

## Traffic Management Order objections – July 2025, Micromobility Parking Bays Batch 4

Date Received	Site related to	Issue raised through TMO	Response from Council
<b>07/07/2025</b> 1 response <b>09/07/2025</b> 1 response	Kingscote Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quiet residential street. Narrow street which could cause issues if rental vehicles are not parked appropriately and potential for mess</li> <li>• The street has already lost parking spaces owing to a bike hangar and 4 electric charging points</li> <li>• New houses being built on the road which will further reduce parking</li> <li>• Residents of Priory Road and St Albans Avenue use this street for overflow parking</li> <li>• Alternative of South Parade could be used</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council to reconsider location given proximity to other bays proposed in the local area.</li> <li>• An alternative on Rusthall Avenue will be considered in the next batch of installations.</li> </ul>

Date Received	Site related to	Issue raised through TMO	Response from Council
<b>14/07/2025</b> 16 responses <b>15/07/2025</b> 5 responses <b>16/07/2025</b> 7 responses <b>17/07/2025</b> 3 responses <b>17/07/2025</b> 1 response <b>19/07/2025</b> 2 responses <b>20/07/2025</b> 3 responses	Curzon Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing high parking stress on this road</li> <li>Could exacerbate anti-social behaviour on this road and could cause street clutter of poorly parked vehicles</li> <li>Multiple schools in the vicinity causes parking problems at school pick-up and drop-off times. These vehicles could also present a safety risk</li> <li>Lack of demand for these vehicles at this location</li> <li>Lack of consultation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council to reconsider location and assess alternatives nearby, given parking stress occurring during school pick-up and drop-off times</li> <li>A nearby location will be considered in the next batch of installations, owing to usage data from 'virtual' bays nearby.</li> </ul>
<b>14/07/2025</b> 2 responses	St. George's Road, Chiswick	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parking already restricted owing to pay and display bays, three electric car bays, and parking from residents from Southfield Road</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council to reconsider location given proximity to other bays proposed in the local area</li> </ul>

Date Received	Site related to	Issue raised through TMO	Response from Council
<b>27/07/2025</b> 1 response	Montague Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced parking</li> <li>• Obstruction of vehicles if improper parking occurs</li> <li>• Noise and nuisance</li> <li>• Lack of consultation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proceed to installation</li> <li>• Marked bay should provide more organized parking of vehicles</li> <li>• The bay location is clearly marked for vehicles to park inside. Poorly parked vehicles will be monitored and removed by the operators.</li> <li>• Bay will only take up one resident parking bay.</li> <li>• The bay is unlikely to cause increased noise or antisocial behaviour.</li> <li>• Statutory 3-week TMO consultation process was followed</li> </ul>
<b>22/08/2025</b>	Gunnersbury Crescent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Too far from station. Could consider a footway location close to the station</li> <li>• Potential conflict exiting Gunnersbury Crescent with cars and PHVs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proceed to installation</li> <li>• This was the closest identified carriageway location to the station</li> <li>• No sufficient footway space by the station, a bay on the footway there would not meet minimum requirements</li> <li>• Gunnersbury Crescent is a contraflow, so cyclists should be able to exit the road safely</li> </ul>