Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report Core Strategy, Site Specific Allocations DPD & Proposals Map

Prepared by Ealing Council

January 2006



Contents

Introduction to the Sustainability Appraisal Process	5
Aims of Consultation	5
Responding to the Scoping Report	6
Copies of the Scoping Report	6
The Context	6
Introduction to the Local Development Framework	7
Scoping	7
The Scope of the Scoping Report	7
Introduction to the DPD's	7
Appraisal Methodology	9
Sustainability Objectives, Baseline and Context	10
Developing the SA framework for the appraisal of the DPD's	10
Task A1: Review of Plans, Policies and Programmes	10
Task A2: Review of Baseline Data	12
Task A3: Identification of key sustainability issues	16
Task A4: Developing the SA framework	23
Future Work	41
Monitoring	41
Appendices	
Appendix 1: Plans, Policies and Programmes	43

Appendix 2: Baseline Data

Introduction to the Sustainability Appraisal Process

In accordance with Section 5 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and EU Directive 2001/42/DC, a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) are required for Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs), produced as part of the Local Development Framework.

Whilst the requirement to undertake a Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) are separate and distinct, it is possible to satisfy both through a single appraisal process, in this case a Sustainability Appraisal.

The primary purpose of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is to promote sustainable development through the better integration of sustainability considerations in the process of preparing and adopting plans. The SA is an iterative process allowing us to identify and report on the likely significant effects of the plan, and the extent to which the implementation of the plan will achieve the social, environmental and economic objectives by which sustainable development can be defined.

Aims of Consultation

In accordance with the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive, comments on this scoping report have been invited from the four consultation bodies.

The four statutory consultation bodies are as follows:

- Countryside Agency
- English Heritage
- English Nature
- Environment Agency

All consultees have been given five weeks to respond to this report.

Comments are welcome on all aspects of the report, although consultees may wish to consider the following questions when forming their comments.

- Are there any other relevant policies, plans and programmes which have not been identified in the scoping report?
- Is the baseline data collected and set out in the Scoping Report appropriate for the DPD documents being prepared/approved?
- Do you know if any other additional baseline data which should be added to the list?
- As far as you are aware is the data correct?

Responding to the Scoping Report

Please note that responses to this document should be received no later than the 8th February 2006.

Responses should be sent to:

Planning Policy and Development Advice Section Ealing Council 5th Floor Perceval House 14-16 Uxbridge Road Ealing W5 2HL

Or via email: weakei@ealing.gov.uk

Copies of the Scoping Report

Hard copies of the Scoping Report can be inspected at:

Perceval House 14-16 Uxbridge Road Ealing W5 2HL

The Context

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires planning bodies preparing plans, to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. Sustainable development is defined here as, development which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

'Securing the future – New UK Sustainable Development Strategy (2005)' sets out the following 4 key objectives, under the broad heading of sustainable development:

- social progress which recognises the needs of everyone
- effective protection of the environment
- prudent use of natural resources; and
- maintenance of high and stable levels of economic growth and employment.

Introduction to the Local Development Framework

Ealing's Local Development Framework comprises a portfolio of documents, which are individually known as Local Development Documents. These Local Development Documents are either statutory (Development Plan Documents) or non-statutory (Supplementary Planning Documents). Development Plan Documents (DPD's) carry more weight as they are subject to an independent examination by a Planning Inspector before they are adopted. Supplementary Planning Documents are not subject to such an examination.

The Local Development Framework also comprises Ealing's adopted UDP (October 04) and SPG's. Progressively, over a three year period the UDP will be replaced with the new style development plan documents.

Scoping

The Scope of the Scoping Report

Since it has already been established that an SA is required for all Local Development Documents, the initial stage of the SA process is to devise a methodology for undertaking this appraisal. This stage is more commonly known as 'Scoping'.

This particular Scoping report relates to the appraisal of the LDF documents – the Core Strategy DPD, Sites Allocations DPD and Proposals Map.

Introduction to the DPD's

A brief summary of each of the DPD's is set out below.

Core Strategy DPD

The Core Strategy sets out the Council's vision and strategic objectives for the Borough for the next 10 years or more, and provides the framework for all the other LDD's. The Core Strategy DPD's will comprise a limited number of higher-level spatial policies, and more detailed generic development control policies, which will apply across the whole borough. Taken together these policies set the criteria against which planning applications for the development and use of land will be considered.

• Site Specific Allocations DPD

This document identifies specific sites, areas, and locations in Ealing, which are the subject of the Council's development and/or land use policies. It comprises a robust and credible assessment of suitability, availability and accessibility. The site

specific allocations conform to policies in the Core Strategy DPD. They may be given more detail in SPG/SPD and are illustrated on the Proposals Map.

• Proposals Map DPD

The proposals map illustrates on an ordnance survey base the sites, areas, and other locations, scheduled in the Site Specific Allocations DPD or referred to in other DPD's.

Appraisal Methodology

The key stages of the SA of the DPD's are set out in the table below.

This timetable follows closely ODPM's finalised guidance on applying sustainability appraisals to Local Development Documents.

August –	Stage A	Pre-production - Scoping
Nov 05		
	Stage A1	Review other policies, plans and programmes, and sustainable development objectives
	Stage A2	Collecting Baseline information
	Stage A3	Identifying sustainability issues and problems
	Stage A4	Developing the SA framework
Jan 06	Stage A5	Consulting on the scope of the SA.
	Stage B	Production - Options
Jan 06	Stage B1	Testing the DPD objectives against the SA Framework
	Stage B2	Developing the DPD options
	Stage B3	Predicting the effects of the DPD
	Stage B4	Evaluating the effects of the DPD
	Stage B5	Considering ways of mitigating adverse effects
		and maximising beneficial effects
	Stage B6	Proposing measures to monitor the significant effects of implementing the DPD's
	Stage C	Preparing the Sustainability Appraisal Report
	Stage C1	Preparing the SA Report
	Stage D	Consulting on the preferred options of the DPD and SA Report
	Stage D1	Public participation on the preferred options of the DPD and the SA Report
	Stage D2(i)	Appraising significant changes
	Stage D2(ii)	Appraising significant changes resulting from representations (following Examination)
	Stage D3	Making decisions and providing information
	Stage E	Monitoring implementation of the plan
	Stage E1	Finalising aims and methods for monitoring
	Stage E2	Responding to adverse effects

This report effectively summarises and completes stage A of the Sustainability Appraisal process. Stage B & C will commence in February following the completion of this consultation exercise on the Scoping Report.

Under the heading of 'Future Work' this scoping report outlines further work to be undertaken as part of this appraisal process.

Sustainability Objectives, Baseline and Context

Developing the SA framework for the appraisal of the DPD's

The establishment of SA objectives and criteria is central to the SA process. The SA framework, based on these objectives, provides a way in which sustainability effects can be described, analysed and compared.

Task A1: Review of Plans, Policies and Programmes

A key stage in developing the SA framework is to review plans, policies and programmes (PPP's), which are of relevance to the DPD's being appraised. The SEA Directive (Directive Annex 1a, e) also requires that the relationship between the plan and other relevant plans and programmes are fully considered. These PPP's will provide a context for the development and framing of the Development Plan Documents. Through reviewing these PPP's it will also be possible to identify and address inconsistencies and constraints that exist between the PPP's and the DPD's. Moreover this review will allow us to identify and take advantage of any potential synergies that may exist. The review has been particularly valuable in identifying the issues which need to be addressed through the development of the SA Framework. This review has also been useful in identifying baseline data, and notably targets.

Table 2 below lists all the plans, policies and programmes reviewed as part of the initial Scoping exercise, and indicates their relevance to the drafting of the DPD's. Appendix 1 comprises completed pro-formas for each of these individual documents. The following information has been recorded for each document:

- Purpose of document
- Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA)
- Key targets and indicators (relevant to the plan and SA)
- Implications for the plan and SA

This list may be updated throughout the SA process as new and emerging PPP's are identified. Moreover a number of documents listed in the table are in draft form at present (i.e. various PPS's), and will need to be reviewed again when they are finalised.

The outcomes of the review has allowed us to highlight relevant issues that the SA should consider within the formulation of the SA objectives.

International	Relevance to
Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development	Context
Kyoto Protocol	Context
Aarhus Convention	Context
European Spatial Development Perspective	Context
European Sustainable Development Strategy	Context
EU Habitats Directive	Context
EU Birds Directive	Context
EU Water Framework Directive	Context
EU Air Quality Framework Directive	Context
EU Sixth Environmental Action Plan	Context
EU Community Biodiversity Strategy	Context
EU framework Waste Directive	Context
EU Landfill Directive	Context
EU Environmental Noise Directive	Context
National	Context
Securing the Future – New UK Sustainable Development Strategy	Context
Sustainable Communities – Building for the Future	Context
Urban White Paper	Context
Energy White Paper	Context
UK Air Quality Strategy	Context
Barker Review of Housing Supply	Context
PPS 1 Creating Sustainable Communities	Context
PPG 2 Green Belts	Context
PPG 3 Housing	Context
PPG 4 Industrial Commercial development and small firms	Context
PPG 6 Town Centres and Retail Developments	Context
PPG 8 Telecommunications	Context
PPG 9 Nature Conservation	Context
PPS 9 Biodiversity and Geological Conservation	Context
PPS 10 Planning and Waste Management	Context
PPS 12 Local Development Frameworks	Strategic
PPG 13 Transport	Context
PPG 15 Planning and the Historic Environment	Context
PPG 16 Archaeology and Planning	Context
PPG 17 Planning for Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Context
PPG 19 Outdoor Advertisement Control	Context
PPG 21 Tourism	Context
PPS 22 Renewable Energy	Context
PPS 23 Planning and Pollution Control	Context
PPG 24 Planning and Noise	Context
PPG 25 Development and Flood Risk	Context
MPS 1 Planning and Minerals	Context
Climate Change – the UK Programme	Context
Our Energy Our Future: Creating a Low Carbon Economy	Context
UK Biodiversity Action Plan	Context
By Design – Urban Design in the Planning System	Context
Planning and Access for Disabled People – A Good Practice Guide	Context
A New Deal for Transport: Better for Everyone	Context
Transport 2010: Meeting the Local Transport Challenge 2000	Context
Sustainable Development Action Plan for Education and Skills	Context
	Context

Table 2: List of policies, plans and programmes reviewed (see also appendix 1)

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004	Context
Regional	
The London Plan: Spatial Development Strategy for London	Strategic
The Mayors Transport Strategy	Strategic
The London Road Safety Plan 2001	Strategic
The Mayor's Ambient Noise Strategy: Sounder City	Strategic
The Mayor's Air quality Strategy	Strategic
The Mayor's Biodiversity Strategy: Connecting with London's Nature	Strategic
The Mayor's Cultural Strategy: London Cultural Capital	Strategic
London's Biodiversity Action Plan	Strategic
The Mayor's Economic Development Strategy	Strategic
The Mayor's Municipal Waste	Strategic
The Mayor's Energy Strategy: Green Light to Clean Power	Strategic
London Warming	Strategic
West London Sub Regional Development Framework	Direct
Sustainable Design and Construction (Draft SPG)	Strategic
Accessible London: Achieving an Inclusive Environment (SPG)	Strategic
Industrial Capacity	Strategic
Office Policy Review	Strategic
Sustainable Development Framework for London	Strategic
Local	
Ealing Plan for the Environment	Direct
Ealing Community Strategy	Direct
Ealing Cultural Strategy	Direct
Ealing Air Quality strategy and Management Plan	Direct
Ealing Air Quality Action Plan	Direct
Ealing Contaminated Land Strategy	Direct
Ealing Biodiversity Action Plan	Direct
Ealing Housing Strategy	Direct
Ealing Waste Minimisation Strategy	Direct
Ealing Allotment Strategy	Direct
Ealing Parks and Open Spaces Strategy	Direct
Ealing Local Implementation Plan	Direct

The relevance of the Plans, Policies and Programmes to the DPD's is recorded in the table above according to the nature of their impact. In the case of the lower level PPP's their influence is often more direct, since these documents operate at a closer level to the DPD's themselves. Many of the regional level documents provide the strategic policy, which the DPD's must conform to, whilst the national and international PPP's provide the background (context) for the different policy areas. The main themes/issues identified following this review relate to economic issues, social cohesion and the management and conservation of resources.

Task A2: Review of Baseline Data

The SEA Directive requires information to be collected on the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the plan or programme, and the environmental characteristics of the areas likely to be most significantly affected. Section 13 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 also requires that baseline data is collected during the survey and evidence gathering stage of the DPD preparation.

The collection of baseline data is central to the SA process. As with the review of the PPP's, the review of the baseline data has allowed us to identify issues and problems which will inform the development of the SA objectives, and ultimately the drafting of the DPD's. Moreover, this baseline information will provide the basis for predicting and monitoring the effects of the DPD's against the SA objectives.

The baseline data has been collected from a variety of sources. A considerable body of this data was identified through the review of the PPP's, particularly in respect of identifying national and regional targets. The Annual Monitoring Report, recently completed for the UDP, has also been a useful source of information. In terms of the baseline data collected, both quantitative and qualitative data has been obtained. The baseline information collected comprises indicators, regional/national comparators, and trends and targets, where available. The regional and national data will allow us to assess how well Ealing is performing alongside other authorities. Where available, data has also been collected at a neighbourhood level in order to understand if there are any variations within the borough itself. Moreover, data has also been collected over a period of time in order to establish if any trends are evident. This data can be useful in predicting future effects and in establishing if targets are likely to be reached. This baseline data is set out in detail in appendix 2 of this report.

The process of collecting and analysing the baseline information has revealed various gaps. In a number of cases indicators have been identified despite there being no baseline data available. It is hoped that this data can be added as and when it becomes available. Accordingly it will be necessary to regularly review and update this data. Updating the existing data will also be essential for monitoring purposes, to check whether the effects predicted through the appraisal process were accurate.

A summary of the key issues/findings identified through this review are presented below:

Population and Housing

Ealing is the third most populated borough in the London region. The borough's population increased by 6% between 1991 and 2001. This level of growth is faster than the London average, and is predicted to continue over the next 10 years. The most significant population growth has been experienced in the north-west part of the borough. In order to accommodate the needs of this expanding population additional housing and services will be essential. The planning system and particularly the development plan process will play a central role in planning for and providing this need. In providing these services it will also be important to recognise the needs of Ealing's relatively young population.

In addition to increasing the actual number of new homes, it will be important to recognise the particular housing needs of this population. In particular the average household size in the borough (2.5 persons) is slightly larger then the London average as there is a larger proportion of dependent children within households. Therefore, not only is there a need for more housing, but a need for larger family sized properties. The need for affordable housing has also been identified as a

key issue from the review of the baseline data and PPP's. House prices in Ealing are higher than the regional and national average, and property prices have increased at a greater ratio than wages, and accordingly are therefore less easy to afford on a local wage. The shortage of affordable housing will put pressure on employers, including public services, to find and retain key workers.

Employment, Education and Skills

3.9% of Ealing residents are unemployed, higher than the average for England, but lower than the London average. There are significant variations in unemployment across the borough with rates for example in Southall well above the average for Ealing. Ealing is also listed in the top 50 local authority districts with the greatest number of residents who are considered 'income deprived'. Approximately, 10% of adults in Ealing are in receipt of Income Support, although this level is lower than the London average. Again the disparity between income levels and deprivation across the borough is striking however. In particular the wards of Southall Broadway, Dormers Wells, South Acton and Northcote have high levels of unemployment and deprivation. The need to tackle poverty and social exclusion should be identified as a key objective in the SA framework. Particularly priority should be given to tackling those wards performing most poorly.

In terms of education, educational attainment results are below the national average for Keystages 1 and 3. Ealing is ranked 8th highest in England in terms of the number of pupils with English as an additional language. There is a correlation between the percentage of pupils with EAL and poor school performance. In terms of higher education however, the percentage of the population with degree level qualifications exceeds the national average.

Economy

A strong skills base will be a key factor influencing the decision of businesses to invest in the borough. The rate of business growth in Ealing has been consistently above that of West London since 1996, which in turn has been above that for London and the UK during the same period. Accommodating this growth and providing an attractive environment to attract further inward investment will be essential. In this regard it will be essential to ensure that existing employment land supply is in line with demand.

Health

Over 71% of Ealing residents describe their health as good, higher than the average for London and England. Life expectancy is marginally higher in Ealing when compared with the London average, although mortality rates in Ealing are above those for London. There is a clear correlation between localised health inequality in the borough and levels of deprivation. Rates vary significantly between different parts of the borough, with rates highest in the wards of Heathfield, Northcote and Glebe. There is a need to reduce mortality rates for the key causes of death, and addressing infant mortality has been identified as a key priority. Fuel poverty has also been identified as a key issue to be addressed in the borough.

Crime

The crime rate in Ealing is lower than the overall average for London, but substantially higher than UK levels. Crime has also been rising in the borough over recent years. Since 1998, the overall crime rate has risen by 14%. Personal robbery has risen by over 100%. Acton and Southall have the highest levels of reported crime in the borough. The need to reduce crime levels and perception of crime should be a key objective of the SA framework. The scope for designing out crime should also be recognised.

Transport

The borough has a relatively high car dependency, contributing to traffic congestion and pollution. Traffic congestion could also threaten continued inward investment. Whilst car use in Ealing is high it is still lower than the London average. Approximately one third of the residents in the borough do not have access to their own vehicle, and are therefore dependent on public transport. cycling and walking. For this reason alone, these modes of travel need to be developed and expanded so as to reduce social exclusion. There are also large variations in car ownership across the borough and this variation needs to be targeted. This will also be particularly important in those parts of the borough where there are lower accessibility levels. PTAL levels are clearly highest around the town centres and particularly in those centres to the east of the borough, notably Ealing and Acton. Conversely levels are low in the west of the borough, particularly in Greenford, Perivale and Northolt (excluding their respective town centres). Improving accessibility levels will be essential in tackling social exclusion. Restraining traffic growth will also be important if C0² reductions and other air quality targets are to be met.

In terms of road safety Ealing has a good road safety record with an accident rate well below identified targets. Ealing's road safety record has also improved significantly between 02/03 and 03/04.

Tackling congestion, road safety and improving public transport accessibility should be identified as key objectives in the SA framework.

Environment

Ealing's open space is a key and valuable feature of the borough, with over 30% of borough protected as open space. This open space comprises some of the 93 designated nature conservation sites. Accordingly biodiversity is particularly rich in the borough and is actively protected through the Biodiversity Action Plan. The need to enhance and protect biodiversity should be reflected through the SA framework. In addition to the rich stock of wildlife and habitats, there is also a substantial stock of historic buildings and heritage land sites. There are a total of 29 conservation areas in Ealing and a substantial number of Listed buildings (both locally and statutorily listed). A number of listed buildings in the borough are

currently on the Register of Buildings at Risk. Enhancing and conserving this historic environment is identified as a key objective.

In terms of air quality the whole of the borough is identified as an Air Quality Management Area, however year on year improvements in air quality have been achieved. As would be expected, areas closest to the boroughs major road arteries (A40, North Circular, Hanger Lane) have the poorest air quality environment. Improving air quality should be identified as a key SA objective. Contamination issues are also evident on some sites, given historic uses. Tackling contamination will be essential if the objectives for recycling urban land are to be achievable.

The total tonnage of waste being recycled in Ealing is increasing, although the rate of recycling is still below national targets. Moreover, despite an increase in the levels of recycling, the total amount of waste generated in the borough is still growing. Accordingly promoting the waste hierarchy, i.e. 'reduce, reuse and recycle', should be a priority.

Of the baseline data identified so far, gaps have been identified particularly in respect of environmental data. Throughout the SA process further baseline data will be identified and updated where necessary.

It is evident from the baseline data that there are significant disparities between wards, giving Ealing both inner and outer London characteristics. This inequality is present in respect of most issues and needs to be addressed if the borough is to become more sustainable.

Task A3: Identification of Key Sustainability Issues

Table 3 below summarises the key sustainability issues facing the borough. These issues have been identified from various sources including; the review of the PPP's, the analysis of baseline data and targets, and from individual officers knowledge of these issues. With regard to the PPP's for example, tensions and inconsistencies have been identified between the objectives of the PPP's and those of the DPD's. These have been recorded as issues in the individual proformas in appendix 1. These tensions will need to be addressed/mitigated. The analysis of baseline data has also allowed us to identify where we are failing to achieve targets and where we are predicted through trends to fail in meeting these targets in the future. The final column in the baseline table in appendix 2 has recorded these issues where they arise.

