

Low Traffic Neighbourhood 32: Junction Road Feedback from residents – Interim Report to 1 April 2021

Commonplace survey feedback on LTN 32: Junction Road to 1 April 2021

Up until 1 April 2021, 482 users added a comment to the Commonplace survey on LTN 32: Junction Road.

LTN	What are	What are you commenting on?								
	Vehicle access issue	Traffic enforcement	Traffic volume	Safety concern	Cycling	Walking	Delivery or servicing issue	Vandalism	Other	Total number of comments left:
LTN 32: Junction Road	115	50	183	131	59	52	56	5	22	673
Percentage of total number of comments left:	17%	7%	27%	19%	9%	8%	8%	1%	3%	100%

LTN	How does it make you feel?					
	Positive	Somewhat positive	Neutral	Somewhat negative	Negative	Total number of comments left and number of users:
LTN 32: Junction Road	98	22	7	27	328	482
Percentage of total number of comments left and number of users that filled out the survey:	20%	5%	1%	6%	68%	100%



Why do you feel this way?	Number of comments	Percentage of total number of comments left
Not pedestrian friendly	56	3%
Pedestrian friendly	67	4%
Pleasant	64	3%
Polluted	166	9%
Well lit	2	0%
Poorly lit	29	2%
Cycle friendly	85	5%
Increased road safety	64	3%
Personal safety concern	102	5%
Delivery or servicing issue	97	5%
Shorter journey	5	0%
Longer journey	202	11%
Driver behaviour	77	4%
Traffic enforcement issue	37	2%
Have to take a different route	128	7%
Traffic increase	196	10%
Traffic decrease	74	4%
Emergency services access	132	7%
Vandalism	11	1%
Less pollution	61	3%
Decreased road safety	143	8%
Not cycle friendly	51	3%
Other	37	2%
Total:	1,886	100%



How could we make it better?	Number of comments	Percentage of total number of comments left
Increase enforcement	43	6%
Slow down traffic	27	4%
Add crossings	7	1%
More cycle lanes	22	3%
Install guardrail or bollard to footway	6	1%
Trim bushes or trees	8	1%
Safer junction	32	4%
Fewer signs	2	0%
More facilities for disabled	11	1%
Remove traffic filter	232	32%
More signs	28	4%
Relocate traffic filter	32	4%
Better access for emergency services	52	7%
Improve road safety	31	4%
Remove parking	8	1%
Add parking	24	3%
Add cycle parking	16	2%
Better lighting	14	2%
Stop vandalism	23	3%
Stop driving on footway	15	2%
Other	102	14%
Total:	735	100%



Summary of emails sent to <u>TrafficNotices@ealing.gov.uk</u> inbox on LTN 32: Junction Road to 1 April 2021

156 emails from individuals regarding LTN 34 were sent to the <u>TrafficNotices@ealing.gov.uk</u> email address up until 1 April 2021.

Email content categories:	Total Number	Percentage
TOTAL (1 for each email for tally)	156	100.00%
General dislike	104	66.67%
Poor air quality / air pollution is worse (PM / NOx etc excluding CO2)	74	47.44%
Congestion on main / boundary roads	65	41.67%
Longer journeys	56	35.90%
No / poor consultation	51	32.69%
General support	43	27.56%
LTN measures unnecessary - insufficient traffic / rat running	35	22.44%
Emergency service access to LTN is obstructed	32	20.51%
OVERALL REDUCTION IN ROAD / PERSONAL SAFETY	32	20.51%
Mental health impact - causes stress, anxiety, or confusion etc	32	20.51%
Deliveries are delayed / impaired	31	19.87%
Lack of existing evidence / data	29	18.59%
Business loss owing to reduced customer access.	27	17.31%
Worse for Covid-19 mitigation / not relevant to Covid-19	27	17.31%
Other general improvement (1 if yes)	26	16.67%
Negative community impact	23	14.74%
Reduction in traffic & rat running	22	14.10%
OVERALL SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	20	12.82%
Detrimental / disproportionate impact against residents living on	18	11.54%
main roads		
Other (1 if yes)	18	11.54%
Reduction in cycle safety	17	10.90%
Reduction in pedestrian safety	16	10.26%
Congestion / more traffic on LTN roads	16	10.26%



Total Number Email content categories: Percentage Cause rat running elsewhere 10.26% 16 Poor highway behaviour (highway code) 14 8.97% Increase in walking / cycling 14 8.97% Reduction in vehicle safety 13 8.33% Detrimental / disproportionate impact on the disabled 12 7.69% Detrimental / disproportionate impact on parents or children 12 7.69% 12 7.69% Better for cyclists Detrimental / disproportionate impact on the elderly 11 7.05% Increase in bus journey times 11 7.05% Business loss / delays due to vehicle requirement within LTN 11 7.05% (including carers) Vehicles breaching LTN restrictions 10 6.41% Requires more / improved signage 10 6.41% Congestion causing delayed emergency response 9 5.77% Greater carbon emissions (CO2 or fuel consumption) 9 5.77% Reduction in traffic noise 9 5.77% Reduction in air pollution 9 5.77% 5.77% 9 Safer streets 8 Increase in speeding 5.13% Problems with the review process / data gathering 8 5.13% Better for children / schools 5.13% 8 Improved quality of life / calmer 8 5.13% Reduced / restricted parking 7 4.49% 7 Narrow / unsuitable roads? 4.49%

