove D9(F)? G. If necessary, what modifications would the Council recommend to the wording of the policy to improve clarity?

NO.	POLICY / PARAGRAPH	ACTION LOG
6.4	Policy D9 G	To consider rewording the policy to improve clarity or moving it to supporting text.
6.5	Policy DAA	To consider rewording of the introductory sentences of the policy to improve clarity and effectiveness.
6.6	Policy DAA	To consider rewording the suggested modification at SMM127 in relation to “important local features.”
MATTER 7: CLIMATE CHANGE, THE ENVIRONMENT AND HEALTHY PLACES		
7.1	Policy G4	To consider the wording of the policy and supporting text to improve clarity particularly around visual openness and address conflict between c (i) and (ii).
7.2	Policy G5	To provide evidence to support the interim London Plan target as the local plan target and provide a note on the implications of that policy being found unsound if it is not justified, in terms of general conformity with the London Plan.
7.3	Policy S5	To consider whether the scope of development needs to be defined more clearly and whether the content of Para 5.46 either be included in the policy or the supporting text should be amended instead.
7.4	Policy S5	To consider amending the suggested modification at FSMM47 to define more clearly the reference to active design in the new paragraph after 5.47.
7.5	Policies OEP and ECP	To provide a note on the viability implications of Policy OEP and ECP and the robustness of the viability methodology.
7.6	Policy OEP	To consider an amendment to the supporting text to include a reference to providing guidance regarding energy use intensity (EUI) tariffs.
7.7	Policy ECP	To clarify the scope of major developments and other residential uses and non-residential uses in the supporting text.

NO.	POLICY / PARAGRAPH	ACTION LOG
7.8	Policy SI 7	To consider whether the policy text should be amended to ensure consistency with Paragraph 561 of the supporting text.
7.9	SP Policies	To consider how the preamble to each of the SP policies in Chapter 3 could be clearer and more effective in DM terms.
7.10	Whole Plan	To provide a note to clarify as to whether potential policy tensions have been considered, how they were addressed and where this is evidenced.
MATTER 8: TOWN PLANS		
8.1	Town Plan Policies / Chapter 4	To review the wording of the Town Plan policies to ensure effectiveness.
8.2	Town Plan Spatial Strategy Maps A2, E2, G2, etc./ Chapter 4	To consider how we can improve the legibility of the neighbourhood centres within the town plan spatial strategy maps.
8.3	Town Plan Spatial Strategy A1, E1, G1, etc /Chapter 4	To consider how to better communicate the scale/quantity of growth anticipated within each town.
8.4	Policy A3 (South Acton) Para 4.1.40	To update the Schedule of Suggested Modifications to take account of the reference made in the Council's Written Matter 8 Statement 8 under Q12a relating to paragraph 4.1.40. This modification was inadvertently omitted from the last schedule published on 6 th Nov 2025.
8.5	Policy E4 (Southern Ealing and Ealing Common)	To define (within the supporting text) what is meant by 'reinforcing neighbourhood centres.'
8.6	Policy E2 (Ealing Metropolitan Town Centre)	To ensure that the Ealing Metropolitan Town Centre Growth Strategy (May 2025) is published for ease of access under the evidence base page of the examination library at EB57. (NB To note that it was already published in the examination library at: appendix 2_lpa_response_to_action_51.pdf).

NO.	POLICY / PARAGRAPH	ACTION LOG
8.7	Policy G6 (Greenford Industrial Estate)	To consider how to strengthen the wording of limbs (iv) and (v) to improve effectiveness.
8.8	Policy N3 (White Hart Neighbourhood Centre)	To provide a note on the impact upon the existing Northolt Neighbourhood Town Centre of the proposed new White Hart Neighbourhood Centre.
8.9	Policy N3 (White Hart Neighbourhood Centre)	To consider a modification emphasising the importance of the masterplan led approach