The identification of these issues will be key in informing the development of the SA objectives. Notably the SA objectives will be developed with the intention of addressing these issues.

Table 3: Issues, UDP SA objectives and LDF SA objectives

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Population & Housing - Need for additional housing and services to accommodate expanding population. Need to tackle poverty and social exclusion. Promote equality of opportunity for all.	Encourage the provision of equitable access to essential facilities eg affordable housing and local services such as shops, transport, green space, recycling facilities, doctor etc and encourage pushchair and wheelchair access for people with children or physical and other disabilities.	Actively support inclusive access to essential health, community and local services.
Need to tackle social exclusion. Encourage community participation. Tackle apathetic attitude to community involvement.	Encourage community involvement in initiatives eg community safety projects consultation exercises, etc in order to empower all sections of the communities both individuals and groups in decision making regarding issues having both social and community impacts particularly taking the community views on board prior to commencing projects. Exhibit support and value unpaid and voluntary projects such as LETS Schemes Actively encourage partnerships with schools and local and other businesses and other constituent parts f the local community such as faith communities societies as well as other government Actively promote local employment opportunities through new business establishment and consultation with the local chamber of commerce. Bolster linkages with local community groups and service agencies particularly public transport and businesses to support small and growing business development to improve training skills and acquisition and education	Promote community involvement, voluntary and partnership working.
Environment - Substantial historic stock and heritage land which needs to be conserved and enhanced. Reduce the number of listed buildings in the borough currently on the Register of Buildings at Risk.	No equivalent	Preserve and enhance the local historic environment and cultural heritage.

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Crime – Need to reduce actual crime levels and the perception of crime. Recognise scope for designing out crime.	Actively ensure that development or changes in use minimise the opportunities for crime and antisocial activities and promote a sense of safety and security among residents.	Reduce crime, fear of crime and antisocial behaviour.
Environment – Need to reduce noise from vehicle and air traffic. Minimise socially unacceptable noise.	Encourage the minimisation of noise nuisance from neighbours, traffic aircraft and other activities.	Minimise detrimental noise impacts.
Population and Housing Population growth will increase the number of new homes required to be built, including the need for affordable housing, wheelchair accessible housing, and family sized units. There is a need to reduce deprivation, and improve equality of opportunity for all.	No equivalent	Improve access to well designed, affordable, inclusive and appropriately located housing.
Health – Tackle localised health inequalities linked to deprivation. Tackle fuel poverty. Need to reduce mortality rates, particularly infant mortality. Improve access to services, and increase social inclusion.	No equivalent	Reduce health inequalities and promote healthy living.
Population – Growth in population will put greater pressure on existing services/amenities including open space.	Seek to enhance, maintain, increased levels of green space including natural garden and landscaped areas and promote the protection of planing of trees/hedges to absorb CO2 and enhance wildlife habitats Protect nature conservation sites from building development pressure, eg green belt green	Protect and enhance open space, the natural environment and biodiversity.

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Need to provide new space and enhance existing facilities. Improve access to existing open space. Environment – Recognise value of open space as a key asset for the borough. Improve access to existing open space. Protect and enhance designated sites and reduce the rate of biodiversity loss.	corridors and parks in order to protect biodiversity. Maintain and enhance SSSI or critical natural habitats in the borough to sustain the biodiversity of the area including river corridors.	
Environment – Tackle the high levels of vehicular generated pollution at and near the main road network and at other hotspots. Recognise impact of further growth at Heathrow. Health – Reduce air pollution in the interest of health objectives. Transport – Restrain traffic growth.	Seek to reduce local air pollutants CO ² SO ² NOx 03 Pb NH4 CFCs Ozone Depleting substances, particulate matter and unpleasant smells ensuring public health and positively impacting on respirator illness.	Improve air quality.
Environment – Reduce contributions to climate change. Promote the use of renewable energy. Promote energy efficiency. Health – Need to tackle fuel poverty.	Encourage the use of alternative sources of energy such as photovoltaic panels, solar water heating, CHPS, micro-wind and green electricity tariffs and provide education or contact details for further progression. Encourage the installation of proper insulation in all building extensions and conversions to conserve energy and reduce heat loss.	Reduce contributions to and vulnerability to climate change.

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Environment Reduce contributions to climate change. Need to reduce flood risk and the impact of flooding. Encourage reuse and recycling. Protect and enhance biodiversity (i.e. river habitats).	Limit water pollution incidents both chemical and biological to safeguard drinking water and any open water for amenity or wildlife use. Encourage water efficiency including promoting water saving devices, water recycling eg surface water, in new development, refurbishment, public buildings and businesses. Encourage appropriate design that considers issues such as density general layout, visual amenity and not building on areas liable to flooding as well as aspects of material sourcing encouraging the use of recycled locally source and sustainably produced materials.	Improve water quality, conserve water resources, and minimise the impact of flooding.

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Environment – Protect existing open space. Reduce pressure for development on open space. Promote development on PDL. Tackle contamination issue. Population and Housing - Accommodate needs of growing population, in terms of more households, services etc. Tackle deprivation and social exclusion. Need to equalise levels of deprivation across the borough. Economy – Tackle vacancy rates. Create an attractive environment to attract further inward investment.	Promote the reuse of vacant and underused land and buildings and encourage the remediation of derelict and contaminated and to bring it into beneficial use. Encourage the re-use of existing buildings and enhance existing shopping/leisure facilities buildings etc to regenerate areas and their established infrastructure and transport and improve safety.	Enhance existing buildings and facilities, and encourage the reuse/remediation of vacant land and under- utilised buildings.
Environment – Promote the waste hierarchy. Divert waste from landfill. Reduce waste production and increase levels of recycling.	Encourage a reduction in waste and increased in reuse/recycling by both households and particularly industry within the borough	Reduce waste generation and increase waste recycling.

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Transport - Restrain traffic growth. Improve public transport accessibility levels. Improve the road safety record. Environment – Restrain traffic growth in order to meet air quality targets.	Preserve and encourage mixed use whilst retaining a balance of the residential and industrial/business needs of the area. Enhance the range of local facilities reducing the need to travel, particularly the number of trips and distance travelled in private motorised vehicles. Promote alternative such as canal and rail for freight and the transportation of goods.	Reduce vehicular dependency and promote the use of sustainable modes of transport.
Population – Population growth will increase pressure on existing resources/services Employment, Education and Skills – Address spatial variations in unemployment. Tackle poverty and social exclusion with particular priority given to those wards performing most poorly. Improve educational attainment results. Economy – Create a strong skills base in the borough to attract inward investment.	Promote local paid employment and encourage the purchasing and provision of local goods and services, and the increased local circulation of money. Actively promote local employment opportunities through new business establishment and consultation with the local chamber of commerce. Bolster linkages with local community groups and service agencies particularly public transport and businesses to support small and growing business development to improve training skills and acquisition and education	Promote local employment opportunities, training and skills attainment.
Employment, Education and Skills – Create a strong skills base in the borough to attract inward investment. Economy – Ensure existing employment land supply is in line with demand.	Actively promote local employment opportunities through new business establishment and consultation with the local chamber of commerce. Bolster linkages with local community groups and service agencies particularly public transport and businesses to support small and growing business development to improve training skills and acquisition and education	Support sustainable economic growth.

Issues –	Original UDP SA Objective(s)	LDF SA Objective
Manage pressure on employment land for other uses. Environment - Tackle contamination issues where necessary. Create an environment		
attractive to new investment.		
Employment, Education and Skills – Need to improve access to training and education opportunities. Need to enhance the quality of schools, FE, HE colleges and institution across	.No equivalent	Improve opportunities for education and training.
the borough. Population – Provide for needs of expanding population. Recognise cultural diversity in the borough. Tackle apathetic attitudes to community involvement. Tackle social exclusion.	Enhance the cultural identity of the local community encouraging cultural artistic and recreational activities of the local community	Promote cultural and community identity.

Task A4: Developing the SA Framework

The SA framework provides the way in which sustainability effects can be described, analysed and compared, and is therefore central to the SA process.

The SA framework has been developed in response to the identification of issues undertaken at stages A2 & A3 above. The SA framework comprises sustainability objectives, which are expressed in terms of criteria (decision making criteria), the achievement of which are measurable using indicators. These indicators are supported by baseline data, which is essential for predicting and evaluating the effect of the DPD's at stage B of the process, and for monitoring purposes. Much of this baseline data was collected at stage A2 of the appraisal process and was used to identify the key issues facing the borough. Table 4 below sets out the SA framework, which has been developed to appraise the DPD objectives and options.

As noted above the SA objectives (see table 3 above) were developed in response to the issues identified following the review of the plans, policies and programmes and the analysis of the baseline data. Moreover in developing the SA objectives consideration was also given to the original 25 SA objectives developed for the SA of the UDP (hence their inclusion in table 3). The SA UDP objectives were refined and used in the appraisal of the first tranche of SPD's. Comments from the Statutory Consultees regarding these objectives have been useful in developing the DPD SA objectives. The UK Sustainable Development Strategy has also been a useful source of information in terms of developing the SA objectives. A conscience decision was also made to keep the SA objectives to a manageable number.

When using the SA framework it will be necessary to refer back to the baseline data in appendix 2 of this report, hence the inclusion of the baseline reference numbers. The baseline data included in table 4 represents a snapshot of this data only.

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
1. Actively support inclusive access to essential health, community and local services.	Will services be provided to a broad section of the community, e.g. youth/elderly?	Community centres providing youth activities.	No data available	N/A
		Number of accessible libraries.	10 out of 11.	15
		Percentage of local authority buildings accessible by disabled people.	1.5%	15
	Will it improve the quality and integration of health services?	Number of health centres with 3 or more disciplines.	No data available	N/A
	Will it ensure that essential services are accessible to those without access to a car?	Number of GP surgeries in the borough.	89 (2005)	27
		Number of opticians in the borough.	30 (2005)	29
		Number of dental surgeries in the borough	58 (2005)	28
	Will it improve the satisfaction of residents with their neighbourhood as a place to live?	Not identified - Survey of residents' satisfaction to be undertaken shortly.	No data available	N/A
2. Promote community involvement, voluntary and partnership working.	Will increase community participation in activities and the democratic process?	Percentage of people voting in Elections.	34.1% (May 2002)	69

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
		Percentage of adults surveyed (in 2002) who feel they can influence decisions in their local area a) individually b) by working together	a) 32% b) 64%	70
3. Preserve and enhance the local historic environment and cultural heritage.	Will it promote partnership working? Will it protect/enhance the historic environment?	Not identified Number of listed buildings on the Buildings at Risk Register.	No data available 11 (2005)	N/A 101
		Number of conservation areas in the borough.	29 (2005)	87
	Will it protect the quality of designated historic landscapes and townscapes?	Number of Heritage Land/Registered Historic Garden Designations.	4 Heritage Land Designations in the UDP. 3 Gardens of Special Historic Interest (2005).	N/A
		Number of local and strategic viewpoints, views and landmarks in the borough.	19 viewpoints 7 views 13 landmarks (2005)	99
	Will it preserve and record archaeological features?	Number of archaeology priority areas. 8 in Acton 9 in Ealing	2 in Hanwell 7 in Northolt and Perivale 4 in Southall (2005)	100
4. Reduce crime, fear of crime and antisocial behaviour.	Will it reduce actual levels of crime?	Overall crime rate (recorded crime BCS comparator).	Ealing – 80.4 London – 83.8 National – 69.3 (2003)	34

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
		Index of Multiple Deprivation 2004 by Super Output Area – Crime (Rank 1 = most deprived, 32,482 = least deprived.	Ealing 10,561 W. London 12,051 London 11,821 (2004)	37
		Total notifiable offences (per 1000 households).	126	35
		Crime survey: burglary/burglary from dwelling (per 1000 households).	5.1	33
		Theft of/from motor vehicles (per 1000 households).	22.6	32
	Will it reduce the fear/perception of crime?	Perception/fear of crime – no indicator identified.	N/A	N/A
5. Minimise detrimental noise impacts.	 Will ambient (environmental/industrial) noise levels be reduced? Will it reduce vehicular traffic noise? Will it reduce the impact of air traffic noise? Will it reduce perceived noise levels? 	Number of noise complaints received by LBE Environmental Health Department for different categories of noise.	Aircraft - 3 Road -15 Rail - 0 Commercial noise -363 Alarms - 123 Domestic noise - 1477 Noise insulation – 6 (2004-2005)	82
		Percentage of borough exposed to noise levels above 60dB(A) in the day.	17%	81
		Percentage of borough exposed to noise levels above 60dB(A) at night	4%	.81

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
	Will it promote best practice in terms of noise minimisation and attenuation in design?	No indicator identified	N/A	N/A
	Will it promote the appropriate siting of development which minimises the potential for conflict with incompatible uses?	No indicator identified	N/A	N/A
6. Improve access to well designed, affordable, inclusive and appropriately located housing.	Will it improve the affordability of housing?	Number/percentage of housing completions which are affordable.	266 28% of total housing completions (2004/05)	54
		Affordable Housing Ratio (house price/earnings affordability)(2003)	8.65 (2003)	55
	Will it improve the availability of housing?	Total number of housing completions (2003/4)	860 (2004/5)	53
		Housing Tenure mix	No data available	N/A
		Time on housing waiting list	No data available	N/A
		Number of people sleeping rough on a single night.	5	59
		Percentage of Local Authority homes which were non-decent (BVPI)	37.7%	57
		Unfit Homes per 1000 dwellings (AMR)	52	56

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
	Will it improve the physical accessibility of housing?	Percentage of homes built which are Wheelchair Accessible.	Data not yet available. To be recorded as part of the Annual Monitoring Report. Target of 10%.	52
		Percentage of homes built which are built to Lifetime Home Standards.	Data not yet available. To be recorded as part of the Annual Monitoring Report. Target of 100%.	51
7. Reduce health inequalities and promote healthy living.	Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it reduce death rates?	Life expectancy	Male 76 Female 81.2 (2001-3)	21
		Standardised Mortality Rate	95 (2003) Below 100 indicates a lower death rate than the national average.	22
		Percentage of population in good health.	71.07% (2001)	20
	Will it improve access to health facilities?	Number of GP surgeries	89 (2005)	27
		Number of NHS dentists	58 (2005)	28
		Number of opticians	30 (2005)	29
	Will it improve healthy living?	Accessibility to sports/recreation facilities.	No data identified	N/A

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
8. Protect and enhance open space, the natural environment and biodiversity.	Will it protect open space?	Area of protected open space.	1701ha % of total area of the borough = 30.7% Comprises: 3 Heritage land sites 12 Green Corridors 7 Green Belt sites 12 Metropolitan Open Land sites 115 Pubic Open Spaces 84 Community Open Space	84
	Will it enhance the quality of	Gains or loss in open space (loss of designated open space to development) (AMR – Permissions and Completions data)	Completions – 0 Permissions – 0 (2004/05) 67% (2004/05)	85
	open/green space?	borough (Percentage of residents satisfied with the borough's open space) (BVPI)	Southall Park and Acton Park awarded Green Flag status (2004/05)	00

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
	Will it conserve and enhance existing habitats of importance (notably	Number of nature conservation sites in the borough	75 (2005)	86
	designated sites)?	Number of nature management areas in the borough	5 (2005)	86
		Gains or loss of open space designated for its nature conservation value (AMR – Permissions and Completions data)	1 application approved for development on site forming part of a Nature Conservation Management Area. The approved development did not comprise built development (2004/05)	86
		Number of Priority Species and habitats	See List	97
	Will rivers, canals and waterways be protected?	Percentage of main rivers and canals recorded as good or fair quality	100%	94

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
9. Improve Air Quality	Will it improve air quality? Will it help to achieve the objectives of the Air Quality Management Plan?	Extent of air quality management area(s)	Whole of the borough (2005)	80
		The number of days when air quality is moderate or high	10 days (2004/05)	80
	Will it reduce the need to travel by private car?	Method of travel to work and education	Data not yet identified	91
	Will it encourage freight transfer from road to rail and water?	Not identified	N/A	N/A
10. Reduce contributions to and vulnerability to climate change	Will it lead to an increase proportion of energy needs being met from renewables?	Proportion of energy supplied from renewable sources	No data available	76
		The number of planning applications where equipment for renewable power generation has been secured (AMR).	1 application recorded (2004/05)	77
	Will it encourage cleaner modes of transport?	Method of travel to work and education.	Data not yet identified	91
	Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions?	CO ² emissions by end user.	Data not yet identified	73
		CO ² emissions by sector.	Data not yet identified	74
	Will it reduce energy demand?	Energy efficiency – the average SAP rating of local authority owned dwellings (BVPI)	58 (2003/04)	75

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
11. Improve water quality, conserve water resources and	Will it reduce water consumption?	Water consumption per household.	UK figures only	88
minimise the impact of flooding.	Will it reduce flood risk?	Number of planning applications approved in the floodplain.	No data available. To be recorded as part of Annual Monitoring Report.	N/A
		The number of planning applications incorporating sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDS).	No data available	N/A
	Will it improve water quality in the borough?	Percentage of main rivers and canals recorded as good or fair quality in terms of (a) biological quality (b) chemical quality	(a) 100% (b) 100% (2003/04)	94
	Will it encourage sustainable water supply and consumption?	Indicator not identified	N/A	N/A
12. Enhance existing buildings and facilities, and encourage the	Will it ensure that new development occurs on derelict, vacant and	Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land (BVPI)	99	78
reuse/remediation of vacant land and under-utilised buildings.	underused previously developed land?	Gains or loss in open space (loss of designated open space to development)(AMR)	Completions – 0 Permissions – 0 Note however loss of 750 sq. m. of non-designated open space to residential.	85
	Will it encourage the reuse of vacant or underutilised buildings?	Vacancy Rates (EDS)	6.8% (December 03)	115

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
		Vacancy Rates of Major Employment Locations	175,108 sq. m. of industrial and warehouse premises are vacant representing 7.8% of total stock (2005)	114
		Vacancy rates of retail units within town centres (Ealing Town Centre Health Checks)	Acton $- 11\%$ Ealing $- 5\%$ Greenford $- 4\%$ Hanwell $- 13\%$ Southall $- 4\%$ Northolt $- 2\%$ Park Royal $- 8\%$ (2004)	116
	Will it enhance soil quality/address contamination issues?	No indicator identified	Not identified	N/A
13. Reduce waste generation and increase waste recycling.	Will it reduce overall household waste generation?	Number of kilograms of household waste collected per head (BV84)	444.91 estimate (2004/05)	N/A
	Will it increase recycling levels?	Percentage of the total tonnage of waste arising, which have been recycled (Municipal only) (BV82)	12.14% estimate (2004/05)	72
	Will it reduce commercial and industrial waste?	Total waste arisings (non-municipal). Data not yet available.	Not yet identified	N/A

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
14. Reduce vehicular dependency and promote the use of sustainable modes of transport	Will it reduce the use of the private car? Will it increase the proportion of journeys being made by public transport?	Mode of travel used by Ealing residents to visit nearest town centres.	32% Bus 31% Car/Van 31% on foot 3% by tube 2% by bike 1% by train (2001)	64
		Car ownership levels - Households with access to 1 or more car(s)/van(s)	68.3% (2001)	93
	Will it generate investment for improvement in transport infrastructure?	Amount of Section 106 funding secured for transport improvements (AMR).	In 2004/05 transport secured the largest share (31.3%) of the total monies secured from sealed Section 106 agreements	119
15. Promote local employment opportunities, training and skills attainment.	Will it improve employment rates/reduce unemployment levels?	Unemployment rate (Census)	3.9% (2001) Note variations between wards	16
	Will it create local paid employment opportunities?	New Firms: Registrations – Enterprise: VAT registrations per 10,000 adults.	53.8 (2003)	103

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
	Will it promote local employment opportunities through new business establishment?	Inward investment (EDS)	A total of 25 companies originating from overseas are registered with Think London as having set up in Ealing from April 1995 to date (2005)	111
	Will it increase employment opportunities for all groups, including those most in need?	Unemployment rate – Ward level data (Census)	3.9% overall (2001) Note variations between wards	16
		Employment rate by ethnicity (EDS)	White – 83.5% Non White – 58%	46
	Will it improve earning levels?	Annual Earnings – Average Household Income (including benefits) (EDS)	£34,303 (2005)	47
	Will it improve skills attainment?	Skills Level: Percentage of working age population qualified to degree level or higher (EDS)	38% (2004)	43
16. Support Sustainable Economic growth.	Will it encourage new business start ups?	New Firms: Registrations – Enterprise: VAT registrations per 10,000 adults	53.8 (2003)	103
		Vacancy rate (EDS)	6.8% (December 2003)	
	Will it encourage inward investment?	New Firms: Registrations – Enterprise: VAT registrations per 10,000 adults	53.8 (2003)	103
		Organisations which support new and established businesses	See list at appendix 2	102