Need car for work purposes (commuting - not within LTN)

Better for pedestrians

7

7

4.49%

4.49%



Email content categories:	Total Number	Percentage
General support for getting more people walking and cycling / air	6	3.85%
quality improvements but not LTNs		
Other positive (numeric)	6	3.85%
Increase in noise pollution	5	3.21%
Vandalism of LTN measures	5	3.21%
Taxi' / 'Cab' / 'Uber' use impaired	4	2.56%
Asking for LTN specific changes (numeric)	4	2.56%
Support owing to climate change	4	2.56%
Negative impact on crime / personal safety	3	1.92%
Requesting further / improved information on scheme	3	1.92%
Reduction in road rage	3	1.92%
Refuse / service access is poor	2	1.28%



<u>Summary of emails sent to COVIDtransport@ealing.gov.uk inbox on LTN 32: Junction Road to 1 April 2021</u>

49 emails from individuals regarding LTN 32 were sent to COVIDtransport@ealing.gov.uk email address up until 1 April 2021.

Email content categories:	Total Number	Percentage
TOTAL (1 for each email for tally)	49	100.00%
General dislike	32	65.31%
Congestion on main / boundary roads	22	44.90%
Other general improvement (1 if yes)	19	38.78%
Poor air quality / air pollution is worse (PM / NOx etc excluding CO2)	18	36.73%
Longer journeys	17	34.69%
Other (1 if yes)	17	34.69%
No / poor consultation	15	30.61%
General support	14	28.57%
Poor highway behaviour (highway code)	14	28.57%
LTN measures unnecessary - insufficient traffic / rat running	14	28.57%
Lack of existing evidence/data	12	24.49%
Emergency service access to LTN is obstructed	11	22.45%
Congestion / more traffic on LTN roads	11	22.45%
Deliveries are delayed / impaired	9	18.37%
Mental health impact - causes stress, anxiety or confusion etc	9	18.37%
Cause rat running elsewhere	9	18.37%
Reduction in traffic & rat running	9	18.37%
Other positive (numeric)	9	18.37%
Greater carbon emissions (CO2 or fuel consumption)	8	16.33%
Reduction in pedestrian safety	7	14.29%
Vehicles breaching LTN restrictions	7	14.29%
Need car for work purposes (commuting - not within LTN)	7	14.29%
Problems with the review process / data gathering	7	14.29%
Asking for LTN specific changes (numeric)	7	14.29%



		www.ealing.go
Email content categories:	Total Number	Percentage
General support for getting more people walking and cycling / air quality improvements	7	14.29%
but not LTNs		
Reduction in cycle safety	6	12.24%
Increase in walking / cycling	6	12.24%
Congestion causing delayed emergency response	5	10.20%
Increase in speeding	5	10.20%
Narrow / unsuitable roads?	5	10.20%
Requires more / improved signage	5	10.20%
Reduction in vehicle safety	4	8.16%
Refuse / service access is poor	4	8.16%
Business loss / delays due to vehicle requirement within LTN (including carers)	4	8.16%
Worse for Covid-19 mitigation / not relevant to Covid-19	4	8.16%
Better for children / schools	4	8.16%
Better for cyclists	4	8.16%
Safer streets	4	8.16%
Detrimental / disproportionate impact on the elderly	3	6.12%
Detrimental / disproportionate impact on the disabled	3	6.12%
Detrimental / disproportionate impact on parents or children	3	6.12%
Negative community impact	3	6.12%
Increase in bus journey times	3	6.12%
Business loss owing to reduced customer access.	3	6.12%
Reduction in air pollution	3	6.12%
Support owing to climate change	3	6.12%
Improved quality of life / calmer	3	6.12%
Detrimental / disproportionate impact against less affluent households living on main	2	4.08%
roads		
Reduction in traffic noise	2	4.08%



	•	
VACABLA OO	IIDA AAVIII	14
vv vv vv.ca	ling.gov.u	n
	9.9	٠.

Email content categories:	Total Number	Percentage
Increase in noise pollution	1	2.04%
Vandalism of LTN measures	1	2.04%
Reduced / restricted parking	1	2.04%
Taxi' / 'Cab' / 'Uber' use impaired	1	2.04%
Sat Nav updates required	1	2.04%
Requesting further / improved information on scheme	1	2.04%