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
	Will it ensure that there is a sufficient supply of employment/industrial space?	Distribution of Industrial/Warehousing floorspace in West London (EDS)	Total of 2,237 sq. m. of factory and warehouse floorspace.	112
		Change in employment floorspace – Permissions and Completions data (AMR)	Permission – net loss of over 50,000 sq.m of floorspace for B2 % B8. B1 use experienced an increase of 12,000 sq.m. Completions – net loss of 26,130 of B1 floorspace & 19,860 sq. m. of B8 floorspace. B2 floorspace experienced an increase of 7,623 sq. m. (2004/05)	113
		Vacancy rate of Major Employment Locations (EDS)	175,108 sq. m. of industrial and warehousing premises are vacant representing 7.8% of total stock (2005)	114
	Will it support the formation of local supply chains for goods and services?	Organisations which support new and established businesses	See list at appendix 2	102

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
17. Improve opportunities for education and training.	Will it improve the qualifications and skills of the population?	Literacy and numeracy of 11 year olds (Neighbourhood Renewal Project)	78%	41
		Literacy and numeracy of 14 year olds (Neighbourhood Renewal Project)	73%	42
		Educational Attainment - % of 15 year old pupils in school maintained by the local authority achieving 5 or more GCSE's at grades A*-C or equivalent (BVPI)	54.2% (2003/04)	39
		Educational Attainment - % of 19 year olds with 2 qualifications and above (EDS)	73.8% of 18-19 year olds	40
		Skills Level: % of working age population qualified to degree level or higher (EDS)	38%	43
		Number of pupils with English as an additional language (DFES)	41.8% (1997)	44
	Will it improve access to educational facilities?	Number of educational institutions in the borough	 6 - Nurseries 65 - Primary Schools (56 of which have nurseries) 13 - Secondary Schools 21 - Private Schools 6 - Schools for people with special educational needs. 2 - Universities 	45

LDF SA Objective	Criteria	Indicator	Measurable baseline data – snapshot only (see appendix 2 for comparative data and targets)	Baseline table reference – see appendix 2 for further details
18. Promote cultural and community Identity.	Will it foster a sense of pride in the area?	Percentage of people surveyed who think that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds can live together harmoniously.	71%	66
	Will it encourage engagement in community activities?	Membership (numbers (2002)) of the main community networks (Ealing Community Network, BME forum and Refugee Forum.	ECN - 230 BME Forum – no data Refugee Forum – 7 (2002)	67
		Number of people (2002) volunteering through the volunteer bureau	257 (2002)	68

Future Work

After the completion of the consultation exercise on the scoping report, stage B of the SA process will commence. Stage B will involve initially testing the DPD objectives against the SA framework set out in table 4 of this scoping report, with the aim of ensuring that the DPD objectives are in accordance with the SA objectives. Where inconsistencies/conflicts between objectives are identified, consideration will be given to the need to refine the DPD objectives. Ensuring that the DPD objectives are sustainable is essential given that these objectives will frame the drafting of the DPD options and ultimately the DPD's themselves. Having tested the DPD objectives, different DPD options for achieving these objectives will be developed. The individual options will also be tested against the SA framework. This will be an iterative process and the individual options will be refined/eliminated in response to the findings of the appraisal. The effects of the DPD against the sustainability objectives will be predicted and evaluated.

Following the appraisal of the DPD's, an SA Report will be prepared to be published alongside the preferred options for the DPD's in summer 06 for public consultation.

Monitoring

It is essential that the performance of the DPD's are regularly monitored to ensure that they are meeting their objectives and that any negative impacts are minimised/eliminated. In terms of the monitoring itself, many of the indicators identified in the baseline data will be particularly useful. This data will allow us to check if the SA predictions of the sustainability effects of the DPD's are accurate, and moreover to check that the DPD's are contributing to the achievement of the SA objectives. In order for this to be possible however it will be dependent on ensuring that the baseline data is up to date and regularly reviewed. Where gaps have been identified in this data these will need to be filled as and if data becomes available.

Further details of the monitoring scheme will be outlined in the Sustainability Appraisal Report for the DPD's.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Plans, Policies and Programmes

INTERNATIONAL

Title:	Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable		
	Development		
Date adopted			
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted at the 17 th plenary meeting of the World Summit		
	on Sustainable Development.		
Adopting body	The United Nations Commission on Sustainable		
	Development		
Document Level	International		
Purpose of Document:			
A commitment to sustainability pr	inciples and the sustainable development agenda, which		
was agreed at the Rio de Janeiro	Earth Summit in 1992. The Declaration is committed to a		
	n effect through national sustainable development plans.		
Key objectives (relevant to plai	n and SA):		
- To advance and strengthen t	he components of sustainable development at local,		
national, regional and global			
- Establish a humane, equitabl			
	t poverty eradication and human development.		
Key Targets and Indicators (rel			
- Reduce rate of biodiversity lo			
- Development of waste manage			
	s for sustainable development and local and community		
development			
- Develop production and consumption policies to improve products and services provided			
Implications for plan:			
	laration are proposed to take place at local, national, and		
	regional level through national strategies for sustainable development. Sustainable development is to be a constant reference point for developing the LDF.		
Implications for relevant SPDS			
All of the SPD's should reflect a commitment to sustainability (environmental, social and economic).			
Implications for SA:			
	a key tool in promoting and achieving sustainable		
Sustainability appraisal is in itself a key tool in promoting and achieving sustainable development.			
development.			
Other relevant information			
Links to West London Waste Stra	ateav		
Links			
	cuments/WSSD POI PD/English/POI PD.htm		
<u></u>			
Commentary			

Date adopted 1997 Status adoptive procedure Adopted Adopting body United Nations Frameword Document Level International Purpose of Document: Convention on Climate Change set out an overall framework tackle the challenge posed by climate change. The Kyoto Pt Convention by committing Parties to individual, legally bindingreenhouse gas emissions. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): - - To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustait Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): - Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at lease promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenhots from 1990 levels). - UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emissis by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in cat 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency and technologies via the tram. SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Commentary Climate Change – The UK Programme		
Adopting body United Nations Frameworl Document Level International Purpose of Document: Convention on Climate Change set out an overall framework tackle the challenge posed by climate change. The Kyoto PL Convention by committing Parties to individual, legally binding greenhouse gas emissions. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): - - To minimise the adverse effects of climate change. - - To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustait Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): - Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at lease promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenhose by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in cat 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Einks htttp://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_		
Document Level International Purpose of Document: Convention on Climate Change set out an overall framework tackle the challenge posed by climate change. The Kyoto Pl Convention by committing Parties to individual, legally binding greenhouse gas emissions. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): - - To minimise the adverse effects of climate change. - - To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustait Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): - Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at lease promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenh 5% from 1990 levels). - UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emissise by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information		
 Purpose of Document: Convention on Climate Change set out an overall framework tackle the challenge posed by climate change. The Kyoto Proconvention by committing Parties to individual, legally binding greenhouse gas emissions. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): To minimise the adverse effects of climate change. To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustain the adverse of greenhouse gases by at lease promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenh 5% from 1990 levels). UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emission by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 Commentary 	Convention on Climate Change	
 Convention on Climate Change set out an overall framework tackle the challenge posed by climate change. The Kyoto Pro Convention by committing Parties to individual, legally binding greenhouse gas emissions. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): To minimise the adverse effects of climate change. To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustain the adverse of greenhouse gases by at lease promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenh 5% from 1990 levels). UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emission by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 Commentary 		
 tackle the challenge posed by climate change. The Kyoto Proconvention by committing Parties to individual, legally binding greenhouse gas emissions. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): To minimise the adverse effects of climate change. To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustait Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at leas promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenties 5% from 1990 levels). UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emission by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. 		
 To establish policies, programs and measures to mitigate of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustait Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at leas promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenh 5% from 1990 levels). UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emission by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency and SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 	otocol strengthens the	
of energy efficiency, protection and enhancement of sink new renewable technologies and the promotion of sustai Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): - Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at leas promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greent 5% from 1990 levels) UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emissis by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2		
 Reduce overall emission of greenhouse gases by at lease promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greenh 5% from 1990 levels). UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emissis by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 	s and reservoirs, research into	
 promote sustainable development. (Individual targets for Protocol's Annex B, these add up to a total cut in greent 5% from 1990 levels). UK has an agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emission by 2008-12 and a national goal of a 20% reduction in car 1990 levels by 2010. Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 		
Implications for plan: Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate of Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the obje Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2	Parties are listed in the house gas emissions of at least ons by 12.5% below 1990 levels	
Will need to consider how the plan can contribute to the object Protocol. Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Face effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency and SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2		
Implications for relevant SPDS Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2		
Affordable Housing, Residential Design and Community Fac effect of building on climate change e.g. energy efficiency an SPD's relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West implicated through the polluting effect of road vehicles and a technologies via the tram. Implications for SA: The requirements of the Protocol should be reflected in the S Framework. Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 Commentary		
Other relevant information Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 Commentary	d green construction. The London Tram Route) are ir transport and support clean	
Links http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2 Commentary		
http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2	Other relevant information	
http://unfccc.int/essential_background/kyoto_protocol/items/2		
	2830.php	

Title:	Aarhus Convention (on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision- Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters)
Date adopted	1998
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	UN Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE)
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
human rights. It establishes that su involvement of all stakeholders and protection. The focus of the docum	onmental agreement that links environmental rights and stainable development can be achieved only through the d links government accountability and environmental ent is on interactions between the public and public forging a new process for public participation in the international agreements
Key objectives (relevant to plan	
 Requires Parties to guarantee decision-making and access t Public authorities (at national, rights to become effective. 	e rights of access to information, public participation in to justice in environmental matters. regional or local level) are to contribute to allowing these
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	
 organisations. Public authorities are obliged possession. The right to participate from a The right to challenge, in a correspecting the two aforemention. The Convention establishes in Party from adopting measures information, public participation. Implications for plan: Production of Statement of Commistages and be reviewed to ensure participate. All documents in the Implications for relevant SPDS 	ve environmental information that is held by public to actively disseminate environmental information in their n early stage in environmental decision-making. out of law, public decisions that have been made without ioned rights or environmental law in general. ninimum standards to be achieved but does not prevent any s which go further in the direction of providing access to on or access to justice.
	rt in consultation with relevant organisations and public. accordance with Government Guidance and the Statement
Links http://www.unece.org/env/pp/ Commentary	

Freedom of Information Act 2000

EUROPEAN

Status adoptive procedure Adopting body Adopting body En Document Level In Purpose of Document: The ESDP sets out a policy framework The ESDP sets out a policy framework Adopting body Aimed at achieving a balanced and set Key objectives (relevant to plan and set) To ensure three goals are achieved Economic and social cohesion Conservation and management More balanced competitiveness Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and indicators, in ord development of the regions and cities EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with the company of the test of te	equally throughout the EU: of natural resources and cultural heritage of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP. T States and the Commission reached agreement
Adopting body En Document Level In Purpose of Document: In The ESDP sets out a policy framework Indextor of the	Suropean Union International Ork for the sectoral policies of the European Community atial impacts, as well as for regional and local authorities. Sustainable development of the European territory. Ind SA): equally throughout the EU: c of natural resources and cultural heritage s of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP.
Document Level In Purpose of Document: In The ESDP sets out a policy framework Indexter the theorem of theo	nternational prk for the sectoral policies of the European Community atial impacts, as well as for regional and local authorities. sustainable development of the European territory. and SA): equally throughout the EU: of natural resources and cultural heritage of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP. States and the Commission reached agreement
Purpose of Document: The ESDP sets out a policy framewo and the Member States that have spa- Aimed at achieving a balanced and su Key objectives (relevant to plan and To ensure three goals are achieved - Economic and social cohesion - Conservation and management - More balanced competitiveness Key Targets and Indicators (relevant The document proposes that the Eurieliable criteria and indicators, in ord development of the regions and citient EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with	ork for the sectoral policies of the European Community atial impacts, as well as for regional and local authorities. ustainable development of the European territory. and SA): equally throughout the EU: of natural resources and cultural heritage of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP.
The ESDP sets out a policy framewo and the Member States that have spa Aimed at achieving a balanced and su Key objectives (relevant to plan at To ensure three goals are achieved - Economic and social cohesion - Conservation and management - More balanced competitiveness Key Targets and Indicators (releva The document proposes that the Eur reliable criteria and indicators, in ord development of the regions and citie EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with	atial impacts, as well as for regional and local authorities. ustainable development of the European territory. ind SA): equally throughout the EU: of natural resources and cultural heritage of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP. States and the Commission reached agreement
Aimed at achieving a balanced and su Aimed at achieving a balanced and su Key objectives (relevant to plan an To ensure three goals are achieved - Economic and social cohesion - Conservation and management - More balanced competitiveness Key Targets and Indicators (releva The document proposes that the Eur reliable criteria and indicators, in ord development of the regions and citie EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with	atial impacts, as well as for regional and local authorities. ustainable development of the European territory. ind SA): equally throughout the EU: of natural resources and cultural heritage of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP. States and the Commission reached agreement
 Economic and social cohesion Conservation and management More balanced competitiveness Key Targets and Indicators (releval) The document proposes that the Eureliable criteria and indicators, in ord development of the regions and citie EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with 	of natural resources and cultural heritage of the EU Territory ant to plan and SA): propean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP. To States and the Commission reached agreement
 Conservation and management More balanced competitiveness Key Targets and Indicators (relevance) The document proposes that the European indicators, in ordination of the regions and cities EU must be implemented as part of implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with the set of the set of	ant to plan and SA): ropean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP.
Key Targets and Indicators (releval The document proposes that the Eur reliable criteria and indicators, in ord development of the regions and citie EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with	ant to plan and SA): Iropean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP. To States and the Commission reached agreement
The document proposes that the Eur reliable criteria and indicators, in ord development of the regions and citie EU must be implemented as part of Implications for plan: By adopting the ESDP, the Member on common objectives and concepts European Union. Therefore, LDF with	ropean Commission and Member States agree upon der to be able to effectively support sustainable es. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the the ongoing updating of the ESDP.
reliable criteria and indicators, in order to be able to effectively support sustainable development of the regions and cities. Long-term research on spatially relevant issues in the EU must be implemented as part of the ongoing updating of the ESDP.	
Implications for SA:	
The SA will need to cover social, eco inclusion and deprivation. Will need deprivation, economic development,	onomic and environmental issues, addressing social to develop indicators covering topics of social , cultural heritage and natural resources.
Other relevant information	
	ng and Historic Environment), and London Plan.
Links http://europa.eu.int/comm/regional_p	policy/sources/docoffic/official/reports/som_en.htm
Commentary	

Title:	European Sustainable Development Strategy		
Date adopted	2001		
Status adoptive procedure Adopting body	Adopted (Document review 2005)		
Document Level	European Union International		
	International		
Purpose of Document:	er world: A European strategy for Sustainable Development".		
	s to deal with important threats to our well being, such as		
climate change, poverty, and em			
Key objectives (relevant to plan			
	rease the use of clean energy		
- Address threats to public hea			
- Manage natural resources m			
- Improve transport system and			
Key Targets and Indicators (rel			
- Limit climate change and inc	crease the use of clean energy		
	nts and thereafter EU to aim to reduce atmospheric		
,	y an average 1% per year over 1990 levels up to 2020.		
	fuel production and consumption by 2010.		
	- Alternative fuels, including biofuels, should account for at least 7% of fuel consumption of cars and trucks by 2010, and at least 20% by 2020.		
	and labelling requirements for buildings and appliances to		
improve energy efficiency.			
Address threats to public health			
- Develop by 2003 a comprehensive Community strategy to promote health and safety at			
work, to achieve a substantial reduction in work accidents and professional illness.			
- All legislation to implement the new chemicals policy in place by 2004.			
- Manage natural resources more responsibly			
- Protect and restore habitats and natural systems and halt the loss of biodiversity by 2010.			
- Improve the transport system and land-use management			
	- Promote more balanced regional development by reducing disparities in economic		
	iability of rural and urban communities, as recommended by		
the European Spatial Develo	pment Perspective.		
Implications for plan:			
Ensure new development will minimise its effect on climate change and plan for any impacts.			
Implications for relevant SPDS			
	Design and Community Facilities will be implicated via the		
	ge eg energy efficiency and green construction. The SPD's		
relating to transport (Sustainable Transport and West London Tram Route) are implicated			
through the polluting effect of road vehicles and air transport and support clean technologies			
via the tram.			
Implications for SA:			
The requirements for the above objectives should be reflected in the Sustainability Appraisal			
Framework.			
Other relevant information			
Links			
http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/en/com/cnc/2001/com2001_0264en01.pdf			
Commentary			
Securing the Future - UK Government sustainable development strategy 2005			

Title:	EU Habitats Directive
	(Special Areas of Conservation (SACs)) (92/43/EEC)
Date adopted	1992
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	European Union
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
Directive created a network of pronational and international importa	otect the wild plants, animals and habitats. This European otected areas around the European Union that are of ance. They are called 'Natura 2000' sites, these sites include ACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs).
 Maintain or restore designate Take appropriate steps to av Linear structures (rivers/streat and migration of species sho 	ed natural habitat types, and habitats of designated species. oid degrading or destroying SAC's ams/hedgerows/field boundaries etc) that enable movement uld be preserved
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	
	ave a significant impact on a designated site should undergo f its implications for the conservation objectives of the site.
Implications for plan:	
Plan policies to support overall ol	pjectives and the requirements of the Directive.
Implications for relevant SPDS	
No relevant SPD's identified at th	is stage.
Implications for SA:	
	ne Directive are reflected in the Sustainability Appraisal
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviror itats_directive/index_en.htm	nment/nature/nature conservation/eu nature legislation/hab
Commentary	
Conservation (Natural Habitats, &	c.) Regulations 1994
Wildlife and Countryside Act 198	1
Countryside and Rights of Way A	

Title:	EU Birds Directive (79/409/EEC)
Date adopted	1979
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	European Union
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
The Birds Directive has create 194 species and sub-species (need of special conservation n	
Key objectives (relevant to p	
	and control of all species of naturally occurring birds. e, maintain or re-establish a sufficient diversity and area of
Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):
- No targets	
Implications for plan:	
	I objectives and the requirements of the Directive. Relevant to cular relating to nature conservation and biodiversity.
Implications for relevant SPI	DS
Implications for CA.	
Implications for SA:	t the Directive are reflected in the Quateinshility Appreciat
Framework.	f the Directive are reflected in the Sustainability Appraisal
Other relevant information	
threatened species and all mig critical for the survival of the ta Natura 2000, the EU's network	o designate Special Protection Areas (SPAs) for the 194 gratory bird species. SPAs are scientifically identified areas ingeted species, such as wetlands. The SPAs form part of c of protected nature sites, which was established in 1992. The PA gives it a high level of protection from potentially damaging
Links	ironment/nature/nature_conservation/eu_nature_legislation/bir
Links	ironment/nature/nature_conservation/eu_nature_legislation/bir

Title:	EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)		
Date adopted	2000		
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted		
Adopting body	European Commission		
Document Level	International		
Purpose of Document:			
The purpose of the water framework directive is to introduce legislation to get polluted Waters clean again, and ensure clean waters are kept clean.			
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):		
 Aims to protect inland surface waters, transitional waters, coastal waters and groundwater. Promote long term sustainable use of water Protect, enhance and prevent the further deterioration of aquatic ecosystems Mitigate against the effects of floods and droughts Directive will establish a strategic framework for managing the water environment and provides a common approach to protecting and setting environmental objectives for all ground and surface waters and the promotion of sustainable water use. For surface water, the Directive requires that environmental objectives are based on the chemical and, more significantly, ecological status of the water body. For groundwater, quantitative and chemical objectives must be set. The Directive also requires that statutory strategic management plans be produced for each River Basin District (RBD). Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): Enhance the status and prevent further deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and associated wetlands There is a requirement for nearly all inland and coastal waters to achieve 'good status' by 2015 			
 Programmes of measures will be set to meet objectives within RBMPs. These control methods may be at international, national, regional or local scales as appropriate. Annual targets for regional & local authorities include reporting river basin districts; reporting characterisation & analysis of pressure, impacts and water uses; reporting of monitoring programmes; reporting of River Basin Management Plan including programme of measures. Implications for plan: Need to promote sustainable urban drainage systems, grey water recycling, and act on results of Strategic Flood Risk Assessment currently being undertaken. 			
recure of enalogie from Non Account of Contently being undertaken.			
Implications for relevant SPDS			
Unlikely to impact on current batch of proposed SPD's although relevant to saved SPG on water and flooding and SPG on Greening Your Home.			
Implications for SA:	Implications for SA:		
Specific sub-objectives/indicators required to promote reduction of water usage and improvements to river and water quality.			
Other relevant information			
Links			

http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/water/water-framework/index_en.html

Commentary Environment Act 1995 Water Resources Act 1991 Environmental protection Act 1990 The Merchant Shipping Act and Merchant Shipping and Maritime Security Act 1997

Title:	EU Air Quality Framework Directive (96/62/EC)/daughter directive
Date adopted	1996
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	European Commission
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
	States to establish limit values, alert thresholds and
	in the Community and for concentrations of specific
	bid, prevent and reduce harmful effects on human health and
the environment.	
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):
	tants and to monitor their concentrations in the air.
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
	alert the local authority when air quality issues may arise.
- Action plans must be drawn u	up for short-term actions when there is a risk of limit values
and/or thresholds being exce	
	s can be found in national air quality strategy.
Implications for plan:	
Ealing's air quality must conform	to this legislation through its interpretation in the national air
	new development achieve air quality targets.
Implications for relevant SPDS	
Possible implication for SPD - Su	
·	'
Implications for SA:	
	ic congestion and improve air quality.
Check that the requirements of the Directive are reflected in the SA Framework.	
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviror	nment/air/ambient.htm
Commentary	
Environmental Protection Act 199	90
Health and Safety at Work Act 19)74
Environment Act 1995	
Environment Act 1995	

Title:	EU Sixth Environmental Action Plan	
Date adopted	2001	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body Document Level	European Commission International	
	International	
Purpose of Document:	montal abjectives and priorities that will be an integral part of	
the European Community's strate the major priorities and objectives details the measures to be taken		
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):	
 Priority Areas: Climate Change; Nature and Biodiversity; Environment and Health, Natural Resources and V 	Vaste.	
development in the candidate co	ons of the Programme should contribute to sustainable	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel		
Climate Change		
- In the short term, the EU is c	ommitted, under the Kyoto Protocol, to achieving an 8% enhouse gases by 2008-2012 compared to 1990 level.	
-	both in the European Union and on a global scale.	
Environment & Health		
clear target dates and deadlin Strategy), starting with the his concern.	uced in relevant quantities in a step by step approach with nes (as outlined in the White Paper on the new Chemical gh production volume chemicals and chemicals of particular	
 To achieve a reduction of the number of people regularly affected by long term high levels of noise from an estimated 100 million people in the year 2000 by around 10% in the year 2010 and in the order of 20% by 2020. 		
lifetime of the programme as disposal and in the volumes	waste prevention and increased recycling, to achieve in the significant reduction in the quantity of waste going to final of hazardous waste generated. e going to final disposal by around 20% by 2010 compared to 6 by 2050	
to 2000 and in the order of 50	rdous waste generated by around 20% by 2010 compared 0% by 2020	
Implications for plan:		
DPD.	ary areas of the action plan. Possible implications for Waste	
Implications for relevant SPDS		
All SPD's to reflect the sustainab	ility principles of the action plan	
Implications for SA:		
Check that the requirements of th Framework.	ne Directive are reflected in the Sustainability Appraisal	
Other relevant information		
Implications for West London Wa	ste Strategy	
Links		
http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/en/con	m/pdf/2001/en_501PC0031.pdf	

Title:	EU Community Biodiversity Strategy
Date adopted	1998
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	European Commission
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
The Biodiversity Strategy is deve sustainable use of biological dive	eloped around the four themes of conservation and ersity, sharing benefits arising out of the utilisation of genetic n and monitoring of information, and education, training and
Key objectives (relevant to pla	n and SA):
of biodiversity at the source. This reduction or losses and to place satisfactory conservation status, Key Targets and Indicators (re	brevent and attack the causes of significant reduction or loss s will help both to reverse present trends in biodiversity species and ecosystems, including agro-ecosystems, at a both within and beyond the territory of the European Union. Ievant to plan and SA): gional and local conservation and biodiversity strategies.
	ring Report to provide information.
Implications for relevant SPDS	•
Implications for SA:	
Links to objectives to conserve a	nd enhance biodiversity.
Other relevant information	
Link to local biodiversity action p	lan
Links	
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviro	nment/docum/pdf/9842en.pdf
Commentary	
Conservation (Natural Habitats, a	
Wildlife and Countryside Act 198	
Countryside and Rights of Way A	Act 2000

Title:	Framework Waste Directive (directive 75/442/EEC, as amended)
Date adopted	1975
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	European Commission
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
of waste, the extraction of raw maprocess for the re-use of waste.	eps to encourage the prevention, recycling and processing aterials and possibly of energy there from and any other
Key objectives (relevant to plan	
disposal of waste is not at risk to materials, use waste as a source	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
Not identified Implications for plan:	
	that reinforces this EC Directive nationally.
Implications for relevant SPDS	
·	
Implications for SA:	
Implications for waste DPD	o measure reduction in waste and recycling rate.
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviror	nment/waste/legislation/a.htm
Commentary	
Waste Management Licensing re Environmental Protection Act 199 Producer Responsibility Regulation Special Waste Regulations Landfill Regulations 2002	õ

Title:	Directive 99/31/EC Landfill directive	
Date adopted	1999	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	European Commission	
Document Level	International	
Purpose of Document:		
The Directive is intended to prevent or reduce as far as possible negative effects on the Environment from the landfilling of waste, in particular on surface water, groundwater, soil, air		

and human health.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

To prevent and reduce as far as possible the negative effects on the environment from the landfilling of waste, by introducing stringent technical requirements for waste and landfills.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

The EU Landfill Directive requires, amongst other things, that by 2010, the amount of Biodegradable municipal waste going to landfill must be reduced to 75% of the total produced in 1995. By 2013, the amount must be reduced to 50% of the 1995 total, and by 2020, to 35%.

A standard waste acceptance procedure is laid down so as to avoid any risks:

- Waste must be treated before being landfilled
- Hazardous waste within the meaning of the Directive must be assigned to a hazardous waste landfill
- Landfills for non-hazardous waste must be used for municipal waste and for nonhazardous waste
- Landfill sites for inert waste must be used only for inert waste
- Criteria for the acceptance of waste at each landfill class must be adopted in accordance with the general principles of Annex II of the Directive.
- The Directive also sets up a system of operating permits for landfill sites and states that if existing sites do not comply they should not continue to operate.

Implications for plan:

The Directive is relevant to Ealing because waste is transported outside of the Borough to landfills. A shift in emphasis has to be made from transfer to treatment. The LDF should include measures to encourage the minimisation of waste and encourage larger scale recycling and composting to help reach the goals of the directive and avoid financial penalties. Implications for waste DPD.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

The Directive will be linked to SA objectives on waste, also having implications for health and society. Impacts on objectives for economic growth must be balanced with these.

Other relevant information

Links to the West London Waste Strategy.

Links

http://europa.eu.int/comm/environment/waste/landfill_index.htm

Commentary

Waste Management Licensing regulations 1994 Environmental Protection Act 1990 Producer Responsibility Regulations 1997 Special Waste Regulations Landfill Regulations 2002

	Directive 2002/49/EC Environmental Noise Directive
Date adopted	2002
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	European Commission
Document Level	International
Purpose of Document:	
 reveloping a long term EU strate ffected by noise in the longer ter community policy on noise reduc roduce strategic maps on the ba xposure and its effects, and to d Key objectives (relevant to pla Monitoring the environmenta States to draw up "strategic agglomerations, using harm Informing and consulting the considered to address noise Addressing local noise issue 	al problem; by requiring competent authorities in Member noise maps" for major roads, railways, airports and onised noise indicators. a public about noise exposure, its effects, and the measures as by requiring competent authorities to draw up action plans ssary and maintain environmental noise quality where it is elevant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan: The LDF must comply with noise associated activities does not ex	e action plans, to make sure that new development and accerbate existing noise issues.
Implications for relevant SPDS Possible implications for SPD –	
Implications for SA:	
Links to objectives relating to tra Check that the requirements of t	ffic, air quality, building design. he Directive are reflected in the SA Framework.
Other relevant information	
Links	
	nment/noise/home.htm#2
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviro	
http://europa.eu.int/comm/enviro	

NATIONAL

	Securing the Future – New UK Sustainable		
Title:	Development Strategy		
Date adopted	2005		
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted		
Adopting body	Central Government		
Document Level National			
Purpose of Document:			
The Government has a new purpose and principles for sustainable development and			
	oss the UK. The strategy contains:		
0	a new integrated vision building on the 1999 strategy – with stronger international and		
	societal dimensions		
	explicit focus on environmental limits		
	inable consumption and production, climate change, natural		
 resource protection and sust a new indicator set, which is 			
 a new indicator set, which is Key objectives (relevant to plate) 			
The strategy proposes 4 main air			
- social progress which recogn			
 effective protection of the environment of the environmen			
 prudent use of natural resource 			
	ble levels of economic growth and employment		
Key Targets and Indicators (re			
	The UK Framework sets out the following indicators to be measured: Greenhouse gas emissions - Kyoto Protocol target to reduce UK greenhouse gas		
	emissions by 12.5% below base year levels over the period 2008-20012, and the national		
goal to reduce CO_2 emissions by 20% below 1990 levels by 2010.			
	Household energy use: domestic CO_2 emissions and household final consumption		
expenditure			
	Road transport: CO_2 , NOx, PM10 emissions and GDP		
 Private vehicles: CO₂ emission 			
expenditure			
	and tonne-km, tonnes and GDP		
	NOx, SO ₂ , PM10 emissions and GVA		
- Service sector: CO ₂ , NOx en			
- Public sector: CO ₂ , NOx emi			
	Emissions of air pollutants: SO_2 , NOx, NH_3 and PM10 emissions and GDP		
	River quality - rivers of good (a) biological (b) chemical quality		
	Resource use: Domestic Material Consumption		
	Water resource use: total abstractions sources and GDP		
	Domestic water consumption: domestic		
	Land recycling: (a) new dwellings built conversions (b) all new development on Waste: arisings by (a) sector (b) method		
	Household waste: (a) arisings (b) recycled		
	Economic output: Gross Domestic Product		
 Productivity: UK output per w 			
	Investment: (a) total investment (b) social		
	Demography: population and population		
Households and dwellings: households, stock (contextual indicator)			
Implications for plan:			
Places sustainable development	at the heart of the Core Strategy		
Implications for relevant SPDS			
Sustainable Transport SPD shou	Sustainable Transport SPD should reflect the commitment to reduction of CO ₂ emissions.		
Residential Design should commit to use of sustainable construction.			
Implications for SA:			
	ing's SA Framework. Many indicators in the strategy will		
inform the development of Ealing	's local indicators.		

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.sustainable-development.gov.uk/documents/publications/strategy/SecFut_complete.pdf

Title:	Sustainable Communities – Building for the future
Date adopted	2003
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
both urban and rural areas. It air	rogramme of action for delivering sustainable communities in ms to tackle housing supply issues in the South East, low untry, and the quality of our public spaces
Key objectives (relevant to pla	an and SA):
The Plan to consists of several l	
 Addressing low demand and 	
- Decent homes.	
Liveability.	
- Protecting the countryside.	
Key Targets and Indicators (re	elevant to plan and SA):
Targets relate to addressing the	housing shortage, which is comprised of:
 Accelerating the provision or 	f housing. This includes: ensuring that housing numbers set
out in planning guidance for	the South East (RPG 9) are delivered; accelerating growth in
the four "growth areas", incl	
over the next three years. T	on has been allocated for the provision of affordable housing his includes £1 billion for housing "key workers" in the public
sector, to aid recruitment an	
 Lackling Homelessness. Inc for homeless families by Ma 	cluding ensuring ending the use of bed and breakfast hostels urch 2004.
Implications for plan:	
Plan policies to support the prim	ary areas of the action plan.
Implications for relevant SPD	
Affordable Housing, Residential inclusion and equality of opportu	Design, Sustainable Transport should promote social
Implications for SA:	
	of social, environmental and economic sub-objectives and
	cial inclusion, quality of life, and equality of opportunity.
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent 22184.hcsp	/groups/odpm_communities/documents/page/odpm_comm_(
Commentary	

Title:	Urban White Paper	
Date adopted	2000	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
 Purpose of Document: The Urban White Paper "Our Tow Renaissance sets out the Goverr a good quality of life and enable t forward the vision of the Urban Taplaces for people, through better Key objectives (relevant to plane) Vision is of towns, cities and subtractive local leaders people shaping the future of the representative local leaders people living in attractive, we good design and planning what sustainable way, with less not towns and cities able to create reach their full potential; and good quality services - health and protection from crime Key Targets and Indicators (relevant and protection standards in adults with literacy and nume) better education standards in adults with literacy and nume better housing with all social improvement taking place in and the rest of the country; a a better environment with 60° through conversions of existing 2010; better designed buildin Implications for plan: 	wins and Cities: the Future - Delivering an Urban ment's goal - that all urban areas should offer their residents hem to achieve their full potential. The White Paper takes ask Force. It stresses the need to make all urban areas designed and maintained urban environments. n and SA): urbs which offer a high quality of life and opportunity for all, their community, supported by strong and truly Il kept towns and cities which use space and buildings well; nich makes it practical to live in a more environmentally ise, pollution and traffic congestion; te and share prosperity, investing to help all their citizens and example to the strong and truly 2005; all areas, and in particular in deprived areas, with fewer tracy problems, te transport systems, leading to the increased use of public bad congestion by 2010; housing being of a decent standard by 2010 and with most deprived areas; reduction in the health gap between the most deprived areas and % of new housing provided on previously developed land or ng buildings by 2008; 17% of underused land reclaimed by gs and places; and clean and more attractive streets. ary areas of the action plan.	
	quality of design) Sustainable Transport (environmental	
quality – pollution, equality of opportunity, social inclusion)		
Implications for SA:		
	f social, environmental and economic sub-objectives and ial inclusion, quality of life, and equality of opportunity.	
Other relevant information		
Links		
	groups/odpm_control/documents/contentservertemplate/odp	
m index.hcst?n=2866&l=2		

Commentary

	Г	
Title:	Energy White Paper	
Date adopted	2003	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	DTI	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
Defines a long-term strategic visi of supply, competitiveness and s	on for energy policy combining our environmental, security ocial goals.	
Key objectives (relevant to plan		
	energy supplies kets in the UK and beyond, helping to raise the rate of a and to improve our productivity; and to ensure that every	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	levant to plan and SA):	
	de emissions by some 60% by about 2050 with real progress	
Implications for plan:		
	nimise its effect on climate change and plan for any impacts. In can contribute to the objectives and targets of the	
Implications for relevant SPDS		
Buildings & transportation to be e Design, Sustainable Transport W	energy/resource efficient (Affordable Housing, Residential /est London Tram Route).	
Implications for SA:		
	aper should be reflected in the Sustainability Appraisal	
Other relevant information		
Links		
http://www.dti.gov.uk/energy/whit	epaper/ourenergyfuture.pdf	
Commentary		
Building Research Establishment Energy Saving Trust www.est.or		

Title:	UK Air Quality Strategy
Date adopted	2000
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	DEFRA
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
	s drawn up by the Government and the devolved
	rotect ambient air quality in the UK in the medium-term.
Key objectives (relevant to pla	
significant risk to health or qu	evel of ambient air quality in public places which poses no uality of life. ble protection to human health by setting health-based
 To provide the best practical objectives for eight main air 	
	aged to develop their own strategies and advice on Air
Key Targets and Indicators (re	levant to plan and SA):
	nts – overall reduction sought by 2008 at latest.
Implications for plan:	
Encourage reduction/or mitigatio development achieve air quality	n of air polluting land uses. Policy must ensure that new targets.
Implications for relevant SPDS	3
Impact of transport on air quality for saved SPG 'Air Quality'.	should be minimised (Sustainable Transport). Implications
Implications for SA:	
	fic congestion and improve air quality.
	he Directive are reflected in the SA Framework.
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://www.defra.gov.uk/environr	nent/airquality/strategy/
Commentary	
•	

the functioning of the housing m Key objectives (relevant to pl The overall objectives of the Re	an and SA): eview are:
Adopting body Document Level Purpose of Document: The Barker Review's final repor the functioning of the housing m Key objectives (relevant to pl The overall objectives of the Re	HM Treasury National rt sets out a range of policy recommendations for improving narket. an and SA): eview are:
Document Level Purpose of Document: The Barker Review's final repor the functioning of the housing m Key objectives (relevant to pl The overall objectives of the Re	National rt sets out a range of policy recommendations for improving narket. an and SA): eview are:
Purpose of Document: The Barker Review's final repor the functioning of the housing m Key objectives (relevant to pl The overall objectives of the Re	rt sets out a range of policy recommendations for improving narket. an and SA): eview are:
The Barker Review's final repor the functioning of the housing m Key objectives (relevant to pl The overall objectives of the Re	narket. an and SA): eview are:
the functioning of the housing m Key objectives (relevant to pl The overall objectives of the Re	narket. an and SA): eview are:
The overall objectives of the Re	eview are:
 to achieve improvements in 	
	housing affordability in the market sector;
 a more stable housing mark 	
- location of housing supply which supports patterns of economic development; and	
	icly-funded housing for those who need it.
Key Targets and Indicators (r	elevant to plan and SA):
National Targets include:	
	n real house prices of 1.8 per cent an additional 70,000 houses
each year in England might	
	d in line with the EU average of 1.1 per cent an extra 120,000
houses each year might be	required.
Implications for plan:	
	I targets and policy recommendations.
Implications for relevant SPD	
Affordable Housing SPD should	d reflect the strategies of the Housing review.
Implications for SA:	
SA will need to include objective	es related to economic growth and affordable housing.
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://www.hm-	
	and_legislation/barker/consult_barker_index.cfm#report
Commentary	

Title: Date adopted	PPS1: Creating Sustainable Communities	
Date adopted	2004	
Status adoptive procedure	2004 Adopted	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:	National	
PPS1 sets out the Government's development through the plannin 1, General Policies and Principle Key objectives (relevant to pla	n and SA):	
 development plans. Key policy r the need for planning author sustainable development: exprotection; and prudent use exprotection; and prudent use exprotective management of de The need for plans to set cle range of activities relating to 	ities to take an approach based on integrating the 4 aims of conomic development, social inclusion, environmental of resources. g to achieve sustainable development objectives and velopment, rather than simply regulation and control. ar visions for communities and help to integrate the wide development and regeneration. stem to be transparent, accessible and accountable, and to n and involvement.	
Implications for plan:		
	tructed with sustainability measures in mind, to produce	
Implications for relevant SPDS		
Affordable Housing, Community Facilities, Sustainable Transport, Residential Design, West London Tram Route and the two Conservation SPD's to promote the key policy messages of the document.		
Implications for SA:		
Linked to all of the SA objectives, as these together should encompass all of the principles and topics laid out in the policy statement.		
Other relevant information		
Cross reference to UK Sustainable Development Strategy, PPS 12.		
Links		
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/downloadable/odpm_plan_ _034815.pdf		
Commentary		
The PPG states that policies sho	ould be realistic and have a degree of flexibility, which o compromise and trade offs that are not sustainable.	

Title:	PPG 2: Green Belts	
Date adopted		
Status adoptive procedure	Replaces 1988 version of PPG2.	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
 Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs) set out the Government's policies on different aspects of planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their development plans. The guidance may also be material to decisions on individual planning applications and appeals. The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open – the most important attribute of Green Belts is their openness. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): Green belts play a positive role in fulfilling following objectives: to provide opportunities for access to the open countryside for the urban population to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and outdoor recreation near urban areas to retain attractive landscapes, and enhance townscapes, near to where people live to secure nature conservation interest to retain land in agricultural, forestry and related uses. Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): 		
Implications for plan: LDF should consider accessibility to Green Belt areas, for use by Ealing residents. Proposals contained in LDF must consider effect upon the Green Belt.		
Implications for relevant SPDS		
Implications for SA:		
Will relate to objectives to promote health, accessibility and the conservation of biodiversity.		
Other relevant information		
Cross references to PPG9.		
Links		
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606905 -03.hcsp#TopOfPage		
Commentary		

Title:	PPG3: Housing	
Date adopted	2000	
Status adoptive procedure	Replaces 1992 version of PPG3	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
	set out the Government's policies on different aspects of	
planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their		
development plans. This PPG provides guidance on a range of issues relating to the		
provision of housing. It replaces the 1992 version of PPG3. Circular 6/98 Planning and		
Affordable Housing will continue to apply, within the framework of policy set out in this		
guidance.		
Key objectives (relevant to pla		
In regard to housing the LPA should:		
 plan to meet the housing opportunity, choice & mix, and seek to create mixed communities; 		
	nd but give priority to re-using previously developed land,	
	use and converting existing buildings	
- create more sustainable patterns of development, and consider accessibility by public		
transport to jobs, education and health facilities, shopping and leisure and local services;		
 make more efficient use of land by reviewing planning policies and standards; place the needs of people before ease of traffic movement; 		
	nce, encourage walking and cycling, improve links for public	
	obs, services and by planning for mixed use; and	
	housing developments in order to create attractive, high-	
	which people will choose to live.	
Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):		
The national target is that by 2008, 60% of additional housing should be provided on		
previously developed land and th	rough conversions of existing buildings. Each region will	
propose its own recycling target to be set in RPG, which should contribute to achieving the		
national target.		
Implications for plan:		
	ousing to meet local needs whilst avoiding the use of	
greenfield or open space. There will be opportunities for the LDF to encourage reuse of		
buildings, and derelict land.		
Implications for relevant SPDS		
	tial Design should promote the objectives of the document.	
Implications for SA:		
SA topics include, high quality design, provision of affordable housing and decent homes,		
preserving open space and townspace, reducing car travel. Requires indicators to measure		
density, use of brownfield land, supply of affordable housing and quality of housing design.		
Other relevant information		
Cross references with the London Plan.		
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606933		
hcsp		
Commentary		

st take their content into account in preparing their dance note is to encourage continued economic vernmental environmental objectives. SA):		
M nal the Government's policies on different aspects of st take their content into account in preparing their dance note is to encourage continued economic vernmental environmental objectives. SA):		
M nal the Government's policies on different aspects of st take their content into account in preparing their dance note is to encourage continued economic vernmental environmental objectives. SA):		
nal the Government's policies on different aspects of st take their content into account in preparing their dance note is to encourage continued economic vernmental environmental objectives. SA):		
the Government's policies on different aspects of st take their content into account in preparing their dance note is to encourage continued economic vernmental environmental objectives. SA):		
st take their content into account in preparing their dance note is to encourage continued economic vernmental environmental objectives. SA):		
 Planning Policy Guidance Notes set out the Government's policies on different aspects of planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their development plans. The aim of this guidance note is to encourage continued economic development that is compatible with governmental environmental objectives. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): The guidance encourages new development in locations which: minimise the length of number of trips, especially by motor vehicles can be served by more energy efficient modes of transport will not give rise to unacceptable congestion. Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA): 		
Implications for plan: Ensure existing employment land designations supply is in line with demand.		
Implications for relevant SPDS Legal agreements & planning – commercial development encouraged to provide environmental / social benefits through legal agreements.		
Economic development objectives and related indicators to assess health of local business economy.		
/odpm_control/documents/contentservertemplate/odp		

Title:	PPG 6 – Town Centres and Retail Developments	
Date adopted	1996	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
Planning Policy Guidance Notes set out the Government's policies on different aspects of planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their development plans		
Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):		
The objectives of town centre planning are to:		
- locate major generators of travel in existing centres, where access by a choice of means of transport, not only by car, is easy and convenient		
 enable town, district and local centres to meet the needs of residents of their area safeguard and strengthen existing local centres, in both urban and rural areas, which offer a range of everyday community, shopping and employment opportunities maintain and improve choice for people to walk, cycle or catch public transport, and ensure an appropriate supply of attractive, convenient and safe parking for shopping and leisure trips. 		
Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):		
Implications for plan: The LDF should include town centre strategies that include sustainable land use and transport planning. Policies should also encourage diversification and mixed-use developments to continue to encourage a diverse economy.		
Implications for relevant SPDS		
	planned appropriately within Town Centres.	
Implications for SA:		
SA objectives should include economic growth, town centre vitality and viability and accessibility. High quality design, crime reduction and maintenance of townscape are also priorities.		
Other relevant information		
Links		
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_control/documents/contentservertemplate/odp m_index.hcst?n=3392&l=3		
Commentary		

Title:	PPG 8 – Telecommunications	
Date adopted	2001	
Status adoptive procedure	Replaces PPG8 of 1992	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
Planning Policy Guidance Notes set out the Government's policies on different aspects of		
planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their		
development plans. This policy provides planning guidance for telecommunications		
development including radio masts and towers, antennas of all kinds, radio equipment		
housing, public call boxes, cabine		
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):	
The guidance aims to:		
	nd existing telecommunications systems whilst keeping the	
environmental impact to a minimum		
- ensure people have a choice as to who provides their telecommunications service, a		
wider range of services from which to choose and equitable access to the latest		
technologies as they become available.		
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):	
None identified		
Implications for plan		
Implications for plan:	size that both allow talecommunications related development	
The LDF will need to include policies that both allow telecommunications related development		
and provide protection for designated areas. Policies should include a precautionary approach to locating phone masts where they may be detrimental to human health.		
	s where they may be detimental to numar health.	
Implications for relevant SPDS No relevant SPD's identified at this stage.		
No relevant SFD's identified at th	is stage.	
Implications for SA:		
SA objectives generally include measures to encourage a diverse economy and improve		
access to services, encouraging telecommunications can meet these objectives. Objectives		
	n, maintaining biodiversity and open space may be in conflict	
with policy that encourages teleco	ommunications in some places.	
Other relevant information		
Links		
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606918		
<u>.hcsp</u>		
Commentary		

Title:	PPG 9 – Nature Conservation
Date adopted	1994
Status adoptive procedure	To be replaced by PPS 9
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National

Planning Policy Guidance Notes set out the Government's policies on different aspects of planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their development plans. This PPG gives guidance on how the Government's policies for the conservation of our natural heritage are to be reflected in land use planning. It embodies the Government's commitment to sustainable development and to conserving the diversity of our wildlife.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

The Government's objectives for nature conservation are to ensure that its policies contribute to the conservation of the abundance and diversity of British wildlife and its habitat, or minimise the adverse effects on wildlife where conflict of interest is unavoidable, and to meet its international responsibilities and obligations for nature conservation.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

The LDF can include policies for the conservation of biodiversity and the natural environment, in particular, policies relating to the creation of new habitats (e.g. as part of new development) Will need to take into account Biodiversity Action Plan.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

Will require objective to maintain and enhance biodiversity, with related indicators that cover priority habitats and species.

Other relevant information

Links

www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606919.hcsp

Commentary

Superseeded by PPS 10

Title:	PPS 9 – Biodiversity & Geological Conservation	
Date adopted	2005	
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
objectives in relation to biodiversi planning policies that will help de Key objectives (relevant to plan <i>Working with the grain of nature:</i>		
 with a programme of work to achi development and regeneration sh wherever possible. In moving tow To promote sustainable development and regrammed as an integral part To conserve, enhance and regrammed and regrammed as an urban regrammed and regrammed as an urban regrammed and regrammed as an organized as a second se	ieve it. It includes the broad aim that planning, construction, hould have minimal impacts on biodiversity and enhance it wards this vision, the Government's objectives for PPS9 are: elopment - by ensuring that biodiversity is conserved and of economic, social and environmental development estore the diversity of England's wildlife and geology aissance - by enhancing biodiversity in green spaces and in areas so that they are used by wildlife and valued by	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):	
proposals map, clear distinction v locally designated sites. Biodiver should be reflected in policies in l	s of importance for biodiversity and geo-diversity on the vill need to be made for international, national, regional and rsity objectives that reflect both national and local priorities ocal development documents and proposals.	
Implications for relevant SPDS		
	an objective to maintain and enhance biodiversity. Nature able development, so the SA is to evaluate the degree to	
which the LDF seeks to protect and enhance biodiversity.		
Other relevant information		
Links		
	groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_030964	
Commentary		

Title:	PPG 10 – Planning & Waste Management/PPS 10	
Date adopted		
Status adoptive procedure	Guidance in this note replaces the parts of PPG23 which	
	dealt specifically with waste management issues	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
	set out the Government's policies on different aspects of	
	ties must take their content into account in preparing their	
	ce note provides advice about how the land-use planning	
system should contribute to susta		
Key objectives (relevant to plan		
	as an important role to play in achieving sustainable waste	
management. It should meet the		
	ork which enables adequate provision to be made for waste	
	et the needs of society for the re-use, recovery and disposal	
	ne potential for waste minimisation and the particular needs	
in respect of special waste	is potential for waste minimitation and the particular fields	
	siness and encourage competitiveness;	
•	e management practices in order to preserve or enhance the	
overall quality of the environment and avoid risks to human health; to have regard to the need to protect areas of designated landscape and nature		
conservation value from inap		
	nental impacts resulting from the handling, processing,	
transport and disposal of was		
- to consider what new facilitie	s may be needed, in the light of wastes forecast to arise;	
and,	s may be needed, in the light of wastes forecast to anse,	
	or incorporating re-use/recycling facilities in new	
developments are properly co		
Key Targets and Indicators (rel		
<u></u>		
Implications for plan:		
Policies will need to address waste	e management and encourage developments that minimise and	
recycle waste		
Implications for relevant SPDS		
Implications for SA:		
	easure reduction in waste and recycling rates. SA objective	
should encourage sustainable wa		
chourd chocarage sustainable we		
Other relevant information		
Will link to West London Waste S	Strategy	
Will link to West London Waste S Links	<u></u>	
Will link to West London Waste S Links http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/g	<u></u>	
Will link to West London Waste S Links	Strategy groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_60692	
Will link to West London Waste S Links http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/g	<u></u>	

Title:	PPS 12 – Local Development Frameworks
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
PPS 12 sets out the Governme	nt's policy on the preparation of local development
documents, which will comprise	the local development framework.
Key objectives (relevant to pl	
	edural policy and the process of preparing local development
	the local development framework. Local development
	amline the local planning process and promote a proactive,
positive approach to managing	
Key Targets and Indicators (r	
Focuses on procedural matters	
Implications for plan:	
	should take the policies set out in this statement into account.
	should be adopted for the LDF. This will help in ensuring the ancing competing demands within the context of sustainable
Implications for relevant SPD	s
	0
Implications for SA:	
The SA must produce a framew SPDs.	ork that is consistent between the LDF and the DPDs and
Other relevant information	
This PPS replaces Planning Po	licy Guidance Note 12: Development Plans (PPG12), except
	tion for development plans still being prepared under the
1999 Development Plan Regula	ations.
Links	
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellen -01.hcsp#P22_703	t/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_031155
Commentary	

Title:	PPG 13 – Transport	
Date adopted	2002	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document: Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs) set out the Government's policies on different aspects of planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content in account in preparing their development plans. Land use planning has a key role to play in delivering the Governments integrated transport strategy. By shaping the pattern of development and influencing the location, scale, density, design and mix of land uses, planning can help to reduce the need to travel, reduce the length of journeys and make it safer and easier for people to access jobs, shopping, leisure facilities and services by public transport, walking, and cycling. These policies are therefore part of the Governments overall approach to addressing the needs of motorists, other road and public transport users, and business by reducing congestion and pollution and achieving better access to development and facilities. They will also help to promote sustainable distribution. Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA): The objectives of this guidance are to integrate planning and transport at the national, regional, strategic and local level to: 1. promote more sustainable transport choices for both people and for moving freight; 2. promote accessibility to jobs, shopping, leisure facilities and services by public transport, walking and cycling, and 3. reduce the need to travel, especially by car.		
Implications for plan: The LDF needs to promote more Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport SPD show		
Implications for SA:		
 Reducing the need to travel and especially the use of the private car, while promoting social inclusion will help achieve sustainable objectives. These objectives include: reducing the need to travel by private car improving accessibility of key services to local communities reducing air pollution improving health SA Framework will be important in enabling assessment of plan options to determine which has most positive impact on minimising need to travel and promoting sustainable transport choices. Will link with air quality objectives also. 		
Other relevant information		
Linke		
Links http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/g .hcsp	groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606896	
Commentary		
,		

Title:	PPG 15 – Planning & the Historic Environment	
Date adopted	2002	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
aspects of planning. Local Plann preparing their development plan policies for the identification and other elements of the historic env	(PPGs) set out the Government's policies on different ing Authorities must take their content into account in s. This PPG provides a full statement of Government protection of historic buildings, conservation areas, and vironment. It explains the role played by the planning system s the guidance on archaeology and planning given in <i>PPG</i>	
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):	
 to reflect it in their policies an Protect the historic environm parks and gardens, battlefield 	r commitment to stewardship of the historic environment, and id their allocation of resources. ent, whether individual listed buildings, conservation areas, ds or the wider historic landscape and take these factors into policies and development control.	
	rvation policies relevant to the authority's development	
control functions. Implications for relevant SPDS		
	promote the objectives of this document.	
The Conservation of Ds should p		
Implications for SA:		
Conserving the historic environment is important to sustainable development. Objectives and related indicators will be required to ensure conservation and enhancement of cultural and historic assets. Good economic use of historical buildings can enable economic prosperity, another SA objective.		
Other relevant information		
Linka		
Links http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/g m_index.hcst?n=3419&l=3	groups/odpm_control/documents/contentservertemplate/odp	
Commentary		

Title:	PPG 16 – Archaeology & Planning
Date adopted	2001
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
aspects of planning. Local Plann preparing their development plan archaeological remains on land, a urban setting and in the countrysi remains and discoveries under th weight to be given to them in plan Key objectives (relevant to plan The guidance recognises that arc	chaeological remains are irreplaceable, are important our civilisation and have a valuable role in education,
including archaeology and should preservation of sites of archaeolo	ed for development with the interests of conservation d include policies for the protection, enhancement and ogical interest and of their settings. Proposals map will need which the policies and proposals apply.
Implications for relevant SPDS	
Conservation SPD should reflect discoveries.	the polices on preservation of archaeological remains and
Implications for SA:	
Objective should aim to protect o	sure may be best covered by wider heritage objective. r enhance the historic environment.
Other relevant information	
Linke	
Links	
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/g	groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606901
Commentary	

	DDC 17 Dianning for energy spart and	
Title:	PPG 17 – Planning for open space, sport and recreation	
Date adopted		
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted	
Adopting body	ODPM	
Document Level	National	
Purpose of Document:		
aspects of planning. Local Plann preparing their development plan recreation underpin people's qua policies for open space, sport and governmental objectives and med Key objectives (relevant to plan The provision of open space will - supporting an urban renaissa - supporting a rural renewal - promotion of social inclusion - health and well being - promoting more sustainable	help to deliver broader governmental targets including: ince and community cohesion development	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):	
ensure that open space is access Implications for relevant SPDS		
Implications for development – T	wyford Avenue Community Open Space SPD	
Implications for SA:		
The extent and accessibility of open spaces and recreation facilities will have an impact on levels of physical exercise which impact on health. Open space will also have a valuable amenity role which helps improve quality of local environment. SA objectives will need to include objectives to: - encourage health & well being - support urban renewal - support rural renewal - community cohesion and social inclusion - promote more sustainable development - encourage biodiversity (in open spaces) Other relevant information		
Links		
http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/g hcsp#P25_1360	groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606902	
Commentary		

Title:	PPG 19 – Outdoor advertisement control
Date adopted	1992
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	·
aspects of planning. Local Plar preparing their development pla to help everyone involved in the appearance of an attractive and Key objectives (relevant to pl Aims to help everyone involved the appearance of an attractive countryside.	in the display of outdoor advertising to contribute positively to and cared for environment in cities, towns and the
Key Targets and Indicators (r	elevant to plan and SA):
Will need to balance needs of b	usinesses with need to maintain and enhance character of
	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements.
Will need to balance needs of b local environment. Policy requi inappropriate signage and adve Implications for relevant SPD Implications for SA:	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements. S
Will need to balance needs of b local environment. Policy requi inappropriate signage and adver Implications for relevant SPD Implications for objectives relati whilst maintaining economic gro as this is a major factor in the lin and health. Also links to heritag buildings. Signs are also impor between economic prosperity a interests of local amenity.	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements.
Will need to balance needs of b local environment. Policy requi inappropriate signage and adver Implications for relevant SPD Implications for objectives relati whilst maintaining economic gro as this is a major factor in the li and health. Also links to heritag buildings. Signs are also impor between economic prosperity a	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements. S ng to conserving cultural heritage and conservation areas, bowth. Will need to incorporate some way of assessing design veability agenda, which is recognised to be a factor in crime e as special rules apply in Conservation Areas and for listed tant for the local economy. Therefore potential conflict
Will need to balance needs of b local environment. Policy requi inappropriate signage and adver Implications for relevant SPD Implications for objectives relati whilst maintaining economic gro as this is a major factor in the lin and health. Also links to heritag buildings. Signs are also impor between economic prosperity a interests of local amenity.	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements. S ng to conserving cultural heritage and conservation areas, bowth. Will need to incorporate some way of assessing design veability agenda, which is recognised to be a factor in crime e as special rules apply in Conservation Areas and for listed tant for the local economy. Therefore potential conflict
Will need to balance needs of b local environment. Policy requi inappropriate signage and adver Implications for relevant SPD Implications for objectives relati whilst maintaining economic gro as this is a major factor in the lir and health. Also links to heritag buildings. Signs are also impor between economic prosperity a interests of local amenity. Other relevant information Links	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements. S ng to conserving cultural heritage and conservation areas, owth. Will need to incorporate some way of assessing design veability agenda, which is recognised to be a factor in crime e as special rules apply in Conservation Areas and for listed tant for the local economy. Therefore potential conflict nd need to exercise some control over advertisements in
Will need to balance needs of b local environment. Policy requi inappropriate signage and adver Implications for relevant SPD Implications for objectives relati whilst maintaining economic gro as this is a major factor in the lir and health. Also links to heritag buildings. Signs are also impor between economic prosperity a interests of local amenity. Other relevant information Links	red that protect the character of the urban environment from ertisements. S ng to conserving cultural heritage and conservation areas, bowth. Will need to incorporate some way of assessing design veability agenda, which is recognised to be a factor in crime e as special rules apply in Conservation Areas and for listed tant for the local economy. Therefore potential conflict

Title:	PPG 21 – Tourism
Date adopted	1992
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National

Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPG's) set out the Government's policies on different aspects of planning. Local Planning Authorities must take their content into account in preparing their development plans. This PPG outlines the economic significance of tourism and its environmental impact, and therefore its importance in land-use planning. It explains how the needs of tourism should be dealt with in development plans and in development control.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Guidance is consistent with government objectives that state that the tourism industry should flourish in response to the market while respecting the environment which attracts visitors.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

Policies relating to tourism will need to ensure sustainable access and impacts on environment minimised.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Conservation?

Sustainable Transport?

Implications for SA:

Tourism contributes to economic prosperity. SA framework will need to include objectives and indicators to measure economic prosperity. Tourism can impact negatively on the environment so need to ensure that impact is mitigated by promoting sustainable travel choices and sensitive design.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606908 .pdf

Title:	PPS 22 – Renewable Energy
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
Planning Policy Statements (PPS aspects of land use planning in E 22 (PPG22) issued in 1993. The account by regional planning bod spatial strategies (or the Spatial I authorities in the preparation of lo decisions on individual planning a statements or PPG's may also be Key objectives (relevant to plan Positive planning which facilitates elements of the Government's su - social progress which recognise energy needs, ensuring all home sources of energy in remote area - effective protection of the enviro and thereby reducing the potentia - prudent use of natural resource supplies of fossil fuels; and, - maintenance of high and stable creation of jobs directly related to development of new technologies potential to play an increasingly in Key Targets and Indicators (rel The Government aims to reduce 10% of UK electricity from renew Implications for plan: Policies to be inline with the Lond developments to be from a renew	s renewable energy developments can contribute to all four stainable development strategy: es the needs of everyone - by contributing to the nation's s are adequately and affordably heated; and providing new is; onment - by reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases al for the environment to be affected by climate change; s - by reducing the nation's reliance on ever diminishing levels of economic growth and employment - through the orenewable energy developments, but also in the s. In rural areas, renewable energy projects have the mportant role in the diversification of rural economies.
	ite renewable energy developments.
Implications for relevant SPDS	
	Id reflect the objectives with respect to reduction of CO ₂ nould utilise sustainable construction methods
Use of renewable energy a key fa	actor in reducing effects on climate change. SA will need to to enable assessment of options in meeting these targets.
Links http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/o	groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_030334
<u>.hcsp</u> Commentary	

Title:	PPS 23 – Planning & Pollution Control
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National

The guidance advises of the approach local planning authorities should take in relation to planning and pollution control and aims to ensure the sustainable and beneficial use of land.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Planning should promote a sustainable pattern of land use that will contribute to meeting the country's economic, social and environmental needs, whilst recognising the precautionary principle. The planning system plays a key role in protecting and improving the natural environment, public health and safety, and amenity, for example by attaching mitigating conditions to allow developments which would otherwise not be environmentally acceptable to proceed, and preventing harmful development which cannot be made acceptable even through conditions.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

In line with PPS 23 the LDF will need to provide a level of pollution control that reflects the concentrations of land in the borough affected by contamination.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Air quality SPG

Refuse and Recycling facilities SPG

Sustainability Checklist SPG

Sustainable Transport SPD A40 Green Corridor SPG

Implications for SA:

Implications for SA:

Framework will need to include objectives/indicators for key receptors such as air quality and water quality. Also other objectives on waste management and renewable energy will help minimise potentially polluting developments.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_032632 .hcsp

Title:	PPG 24 – Planning & Noise
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
the adverse impact of noise with adding unduly to the costs and a	dvice on how the planning system can be used to minimise out placing unreasonable restrictions on the development or dministrative burdens of business.
Key objectives (relevant to pla	
appropriate locations and therefore sources of noise. The guidance is	nning system should aim to guide development to the most ore site noise sensitive developments away from major ntroduces the concepts of noise exposure categories for ages their use and recommends appropriate levels for noise.
Key Targets and Indicators (re	
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan:	levant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan:	levant to plan and SA): Id be reflected in the policies of the plan.
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS	levant to plan and SA): Id be reflected in the policies of the plan.
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA:	levant to plan and SA): Id be reflected in the policies of the plan.
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a	levant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a development. Reduction of nois	levant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a	levant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a development. Reduction of nois Other relevant information	levant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a development. Reduction of nois Other relevant information Links	levant to plan and SA): Id be reflected in the policies of the plan.
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a development. Reduction of nois Other relevant information Links	levant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Objectives of the guidance shou Implications for relevant SPDS Implications for SA: SA objectives generally include a development. Reduction of nois Other relevant information Links http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/	levant to plan and SA): Id be reflected in the policies of the plan.

	PPG 25 – Development & Flood Risk (draft PPS 25
Title:	update)
Date adopted	
Status adoptive procedure	Adopted
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
Local Planning Authorities must t plans. The guidance explains ho	Government's policies on different aspects of planning. ake their content into account in preparing their development w flood risk should be considered at all stages of the ss in order to reduce future damage to property and loss of
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):
unnecessarily to flooding by cons necessary, across administrative certainly not to increase flood risk	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan: The LDF should contain policies to drainage systems to control wate provide for development in areas Implications for relevant SPDS	that promote the use of, in appropriate areas, sustainable r as close to its source as possible. The LDF should not at risk from flooding.
management practises are used) LDF.	ng flood risk and increasing biodiversity (if natural flood . These can be met by implementing the guidance in the
Other relevant information	
Linko	
Links	aroung/odom planning/doguments/page/odom plan 606021
http://www.oapm.gov.uk/stellent/g	groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606931
Commentary	

Title:	MPG 1 Minerals and Planning
Date adopted	Draft
Status adoptive procedure	Draft
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
	onal planning policies for minerals in England.
 Key objectives (relevant to plate to conserve and safeguard 	mineral resources as far as possible;
 to protect nationally and interconservation value from min where it has been demonstrated to secure supplies of the marker environmentally acceptable to ensure, so far as practical with the Government's aims to secure sound working practice to ensite the transportation of mineral overriding reasons to the consecure of the marker environment for new primary to protect, and where possible 	ernationally designated areas of landscape and sites of nature herals development, other than in exceptional circumstances rated that the proposed development is in the public interest; aterial needed by society and the economy from sources; able, that outcomes for the minerals industry are consistent is for productivity growth and strong economic performance; actices so that the environmental impacts of extraction and als are kept to a minimum, unless there are exceptional ontrary; ineral waste; d recycling of suitable materials, thereby minimising the net y extraction; ble, to enhance the overall quality of the environment once ugh high standards of restoration and to safeguard the long-
Key Targets and Indicators (re	
Plan to reflect the objectives of	the document
Implications for plan:	
Need to promote sustainable tra facilities.	ansport of minerals. Identify suitable locations for mineral
Implications for relevant SPD No relevant SPD's identified at t	
	uns stage
Implications for SA:	
Other relevant information	
Links	
.pdf	t/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_032983
Commentary	
Consultation period ended in Fe	ebruary 2005.

Title:	Climate Change – the UK Programme
Date adopted	2001
Status adoptive procedure	
Adopting body	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	•
The UK's climate change program	mme sets out the UK's response to the worldwide call for
action. The document:	
 sets out a package of cost ef the UK's economy and all pa 	ffective, flexible policies and measures in which all sectors of
	ion to cut emissions in the longer term by putting in place
policies that give clear signal	Is about the changes that will be needed and ensure the UK
	ainable, low carbon economy;
	mment has started to take to prepare the UK to adapt to the
impacts of a changing climat Key objectives (relevant to pla	
	•
Key Targets and Indicators (re	levant to plan and SA):
Outlines the UK's legally binding	target under the Kyoto Protocol. It could cut greenhouse gas
	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan:	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan:	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ad by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010.
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ad by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010.
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged.
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged.
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti impact on climate change. Implications for SA:	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged.
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti impact on climate change. Implications for SA:	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged. ial Design to promote policies which minimise detrimental
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti impact on climate change. Implications for SA: Objectives /indicators which mini	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged. ial Design to promote policies which minimise detrimental
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti impact on climate change. Implications for SA: Objectives /indicators which mini	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged. ial Design to promote policies which minimise detrimental
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti impact on climate change. Implications for SA: Objectives /indicators which mini Other relevant information Links	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged. ial Design to promote policies which minimise detrimental
emissions alone could be reduce Implications for plan: Developments which seek minim Implications for relevant SPDS Sustainable Transport, Residenti impact on climate change. Implications for SA: Objectives /indicators which mini Other relevant information Links	below 1990 levels by 2010. This means that carbon dioxide ed by an estimated 19% below 1990 levels by 2010. hise emissions will be encouraged. ial Design to promote policies which minimise detrimental mise emissions should be included.

Title:	Our Energy Our future: Creating a Low Carbon Economy
Date adopted	2003
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Government
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	·
Defines a long-term strategic vision of supply, competitiveness and s	ion for energy policy combining our environmental, security
or suppry, competitiveness and s	
Key objectives (relevant to pla	
warmingMaintain the reliability of energy s	the UK and beyond helping to raise the rate of sustainable productivity
Key Targets and Indicators (re	levant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan: Consider in conjunction with Lon and also local climate change po	don's Warming – the impact of climate change on London blicies/plans.
Implications for relevant SPDS	S/SPG's
	Design and Sustainable Transport SPD's should promote the
Implications for SA:	
Objectives/indicators of the SA s change, fuel poverty, energy efficiency of the second secon	hould reflect those of the White Paper, focusing on climate ciency,
Other relevant information	
Links	
www.dti.gov.uk/energy/whitepap	er/index.shtml
Commentary	

Title:	UK Biodiversity Action Plan
Date adopted	1994
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	UK Government
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
 the UK Government's ressigned in 1992 describes the UK's biolog commits a detailed plan f sets out 391 Species Act Biodiversity Action Plans Key objectives (relevant to plan To conserve and enhance biolog conservation of global biodiversit Key Targets and Indicators (rel OBJECTIVES FOR CONSERVIN 1 To conserve and where practic (a) the overall populations and na wildlife habitats and ecosystems; (b) internationally important and t (c) species, habitats and natural a areas; 	for the protection of these resources ion Plans, 45 Habitat Action Plans and 162 Local with targeted actions n and SA): ical diversity within the UK, and to contribute to the y through the use of appropriate mechanisms. Ievant to plan and SA): NG BIODIVERSITY able to enhance: atural ranges of native species and the quality and range of hreatened species, habitats and ecosystems; and managed ecosystems that are characteristic of local
recent past decades. 2 To increase public awareness of	semi-natural habitats where this has been diminished over of, and involvement in, conserving biodiversity. on of biodiversity on a European and global scale.
Implications for plan: Policies in the LDF should promo borough. Implications for relevant SPDS	te the protection and enhancement of biodiversity of the
Implications for SA:	
	the impact of development on biodiversity should be
Links http://www.ukbap.org.uk/library/P	LAN LO.pdf
	- · · · · ·
Commentary	weity option plane
Links to London and local biodive	ersity action plans.

Title:	By Design – Urban Design in the Planning System
Date adopted	N/A
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	ODPM
Document Level	Report
Purpose of Document:	
The aim of this guide is to promote higher standards in urban design.	

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Character

A place with its own identity

To promote character in townscape and landscape by responding to and reinforcing locally distinctive patterns of development, landscape and culture.

Continuity and enclosure

A place where public and private spaces are clearly distinguished

To promote the continuity of street frontages and the enclosure of space by development which clearly defines private and public areas.

Quality of the public realm

A place with attractive and successful outdoor areas

To promote public spaces and routes that are attractive, safe, uncluttered and work effectively for all in society, including disabled and elderly people.

Ease of movement

A place that is easy to get to and move through

To promote accessibility and local permeability by making places that connect with each other and are easy to move through, putting people before traffic and integrating land uses and transport.

Legibility

A place that has a clear image and is easy to understand

To promote legibility through development that provides recognisable routes, intersections and landmarks to help people find their way around.

Adaptability

A place that can change easily

To promote adaptability through development that can respond to changing social, technological and economic conditions.

Diversity

A place with variety and choice

To promote diversity and choice through a mix of compatible developments and uses that work together to create viable places that respond to local needs.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

That design of proposed developments conforms to the objectives of the report **Implications for relevant SPDS**

Residential Design, Affordable Housing and the Conservation SPD's should adhere to the principles of good urban design as detailed in the report.

Implications for SA:

The promotion of good urban design should be reflected in the SA objectives.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_605981 .hcsp

Title:	Planning and Access for Disabled People- A Good
	Practice Guide
Date adopted	N/A
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body Document Level	ODPM National
Purpose of Document:	National
To ensure that the Town and Courconsistently delivers inclusive env	ntry Planning system in England successfully and ironments as an integral part of the development process. hat can be used by everyone, regardless of age, gender or
Key objectives (relevant to plan	and SA):
 All parties involved in the plan benefits of, and endeavour to If a development proposal doe access policies in the develop bearing in mind other policy co planning permission on the gradevelopment plan. Include appropriate inclusive a supported by a specific strateg Develop and implement supplethe definitive inclusive design guid inclusive design is a material plantimplementation of a full development Include relevant inclusive access Encourage pre-application dis Issue applicants with pre-appl Amend application forms to m Applicants should be encourage Ensure planning officers receinenvironment. Appoint an Access Officer. As appropriate professional advice resource sharing with other low with appropriate experience. Simember of the Access Associ Consultants. 	ning and development process should recognise the bring about inclusive design. es not provide for inclusive access, and there are inclusive ment plan and in supplementary planning guidance, onsiderations, consideration should be given to refusing ounds that the scheme does not comply with the access policies at all levels of the development plan gic policy. Do not rely on a single access policy. ementary planning guidance as: dance for the authority, or as a way of ensuring that ning consideration without having to wait for the review or ent plan. ess policies within the local transport plan in co-ordination development plan. conditions or section 106 agreements in enhancing the in the wider urban environment. cussions with applicants. lication guidance notes. wake applicants think proactively about inclusive design. ged to submit access statements with their applications. ve appropriate training on all aspects of an inclusive s a minimum, each authority should be able to call on ce whenever necessary - either through information and cal authorities or through the appointment of consultants Suitable consultants may be located through or be a ation, or be listed on the National Register of Access
county access forums to netw	s with other authorities as necessary. Set up regional or ork and share information across borough boundaries.
 Encourage regular liaison with Include appropriate heritage a 	ind inclusive access policies in the development plan, local
transport plan and any supple	
Include appropriate highways	policies in the development plan, and ensure these es set by the statutory highway authority.
correspond with similar bolicle	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Implications for plan:

Good practice should be incorporated into the objectives and policies of the plan. Implications for relevant SPDS

In drafting the Residential Design SPD's consideration should be given to good practice advice outlined of this guide.

Implications for SA:

Inclusive access should be identified as a key objective of the appraisal framework. Other relevant information

Links

http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_609460 -02.hcsp

Commentary

Good practice advice for owners, developers and builders are set out in the document.

	A New Deal for Transport: Better for Everyone
Date adopted	1998
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	DETR
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
This document sets out a new ar	oproach to transport policy which has relevance throughout
the UK, and which embodies nev	w and modern thinking on integrating transport with other
aspects of Government policy.	
Key objectives (relevant to pla	in and SA):
 Improve air quality through tag 	ackling traffic emissions;
 Promote thriving town centre 	es through addressing traffic congestion;
 Achieve quality places to live 	e where pedestrians are the priority;
 Increase prosperity backed b 	by a modern transport system;
 Reduce rural isolation by connecting people with services and increasing mobility; 	
 Make it easier and safer to w 	
 Revitalise towns and cities the second second	
	5 1 5
Key Targets and Indicators (re	levant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
The objectives of the white pape	r should be promoted through the objectives and polices of
the plan.	
Implications for relevant SPDS	6
The Sustainable Transport SPD	should reflect the objectives of the white paper.
Implications for SA:	
Objectives of the white paper to	be incorporated into the objectives/indicators of the
sustainability assessment.	
Other relevant information	
Links	
	oups/dft_about/documents/page/dft_about_021588-

Title:	Transport 2010: Meeting the Local Transport Challenge 2000
Date published	July 2000
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	DFT
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
system, covering all modes of t	modernising the transport network to provide an integrated transport. It provides a long term programme of new ies identified in the Integrated Transport White Paper.
Key objectives (relevant to p	lan and SA):
 Better public transport Better integration Better accessibility Reducing the environmenta Easing urban congestion Safer roads Properly maintained roads Encouraging cycling, walki 	
Key Targets and Indicators (
Implications for plan:	
	ctives of the plan should reflect the key objectives of this
Implications for relevant SPD	DS
Sustainable Transport SPD.	
Implications for SA:	
objectives of this document, ou	ors used in the SA framework should incorporate the key itlined above.
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://www.dft.gov.uk/stellent/g 04.hcsp#TopOfPage	roups/dft_about/documents/page/dft_about_021588-
o ninoop# rop on ago	

Title:	Sustainable Development Action Plan for Education and Skills
Date adopted	2003
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	DFES
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
main objectives are to ensure ef society, the environment, resour	
Key objectives (relevant to pla	an and SA):
 properties owned and mana It seeks to encourage and suthem operate to the highest 	st standards of environmental management across all ged by the Department and its associated bodies. upport all publicly-funded educational establishments to help environmental standards. Iks between education and sustainable development to build
Key Targets and Indicators (re	elevant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan: Plan objectives should reflect the Implications for relevant SPDS	
Implications for SA:	
<u>.</u>	ssessment reflect those of the Action Plan.
Other relevant information	
Links	sd/docs/SDactionplan.pdf
Links http://www.dfes.gov.uk/aboutus/	sd/docs/SDactionplan.pdf

Title:	Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Date adopted	1990
Status adoptive procedure	Primary Legislation
Adopting body	Government
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. Sections of the act include:- Plan surveys, preparation and adoptio development, how to consult and lawfulness. Key objectives (relevant to pla	nning Authorities, who they are and what their duties are, on of plans, the powers of the secretary of state, definition of d determine applications, enforcement, certificates of
Key Targets and Indicators (re N/A	
Policies within the LDF should co	
Implications for relevant SPDS	
Implications for SA:	
Other relevant information	
Town and Country Planning (Ger	neral Development Procedure) Amendment Order 1996 neral Permitted Development) Order 1995
Links	
http://www.opsi.gov.uk/acts/acts/	1990/Ukpga_19900008_en_1.htm
Commentary	

Title:	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Primary Legislation
Adopting body	Government
Document Level	National
Purpose of Document:	
	ning law and practice. See also the Town and Country
Planning Act 1990. Essential a p	rocedural document
Key objectives (relevant to plai	n and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	levant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
	ral document. The LDF must comply with the legislation
Implications for relevant SPDS	
Implications for SA:	
-	
Other relevant information	
Links	
Commentary	
	e Classes) (Amendment) (England) Order 2005
1	

REGIONAL

Title:	The London Plan: Spatial Development Strategy for London
Date adopted	Feb 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	

ocument:

Provides the spatial development strategy for London and is the document with which all London borough plans should be in conformity.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

• To accommodate London's growth within its boundaries without encroaching on open spaces.

- To make London a better city for people to live in.
- To make London a more prosperous city with strong and diverse economic growth.

• To promote social inclusion and tackle deprivation and discrimination.

- To improve London's accessibility.
- To make London a more attractive, well-designed and green city.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

The Mayor sets out his vision for London as follows: ' to develop London as an exemplary, sustainable world city, based on the three balanced and interwoven themes of strong, long-term and diverse economic growth, social inclusively and fundamental improvements in the environment and use of resources'.

Implications for plan:

All policies in the Ealing Plan have to be in conformity with the London Plan.

Implications for relevant SPDS

All should reflect the objectives and policies of the London Plan.

Implications for SA:

Include objectives within the SA framework, which are in conformity with the 6 objectives of the London Plan.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/index.jsp

Commentary

The Mayor sets out his vision for London as follows: ' to develop London as an exemplary, sustainable world city, based on the three balanced and interwoven themes of strong, longterm and diverse economic growth, social inclusively and fundamental improvements in the environment and use of resources'.

Title:	The Mayors Transport Strategy
Date adopted	July 2001 revised August 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
	ort in the London region which supports the needs of those ng the capacity, reliability, efficiency, quality and integration of
Key objectives (relevant to pla	in and SA):
10 key transport priorities:-	
 Reducing traffic congestion; 	
Overcoming the backlog of inves	
Making radical improvements to Detter integration of the National	
 Better integration of the National Increasing the overall capacity of 	
 Improving journey time reliability 	
 Supporting local transport initiativ 	
	and services in London more reliable
 Improving the accessibility 	
• Bringing forward new integration	initiatives
0.0	
Key Targets and Indicators (re	
Key Targets and Indicators (re	
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan:	
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan:	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF.	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF.	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD' Possible implications for the Sus	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD' Possible implications for the Sus Implications for SA:	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD's Possible implications for the Sus Implications for SA:	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD's Possible implications for the Sus Implications for SA: Incorporate objectives/indicators	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD's Possible implications for the Sus Implications for SA:	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (re Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, p objectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD's Possible implications for the Sus Implications for SA: Incorporate objectives/indicators	elevant to plan and SA):
Key Targets and Indicators (relations for plan: Implications for plan: Accessibility, congestion, health, pobjectives in the LDF. Implications for relevant SPD's Possible implications for the Sus Implications for SA: Incorporate objectives/indicators Other relevant information	elevant to plan and SA): Dependent of the second se

Title:	The London Road Safety Plan 2001
Date adopted	November 2001
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	
Document Level	Regional

The Plan sets out a framework to encourage effective joint working to improve road safety in London. The plan recognises that this will only be possible if the various organisations play their full part in reducing the number of casualties with rigorous determination. All those who live and work in London will have to change the way they use the streets. The Plan includes proposals for campaigns and education, and joint initiatives with London's businesses to raise awareness of the need to create safer streets for people.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

• Improve safety through partnership working

- Manage speeds reducing excessive and inappropriate speeds
- Protect vulnerable road users children, pedestrians, cyclists and

powered two wheelers.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

The targets for London are the same as the national targets: 10% reduction in slight casualties by 2010, 40% reduction in total number killed or seriously injured by 2010 (from 1999 figures).

Implications for plan:

Document relates to parking, travel to work, road management and safety issues generally **Implications for relevant SPDS**

Sustainable Transport

Implications for SA:

Other relevant information

Links with education re. safer routes to school.

Links

http://www.tfl.gov.uk/streets/pdfdocs/Londons%20Road%20Safety%20Plan.pdf

Commentary

The Department of Transport and Police contributed to the formulation of the policies.

Title:	The Mayor's Ambient Noise Strategy: Sounder City
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
This strategy sets out to minimise a advising on best practice.	adverse noise impacts on those who live and work in London,
Key objectives (relevant to plan	
Providing noise reducing surfaces	
Securing a night aircraft ban acro	
	anning and the design of new housing.
Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):	
Implications for plan: Noise impacts from air traffic nee The noise impacts of new develo design and layout. Implications for relevant SPDS Possibly the Residential Design S	pments should be considered in terms of their location,
-	
Implications for SA:	
Objectives/indicators on noise reduction should be included in the SA framework.	
Other relevant information	
Links	
Commentary	

The Mayor's Air Quality Strategy
September 2002
Non Statutory
Greater London Authority
Regional

The Mayor aims to improve London's air quality to an acceptable level, where pollution no longer poses a significant risk to human health. This Strategy outlines policies and proposals to achieve this aim.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Measures to be implemented include:

• Reduce the amount of traffic

- Reduce emissions from individual vehicles
- Reduce emissions from air travel
- Promote more energy efficient buildings
- Improve the energy efficiency of existing stock
- Improve fuel efficiency
- Promote the use of renewable energy technologies
- Reduce pollution from industry and construction

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

The measures set out in this and other Mayoral strategies will improve London's air quality. London is anticipated to achieve the objectives for five pollutants. However, it is estimated that London will fail to achieve both the annual objective for nitrogen dioxide (target date 2005) and the daily objective for particles (PM10, target date 2004). Both objectives are predicted to be exceeded along the major road network. Moreover, the nitrogen dioxide objective is also predicted to be exceeded in central London and around Heathrow Airport.

Road traffic is the main source contributing to nitrogen dioxide levels in London, accounting for approximately 60 per cent of emissions. A further 21 per cent of emissions arise from residential and commercial uses. Air travel from Heathrow Airport also contributes both directly and indirectly (in terms of surface access) to high levels of nitrogen dioxide in west London.

Implications for plan:

Impact of air pollution and transport must be considered.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Sustainable transport SPD

Residential Design SPD (Energy Efficiency)

Implications for SA:

Objectives and indicators seeking to tackle air pollution should be incorporated in the SA framework.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/air_quality/docs/flyer.rtf

Title:	The Mayors Biodiversity Strategy: Connecting with London's Nature.
Date adopted	September 2002
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
The Strategy demonstrates ho	w London's biodiversity can be protected and enhanced.
Key objectives (relevant to p	plan and SA):
1. Ensure that there is no over	all loss of wildlife habitats in London;
2. Ensure that more open spaces are created and made accessible, so that all Londoners are within walking distance of a quality natural space.	

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

Green space with biodiversity value should be protected.

The impact of development on biodiversity must be considered.

All aspects of biodiversity should be considered, e.g. protecting and enhancing biodiversity in back gardens.

Implications for relevant SPDS

None identified.

Implications for SA:

Incorporate objective/indicators, which seek to protect and enhance biodiversity value. Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/biodiversity/docs/strat_full.rtf

Title:	The Mayor's Cultural Strategy: London Cultural Capita
Date adopted	April 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
Sets out the Mayor's strategy fo	or arts, sports and heritage in the capital.
Key objectives (relevant to pl	
• Excellence: to enhance Londor	
	as central to the success of London.
	oners have access to culture in the city.
• Value: to ensure that all Londor	
Key Targets and Indicators (r	elevant to plan and SAJ.
information/transport/buildings). Creativity and culture should als possible.	so be promoted through the development process where
Implications for relevant SPD	S
Implications for SA:	
	lect those of the strategy. Accessibility to facilities will be a
key issue.	
Other relevant information	
Links	
	r/strategies/culture/docs/strategy-all.rtf

Title:	London's Biodiversity Action Plan
Date adopted	April 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
The Action Plan sets out a vision	n for London where biodiversity conservation is integrated
	ic values. The local plan provides the mechanism for
implementing the BAP in London	n, and is vital to the identification of priorities and the delivery
of action across the capital.	
Key objectives (relevant to pla	
Action plans based on species a	and habitats.
Key Targets and Indicators (re	elevant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
The LDF should ensure that dev	velopment complies with the action plan and does not have a
detrimental effect on either species or habitat.	
Implications for relevant SPDS	6
Implications for SA:	
	at of biodiversity in the borough should be a key objective in
The protection and enhancement of biodiversity in the borough should be a key objective in the assessment.	
Other relevant information	
Linked to UK and local biodivers	ity action plan
Links	
http://www.lbp.org.uk/03action.h	tml
Commentary	
•	neric, habitat, and species action plans with associated
statements.	

Title:	The Mayor's Economic Development Strategy.
Date adopted	July 2001 January 2005
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional

A strategy for the sustainable, equitable and healthy growth and development of London's economy to 2016.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

• Places and infrastructure- promote sustainable growth; deliver an improved and effective infrastructure to support growth; deliver healthy, sustainable, high quality communities.

• People - tackle barriers to employment; reduce disparities in labour market

• Enterprise – address barriers to enterprise; improve workforce skills; maximise productivity and innovation.

• Marketing and promoting London – ensure coherent approach to both.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

Sustainable economic growth and development should be promoted. There is a need also to promote social inclusion.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

The objectives of the strategy should be reflected in the sustainability appraisal. Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/economic_development/docs/sustaining_success _full.pdf

Title:	The Mayor's Municipal Waste Management Strategy: Rethinking Rubbish in London
Date adopted	September 2003
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
Sets out the Mayor's overarching	waste management policy for3 2003 – 2020
Key abjectives (relevant to play	
Key objectives (relevant to plan	
• Change the way we use resource	
• Reduce the amount of (municip	on's (municipal) waste being reused.
facilities are available for all.	on's (municipal) waste being recycled and ensure recycling
	in such a way as to minimise the impact on the environment
and health.	a more calf sufficient in managing its (municipal) waste
	g more self-sufficient in managing its (municipal) waste
	aste being dealt with as close to the place of production as
possible.	nol Maata Stratagy and Landfill Directive, and other
	nal Waste Strategy and Landfill Directive, and other
sent to landfill and reducing the to	the amount of London's biodegradable municipal waste
	nd diversify the markets for recyclables in London; including
	ng redesign of goods and services to increase consumer
choice.	ig recession of goods and services to increase consumer
	ise economic development and job creation opportunities in
	ccessing sectors, contribute to the improvement of the local
	ctly improve the health of Londoners.
	s for London that meet the needs of the Waste Strategy and
enable its implementation.	3, 11
	rmation on municipal waste management in London, and
	d dissemination of best practice will help to improve
performance and reduce inefficie	
• Minimise the transport of waste	by road and maximise the opportunities for the sustainable
use of rail and water.	
• Improve the local environment a	and street scene environment.
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
	use and recycle' should be promoted in the policies. The
	ntext for the preparation of the West London Waste DPD.
Implications for relevant SPDS	
	comply with the objectives of the strategy.
Implications for SA:	
Objectives/indicators must reflect	those of the strategy.
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/	strategies/waste/docs/wastestrat_all.pdf

Title:	The Mayor's Energy Strategy: Green Light to Clean Power.
Date adopted	February 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
within London during the next ter	
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):
Improve social equity	
Improve economic performar	nce
 Minimise emissions to carbon sources 	n dioxide from commercial, domestic, industrial and transport
 Increase energy efficiency, C 	HP and renewable energy
Eradicate fuel poverty	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
Implications for relevant SPDS	
	able Transport SPD and West London Tram SPD. The
Residential Design SPD should p	promote energy efficient design.
Implications for SA:	
Implications for SA:	promote energy efficient design.
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli Other relevant information North West London Energy Effici	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli Other relevant information North West London Energy Effici 7527 2121	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel mate change.
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli Other relevant information North West London Energy Effici 7527 2121 Links	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel mate change. ency Advice Centre, 159 Upper Street, London, N1 1RE 020
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli Other relevant information North West London Energy Effici 7527 2121 Links http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel mate change.
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli Other relevant information North West London Energy Effici 7527 2121 Links	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel mate change. ency Advice Centre, 159 Upper Street, London, N1 1RE 020
Implications for SA: Objectives/indicators should be in poverty, energy efficiency and cli Other relevant information North West London Energy Effici 7527 2121 Links http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/	ncorporated in the SA framework relating to the issues of fuel mate change. ency Advice Centre, 159 Upper Street, London, N1 1RE 020

London's warming
2002
Study
GLA

A decision making tool for the consideration of climate change.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Among the study's main findings it was found that:

- London is exposed to greater potential damage from flooding than any other urban area in the UK.
- London may be particularly sensitive to temperature increases in the future.
- London is vulnerable to the financial impacts of global climate change.
- London is one of the driest capital cities in the world.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

No targets or indicators are provided. The focus of the document is to review the current position.

Implications for plan:

The need to tackle climate change should be a central objective of the LDF. Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

The need to tackle climate change should be identified as a central objective in the SA framework.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/gla/publications/environment/londons_warming_tech_rpt_all.pdf

Title:	West London Sub Regional Development Framework
Date adopted	Draft – due to be adopted January 2006
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
To provide guidance on the imp	lementation of policies in the London plan for the boroughs in
West London Sub Region (Bren	t, Harrow, Hounslow, Hillingdon, Hammersmith and Fulham
and Ealing.)	
Key objectives (relevant to pla	
Quantifying all the elements of g	growth needed to develop sustainable communities.
Allocating the growth spatially	
	oment brings benefit to communities.
Ensuring that development im	
Managing the development to	ols and processes.
Key Targets and Indicators (re	elevant to plan and SA):
Housing - increase housing (inc	luding affordable) provision
Employment and offices - promo	otion of town centres, provision for
	m – growth in cultural and leisure facilities
	e, education and community facilities,
	ation of need within the borough and the sub-region.
	ntify locally significant industrial sites
Implications for plan:	
	porate the strategic aims of the west London sub region.
Implications for relevant SPD	
	ives of the framework and used as material considerations in
conjunction with the plan.	
Implications for SA:	
	ncorporate the strategic aims of the west London sub-region.
,	
Other relevant information	
Other relevant information Links	
Other relevant information Links	r/planning/srdf/docs/west_srdf_all.pdf
Other relevant information Links	r/planning/srdf/docs/west_srdf_all.pdf

Title:	SPG (Draft) Sustainable design and construction
Date adopted	March 2005
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory (draft SPG)
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
	the current planning policy framework to design and
	ays that contribute to sustainable development. Provides
	development of policies in development plans and SPD's.
Key objectives (relevant to plan	
 Reuse of land and buildir 	•
Maximise use of natural s	•
Conserve energy water and other resources	
	looding and micro-climatic effects
•	e comfortable and secure for users
	atural environment and biodiversity
 Promote sustainable was 	te behaviour
Sustainable construction	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
This SPG provides advice for LP/	A the in developing policies in development plans to promote
	ion. Similarly guidance is also provided in respect of
	which deal with sustainable design and construction.
Implications for relevant SPDS	new F fficience advice)
Residential Extensions SPD (Ene	ergy Efficiency advice)
Implications for SA:	
The indicators and objectives of t	he SA framework should reflect the objectives of the
document.	
Other relevant information	
Links	
http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/	planning/docs/Sustainable_Design_and_Contstruction.rtf

Commentary Further information can be gained from BRE <u>www.bre.co.uk</u>

Title:	(SPG) Accessible London: Achieving an Inclusive Environment
Date adopted	April 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Purpose of Document:	
	inclusive London. Provides advice to the LPA with regard to
the development of policies in dev	
Key objectives (relevant to plar	n and SA):
28 implementation points	
 The principles of inclusive de 	sign
 DPTAC principles 	
 Integrating access needs from 	n the outset
Pre-application discussion	
Access Statements	
 Access expertise 	
 Local access groups 	
 Planning conditions and section 	ion 106 agreements
 Achieving the highest standa 	•
 Inclusive access policies 	
 Employment 	
 Lifetime homes 	
Wheelchair housing	
Public buildings	
тт на ^с	
Access to education	
Shopping	
Public toilet facilities	
Culture and the arts	
Tourist Facilities	
Access action plans	
 Access to the countryside 	
 Inclusive access to and within 	
 Borough transport local imple 	•
 Public transport infrastructure 	<u>;</u>
 Walking and cycling 	
 Parking design 	
• London's diverse population	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):
Implications for plan:	
Implications for relevant SPDS	
	cess and accessible design may be included in the following
	est London Tram, Residential Design and Twyford Avenue
Sports Ground Open Space Brief	
opono orounu open opace biler	
Implications for SA:	y for all should be a central objective of the SA framework

Other relevant information

The Disabilities Discrimination Act places certain duties on the Local Authority as both an employer and a service provider.

Links

www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/accessible_london.jsp

Commentary Additional information/guidance can be gained from the Disability Rights Commission <u>www.drc.org.uk</u> and Centre for Accessible Environments <u>www.cae.org.uk</u>

Title:	Industrial Capacity Draft SPG
Date adopted	September 2003 (Draft)
Status adoptive procedure	Non Statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional
Burnasa of Decuments	

Supplements the policies in the London Plan.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

The SPG supports boroughs in identifying and protecting locally important industrial areas outside the SEL framework where the UDP demonstrate that this is justified by demand.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Ensure that there is an adequate stock of industrial employment capacity to meet the future Needs of industry,

Ensure that this stock is of good quality and affordable.

Monitor and manage the release of surplus industrial land, so that it can better contribute to other strategic and local planning objectives, including the need for housing and particularly affordable housing. In appropriate locations where it can contribute to town centre renewal, offices, leisure and retailing as well as high density housing will be appropriate. However, out of centre retail and leisure uses will continue to be strongly resisted.

Implications for plan:

The SPG identifies Park Royal and parts of Northolt, Greenford and Perivale as preferred industrial locations and thus any development in this area must comply with the relevant policies of the London plan as outlined in the Draft SPG.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

The SA objectives should reflect the need to ensure that an appropriate amount of industrial stock is retained.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/spg_industrial_capacity/industrial_capacity.rtf

Title:	Office Policy Review	
Date adopted	2004	
Status adoptive procedure	Report	
Adopting body	Greater London Authority	
Document Level	Regional	
Purpose of Document:		
	n 2003/4 and a review of its implications for strategic	
planning policy.		
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):	
Ealing town centre is an importar	nt location in terms of the "polycentricity" policy emphasis, by	
virtue of three main factors:		
 early evidence of deman 	d strength for the next office up-cycle;	
	ion of the Heathrow Express stopping service at Ealing	
Broadway;		
	ification of significant parts of the existing office stock,	
	orplates" above ground floor parking.	
Key Targets and Indicators (rel		
	line has been identified as being inappropriate for the	
	t big enough. Secondly, and perhaps more important, it is in	
	place. The review identifies that non established or declining	
	e, Acton and Greenford are not suitable to promote large	
	they are highly unlikely to ever achieve a sufficiently strong	
	image and critical mass to attract enough demand to support speculative development.	
Accordingly the review identifies and supports growth in Ealing town centre in, although notes that a major review of office development capacity is needed.		
I that a major review of office deve	sopment capacity is needed.	
Implications for plan:		
Consideration of the location of o	ffice space.	
Implications for relevant SPDS		
•		
Implications for SA:		
Implications for SA:	ing information for the development of the CA framework	
The review provides useful baseline information for the development of the SA framework. Other relevant information		
Other relevant information		
Links		
_	planning/docs/London_office_policy_review_2004_2.rtf	
Commentary		

Commentary Not a policy document, but a review of what has happened in the past year. Provides useful statistics and background information for the identification of baseline information.

Title:	Sustainable Development Framework for London
Date adopted	2003
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	Greater London Authority
Document Level	Regional

This Framework has been developed to advise on sustainability issues in the capital. The Framework should be used to:

- provide the context for policy development and decision-making;
- undertake sustainability appraisals of projects, plans and strategies;
- monitor progress towards a more sustainable city.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

To achieve environmental, social and economic development simultaneously; the improvement of one will not be to the detriment of another. Where trade offs between competing objectives are unavoidable, these will be transparent and minimised.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

See table below

Implications for plan:

All policies need to promote and incorporate sustainability as per the guidance **Implications for relevant SPDS**

All guidance needs to promote and incorporate sustainability as per the guidance.

Implications for SA:

The London indicators should be reflected in the SA framework.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/sustainable-development/docs/lsdc_framework.rtf

Commentary

The Commission has published a booklet to accompany the Framework, which aims to be a practical guide to sustainability, to help people interpret the Framework and contribute more effectively to the achievement of sustainable development in London. In addition, the Commission has identified quality of Life indicators for London – and will report annually on a set of 20 headline indicators. These reports can be found on: www.london.gov.uk/londonissues/sustainability.jsp

LOCAL

Title:	Plan for the Environment
Date adopted	October 2004
Status adoptive procedure	Statutory
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local
Purpose of Document:	
Ealing's UDP sets out policies and proposals for the borough on how it should develop over a $10 - 15$ year period. Proves a framework for dealing with property development and transport over the plan period.	
Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):	
To secure a good environment for all through sustainable development, meeting the needs of the different sections of the community, the different areas of the borough, and borough's role in wider planning issues, now and in the future.	

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

- Secure a pattern and form of land use consistent with the efficient use of land, water and energy, which safeguards air quality, minimises waste and forms the basis for sustainable local communities in Ealing.
- Maintain the system of Major Open Areas linked by Green Corridors to protect green space in Ealing, to preserve and enhance biodiversity and nature conservation to provide new outdoor recreation opportunities in areas of need and to improve open space wherever possible.
- Promote good urban design through planning so that buildings and spaces are attractive, accessible safe and consistent with the principles of sustainable development and that there is proper protection of the borough particularly areas and buildings that are of historical and architectural value.
- Increase the quantity of housing in accordance with the agreed strategic minimum target of 9750 new dwelling units by 2017, ensure its satisfactory quality, and improve choice to meet needs for all residents. Priority will be given to reusing empty property, converting existing buildings and making best use of previously developed land.
- Promote balanced economic development with an emphasis on employment serving community regeneration areas, encouraging a high quality, modern attractive working environment and local enterprise. New development will also be expected to be consistent with the principles of continuous environmental improvement.
- Encourage convenient shops and services throughout the borough by recognising the distinctive functions of metropolitan, major, district, neighbourhood and local centres, and the importance of a good environment for the mixture of shopping business and community activities needed to sustain these centres.
- Provide sustainable access from homes to jobs, shops and services, and from business by integrating land sue and transport planning, restraining car traffic, promoting improved public transport and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists and making freight distribution more sustainable. In addition, the Council will have regard to the impacts of international air travel from Heathrow Airport, in respect of surface access, business and employment, environmental impacts and sustainability in general.
- To use legal agreements with developers to assist the best use of land and a properly planned environment as a means of ensuring that the wider planning implications of development schemes are taken into account, and where necessary to enter into partnerships with other agencies to promote appropriate development.
- To use legal agreements with developers to assist the best sue of land and a properly planned environment as a means of ensuring that the wider planning implications of development schemes are taken into account, and where necessary to enter into partnerships with other agencies to promote appropriate development.
- Undertake and publish an annual monitoring report confirming the number of new dwellings provided in the borough, including the totals and proportions of conversions, social rented and low cost market affordable housing, student an special needs units. It will also list the variety of type and mix of sizes of new housing, densities and car parking provided.

Implications for plan:

The adopted UDP will be saved for a period of 3 years, during which time the LDF will be produced.

Implications for relevant SPDS

The SPD's implement policies in the saved UDP.

Implications for SA:

The SA objectives developed for the appraisal of the UDP will be considered during the development of the SA framework for the LDF.

Other relevant information

Links http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/planning/planning+policy/vol1.pdf http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/planning/planning+policy/volume+2.asp

Title:	Ealing Community Strategy
Date adopted	June 2003
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local

The strategy sets out a long term vision for Ealing, and sets out short, medium and long term objectives for achieving this vision. Its main focus is to develop and deliver better and more sustainable services across the borough.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

A place with strong neighbourhoods and communities

A better place to live

A healthier place

A safer place

An attractive and environmentally friendly place

A more accessible place

A better place to grow up

An economically successful place

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

The community strategy and LDF should be linked in order to ensure an integrated approach toward further development within a local authority area based on sustainable development objectives.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

The objectives/indicators of the sustainability appraisal should reflect those of the community strategy.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/council/lsp/full+community+strategy.asp

Ealing Cultural Strategy (Draft)
July 2003
Non statutory
London Borough of Ealing
Local

The Department for Culture, Media and Sport has called on local authorities to produce local cultural strategies with the aim of promoting the cultural well being of the area. It should give: 'a clear rationale for why the local authority funds, manages, supports, encourages or regulates certain services and activities; it provides the basis from which an authority can best determine its own contribution to the cultural well-being of the community.'

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Tackling social exclusion, promoting community cohesion and celebrating cultural diversity are central to the council's vision and to the delivery of all its services as well as to this cultural strategy. All projects included in the cultural strategy will have to demonstrate how these are being addressed.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

- To encourage the designation of Cultural Quarters and Environmental Management Zones in the borough.
- To encourage the use of Section 106 funds for the development of cultural facilities and programmes.
- To encourage the siting of cultural facilities in locations with good public transport access.
- To identify sites suitable for cultural facilities in development briefs

Implications for plan:

The LDF should promote social inclusion through promoting appropriate cultural development with appropriate infrastructure.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

The promotion of social inclusion and the provision of cultural facilities should be reflected in the SA objectives.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/council/committees/cabinet/april2003-march2004/item+8-+appendix+1.doc

Title:	Draft Air Quality Action Plan and stage 4 review To be identified
Date adopted	
Status adoptive procedure	
Adopting body	
Document Level	
Purpose of Document:	
Key objectives (relevant to pl	an and SA):

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/pollution+control/air+quality+.asp

Commentary

1. Government targets are for no more than 35 days in a year when levels of small particles in the air, known as PM10 particulates [particles less than 10 microns in diameter], exceed an average concentration of 50 microgrammes per cubic metre. By 16 July, only just over half way through the year, 36 such days had already been recorded by the air pollution monitor at Acton Town Hall.

2. By the same date, the number of high ozone days measured at Ealing Town Hall was 23, more than twice the recommended number, of 10.

3. PM10 particulates are produced by traffic, especially diesel engines, and by industrial processes. They are inhaled deep into the lungs, causing inflammation, worsening existing heart and lung conditions. They are usually associated with coughs, colds, sinusitis, shortness of breath, wheezing, chest pain, asthma, bronchitis, emphysema and loss of lung efficiency. Long term exposure is associated with increased risk of death from coronary heart disease and lung cancer. They may also increase the risk of cancer by containing toxic substances, currently under investigation by the Department of Health.

Title:	Ealing Air Quality Action Plan
Date adopted	No date
Status adoptive procedure	Non- statutory
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local

Ealing Council declared its whole borough an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) on the 14^{th} December 2000. This was required after a review and assessment of air quality within the borough predicted that the levels of two pollutants, PM₁₀ (fine particles) and nitrogen dioxide were predicted to fail to meet nationally set objectives.

This Action Plan comprises proposals to improve air quality in Ealing with the aim of achieving the National Air Quality Objectives. It is inextricably linked to Ealing's Interim Local Implementation Plan and Unitary Development Plan and takes into account the Mayor's Air Quality Strategy and statutory guidance.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

To achieve the National Air Quality Objectives.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

- Traffic reduction
- Reducing the need to travel
- Promotion of cleaner technologies and alternative fuels
- Improving environmentally friendly forms of transport
- Non-traffic measures
- Awareness raising/education

Implications for plan:

Policies in the LDF should contribute to the achievement of the Action Plan objectives.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Provides context for Sustainable Transport and West London Tram SPD's.

Implications for SA:

Objectives/indicators should reflect those of the Action Plan. Improving the air quality of the borough should be identified as a SA key objective.

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/environment/pollution/airqualityplan.doc

Title:	Ealing Contaminated Land Strategy
Date adopted	No date
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local

Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act, 1990, provides a new regulatory regime for the identification and remediation of contaminated land. It requires every local authority to inspect land in its area for contamination, which may be causing an unacceptable risk to human health or the wider environment, due to the current use and circumstances of the land.

The strategy details how the authority will take a rational, ordered and efficient approach to the inspection and remediation of contaminated land in the borough.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

protect human health, protect controlled waters, protect designated ecosystems, prevent damage to property, prevent any further contamination of land, encourage voluntary remediation, encourage re-use of brownfield land.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

Policies within the LDF should promote the appropriate remediation and/or reuse or land. The reuse of land will be central to the government's objectives of minimising development on greenfield land.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA:

Promoting the reuse of brownfield sites should be reflected in the SA framework. Other relevant information

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/environment/pollution/contdlandstrategy.pdf

Title:	Ealing Biodiversity Action Plan						
Date adopted	?						
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory						
Adopting body	Ealing Council						
Document Level	Local						
Purpose of Document:							
borough. Ealing's BAP identifies							
Key objectives (relevant to plan							
Actions linked to the Habitats of	of Ealing.						
London Ecology Unit 1991. 2. Review Areas of Critical Natura 3. Review the status of site mana 4. Review the list of other importa Ealing handbook. 5. Complete management plans 6. When the opportunity arises se plans.							
	er all sites of Metropolitan Importance. Ants for all other nature conservation sites.						
, ,							
should be recognised and where 2. Monitoring of habitats. This is I necessary the updating and ame be set up when site management depending on the outcomes of th 3. Linked to the above recording carried out on key sites with the of 4. Management Plans - many site management is being continued i management is set down in a cle proposed to be changed will requineed to have a management state plan (see appendix 1). 5. Many of the actions listed in th changes in management are carrist that the proposed management are 6. Before any such management produced and implemented.	d in or identified through the Biodiversity Action Plan actions possible protected through the Unitary Development Plan. key to the development of management plans and where indment of management plans. Monitoring systems should t is started and management should be adjusted as required e monitoring (See appendix 1). of habitats and species is important and this should be data being recorded on the London Wildlife Trust System. es already have management plans in place. On others in the traditional way. However, it is important that ar and concise manner. All 14 sites where management is ire a management plan. All sites that are being managed ement, which in time will be upgraded to a management e BAP will require some financial support. Before any ried out the site manager must identify resources to ensure and after care can be carried out properly. is carried out a community awareness strategy must be						
Implications for plan:							
	of biodiversity in the borough should be promoted through ent Plan is identify as a key tool for implementing the BAP.						

Implications for SA:

Objectives/indicators relating to biodiversity should be incorporated into the SA framework. **Other relevant information**

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/parks+and+countryside/parks+and+open+spaces/bap.pdf

Commentary

The London Borough of Ealing is small geographical area and not a 'natural' bio geographical area. Therefore the Ealing BAP can not be delivered in isolation and where appropriate should relate to BAPs in neighbouring boroughs. Where these action plans have not been produced this will occur during the first major review of the BAP in 2005.

Title:	Ealing Housing Strategy
Date adopted	2004
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	London borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local
Dumpers of Desuments	

The strategy is set firmly within a framework of national, regional and sub-regional priorities and takes strategic direction form the London Housing Statement and the West London Housing Strategy.

The strategy analyses housing need in the context of its impact on the borough and how the actions of the council and other agencies working together can have a positive benefit both socially and economically. The strategy also examines the what resources are available to implement this work.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

Increasing Affordable Housing

Quality Services Asset Investment and Options Private Sector Housing

□Sustainable Communities

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

Housing need and allocations identified in the strategy will need to be reflected in the plan. Implications for relevant SPDS

Affordable Housing SPD.

Implications for SA:

Other relevant information

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/council/strategies+and+policies/2009housingstrategy.pdf

Commentary

The following strategies are nearing completion:-

BME enabling strategy, Homelessness Strategy, Annual stock condition update, HA stock condition surveys, Empty Property strategy, Learning Disabilities Housing Strategy

Title:	Ealing Waste Minimisation Strategy.
Date adopted	?
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local
Purpose of Document:	
This strategy also aims to improv	r sets out a strategy for implementing the waste hierarchy. The public perception of waste and re-define 'waste' in urces whose consumption remains the choice of the
Key objectives (relevant to plan	n and SA):
Reduce Waste Arising	
Promote reuse and recycling Key Targets and Indicators (rel	avent to alon and CA).
	which allow us to consider the waste implications of should identify sites to accommodate new waste facilities.
Implications for SA:	
The Waste Minimisation Strategy	will set the context for
Other relevant information	
Links http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services	s/recycling/waste+minimisation+strategy.doc
Commentary	
1998 Waste Minimisation Act.	

Title:	Ealing Allotment Strategy
Date adopted	1999
Status adoptive procedure	Non statutory
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing
Document Level	Local
Purpose of Document:	

Sets out a strategy for the future management of allotments within the borough.

Key objectives (relevant to plan and SA):

To ensure that sustainable allotments are available for people who wish to cultivate them throughout the borough by preserving existing allotments that are in use on council-owned land or private/charity sites;

To improve contact with plot holders on council-owned sites through a new database of addresses and notice boards on allotment sites, and at all existing or potential allotment holders through newsletters and information posters or leaflets;

To provide a well-organised council allotment service through:

- the borough allotments and estates manager and other officers;
- plot managers recruited from plot holders on each site or small group of sites seeking • news ones for sites which have none;
- varying the range of duties carried out by the plot manager to adapt to local conditions and self-management;

To promote the self-management of sites by a committee of representatives of plot holders; any changes in rules and a spread of management roles could be agreed in stages with the council;

To ensure a secure financial basis by making use of income from rents and any external sources to provide good value for plot holders through agreed individual action plans; and by making rent changes easier to understand;

To set up an allotment partnership to include representatives from allotment holders, officers and councillors to examine all potential sources of capital and revenue financing;

To publicise availability of all vacant allotments in a targeted campaign geared to current levels of interest nationally, the area of allotments in the locality that is currently vacant, and the importance of health and sustainability issues;

To draft an action plan for agreement with plot holders and managers for each council allotment site:

To provide opportunities for organic gardening in each part of the borough and encourage it on all sites:

To make the best use of allotment land for the benefit of all residents.

Key Targets and Indicators (relevant to plan and SA):

Implications for plan:

The LDF should protect existing allotment sites, and ensure that there is an adequate provision of sites across the borough. It should be noted that all Council managed allotments are currently protected as Community Open Space within the UDP.

Implications for relevant SPDS

Implications for SA: The protection and provision of community facilities for informal recreation should be a key objective of the SA framework.

Other relevant information

Links

Commentary

The Strategy is currently being revised and updated (August 2005).

Title:	Ealing Parks and Open Spaces Strategy						
Date adopted	2002						
Status adoptive procedure							
Adopting body	London Borough of Ealing						
Document Level	Local						
Purpose of Document:							
The Strategy provides a blueprint managed for the next 5 years and	t for how the borough's parks and open spaces will be d beyond.						
Key objectives (relevant to plan							
 maintenance service with an Achieve Green Flag Award s Research opportunities and a of parks improvements, and the parks to increase use, and rest support the Unitary Development of the Interarchies, Enhance the positive environ the improvement of the nature sustainability, Implement the short, medium by developing site management through seeking further protect local nature reserves (LNR's) Undertake on site local resided local residents on all new nata awareness, understanding ar Protect and enhance existing specialist inspection and main planning additional tree plant and housing sites. To undertake a programme of the service of	ent, ward councillor and Area Committee consultation with ture conservation initiatives and projects to increase						
enrichment and education in	line with the standards required to retain its zoo license.						
Key Targets and Indicators (rel	evant to plan and SA):						
	· · ·						
Implications for plan:							
designation and policies should of the maintenance and upkeep of s process.	ementation of the open space strategy. Open space conform with the strategy. The use of Section 106 funds for sites should be considered through the development						
Implications for relevant SPDS							
	ts Ground Open Space Brief, although note that the sports buncil and is therefore not identified in the open space						
Implications for SA:							
Other relevant information							
Links							

Parks and Countryside Services hold and maintain the strategy

Title:	Ealing LIP						
Date adopted	Draft						
Status adoptive procedure	Statutory						
Adopting body	LBE						
Document Level Local							
Purpose of Document:							
The LIP implements the Mayor's	Transport Strategy at the borough level.						
Key objectives (relevant to pla							
	y plan, traffic calming and 20 mph zones, safer						
routes to school and travel aware							
	reliability (bus priority and bus accessibility);						
- Relieve traffic congestion (car of							
- Improve parking and loading an							
	inclusion (accessible transportation, community						
transport services, streetscape a	(health and physical fitness, traffic calming and						
20 mph zones); and	(nealur and physical nuless, uditic califility and						
	(street and structural maintenance).						
Key Targets and Indicators (re	levant to plan and SA):						
Implications for plan: Boroughs are also encouraged to)						
	transport and pedestrian environments as well as						
	dential and town centre development.						
 support the location of hi will have both high levels 	gh density trip generating development in areas that have or s of public transport accessibility and capacity, sufficient to opment and how parking provision reflects levels of public						
	use of 'Public Transport Accessibility Levels' as a tool for rt accessibility.						
 provide evidence of the p distribution centres and i 	provision of suitable sites for public transport and freight nterchanges.						
	r's Biodiversity Strategy and also to include details of how denhance natural habitats and biodiversity along their avs, verges etc).						
 include a reference to the 	eir crime and disorder strategies; indicate how and when how the GLA and TfL will be consulted.						
 set out any measures the wharves and facilities, ad 	ey are implementing on relevant issues e.g. safeguarding ccess to river.						
And boroughs must:-							
 set out how they seek to 	encourage the movement of waste by rail or water or bact of the transport of waste.						
	s relating to safeguarding of wharves in developing relevant						
Implications for relevant SPDS							
	ugh particularly the Sustainable Transport and the West						

Implications for SA:

Other relevant information

Consultation ends mid November 2005.

Links

http://www.ealing.gov.uk/services/environment/transportandstreets/local+implementaton+plan +(lip).asp

Appendix 2: Baseline Information

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	Social Indicators				-				-	
2	Population (ONS Mid Year Estimate 2003 - EDS)	2003 - 305,019	1993 - 285,200	In 2001 Ealing was the third most highly populated Borough in London	Not identified	N/A	Population projections (ONS 2003- based Sub- National Projections) suggest that over the next 25 years Ealing's population will increase from 305,000 in 2003 to more than 320,000 by 2028	Need for additional housing and services to accommo date expanding population	Need to accommodat e/recognise needs of expanding population.	N/A
1	Population growth (Census 2001)	Increase of 6.3% between 1991 and 2001	Percentage Change in resident population by neighbourho od 1991- 2001 Acton – 4.7% Central Ealing – 4.6% Hanwell – 5.6%	London average 5.3% increase	Not identified	N/A	The rate of growth in population has been faster than that for London as a whole. Most significant population growth in the north west part of the borough.	Need for additional housing and services to accommo date expanding population		No comparative figure is available for the UK as a whole.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
3	Population breakdown – age (2001 Census)	Population by age group, 2001 Population percentage aged <16 - 19.6% 16-29 - 22.5% 30-59 - 42.1% 60+ - 15.5%	Northolt and Greenford North – 15.3% South Northolt & Greenford – 12.7% Southall North – 3.4% Southall South – 2.9% Ealing overall – 7% Not identified	Population by age London 2001 <16 - 20.2% 16-29 - 21.7% 30-59 - 41.7% 60+ - 16.4%	Population by age 2001 <16 - 20.2% 16-29 - 17.6% 30-59 - 41.7% 60+ - 20.8%	N/A	Demand for services will be high in these areas.	Ealing has a proportion ately higher number of younger people aged 20- 39 years and children under 5 than the UK average. This is common with some other London Boroughs.	Recognise and accommodat e needs of a younger population	N/A

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								There are proportion ately fewer people aged over 60 compared to England.		
4	Population breakdown (ethnicity) (2001 Census	White - 58.73 White British - 44.90 White Irish - 4.75 White Other - 9.08 Mixed - 3.62 Mixed: White & Black Caribbean-1 Mixed: White & Black African - 0.45 Mixed: White & Asian - 1.21 Mixed: Other - 0.96 Asian / Asian British - 24.54 Asian / Asian British : Indian - 16.53 Asian/Asian British Pakistani - 3.75 Asian/Asian British Bangladeshi - 0.36 Asian/Asian British Black/Black British - 8.79	See Census 1991	White – 71.15 White: British - 59.79 White: Irish – 3.07 White: Other – 8.29 Mixed: White & Black Caribbean – 0.99 Mixed: White & Black African – 0.48 Mixed: White & Asian – 0.84 Mixed: Other – 0.85 Asian/ Asian British – 12.08 Asian/ Asian British – 12.08 Asian/ Asian British Indian – 6.09 Asian/Asian British Pakistani – 1.99 Asian/Asian British Bangladeshi – 2.15	White $-$ 91.31 White British $-$ 87.49 White Irish $-$ 1.23 White Other $-$ 2.59 Mixed $-$ 1.27 Mixed: White & Black Caribbean $-$ 0.46 Mixed: White & Black African $-$ 0.15 Mixed: White & Asian $-$ 0.36 Mixed: Other $-$ 0.30 Asian/ Asian British - 4.37 Asian/Asian British: Indian $-$ 1.99 Asian/Asian British: Pakistani $-$ 1.37 Asian/Asian British: Bangladeshi $-$ 0.54 Asian/Asian British: Other Asian $-$ 0.46 Black/Black British $-$ 2.19 Black/Black British: African $-$ 0.92	Not identified	The 2001 census indicates that the size of the ethnic minority population has increased in Ealing since 1991. There has been an increase in all groups, especially in Black Africans who have increased two-fold. The wards with the highest percentage of ethnic minority groups are in the Southall	Ethnically diverse population – with concentrat ions in certain wards	Recognise needs of ethnically diverse population	N/A

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		Black/Black British Caribbean – 4.49 Black/Black British African – 3.68 Black/Black British Other Black – 0.62 Chinese or other ethnic group – 4.33 Chinese or other ethnic group: Chinese – 1.19 Chinese or other ethnic group: other ethnic group - 3.13		Asian/Asian British: Other Asian – 1.86 Black/Black British – 10.92 Black/Black British Caribbean – 4.79 Black/Black British African – 5.28 Black/Black British Other Black – 0.84 Chinese or other ethnic group – 2.69 Chinese or other ethnic group : Chinese or other ethnic group : Chinese or other ethnic group : Other ethnic group other ethnic group – 1.58	Black/Black British: Other Black – 0.18 Chinese / other ethnic group – 0.86 Chinese / Other ethnic group: Chinese – 0.44 Chinese / Other ethnic group: Other ethnic group – 0.42 (England and Wales)		neighbourho od.			
5	Population Projections 2001- 2016 (2003 Round Demographic Projections, GLA, 2004 (AMR))	2001 – 308,072 2006 – 315,077 2011 – 324,102 2016 – 333,489	Not identified	London 2001 - 7,322,400 2006 -7,520,900 2011 - 7,758,300 2016 - 8,007,800 2021 - 8,244,800 http://www.lho.org. uk/DataAndMetho ds/Local_Data/Ce nsus2001/Attachm ents/PDF_Files/d magbriefing2004- 25.pdf	UK 2001 – 59,001,000 2006 – 59,995,000 2011 – 61,022,000 2021 – 63,239,000 England 2001 - 49390 2006 – 50,310 2011 – 51,315 2021 – 53,478 http://www.gad.gov.	Not identified	Population projections indicate that Ealing's population will increase	Growing population Need for additional housing and services to accommo date expanding population		N/A

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
					uk/Publications/docs /National_population _projections_2002_b ased_report.pdf					
6	Population Density http://www.lho.org.u k/DataAndMethods/ Local_Data/Census 2001/Attachments/P DF_Files/DMAG_Bri efing_2005-13.pdf	Persons per km squared 5,493	Not identified	4,695	Not identified	Not identified	16 th most populated authority in England. http://www.d emographia. com/db- englad.htm	Relatively dense borough, although variations noted across borough		No national comparator identified
16	Unemployment rate (2001 Census) Note indicators 16- 18 are also economic indicators	3.9%	Three wards in Southall (Southall Broadway & Dormers Wells) and one in Acton (South Acton) have the highest unemployme nt rates, above 4.5% (ILO classification)	4.4% for London as a whole. 3.6% for outer London	3.4%	None identified	- ground	The unemploy ment rate in Ealing is lower than London average but above UK average.	Reflect level of unemployme nt. Variations in unemployme nt across the borough should be recognised. Seek to reduce poverty & social exclusion & encourage sustained economic growth	Comparative data overtime not identified
17	Unemployment Rate (Labour Market Summary ONS) Note alternative indicator to indicator 16 above	02/03 - 4.8%	00/01 – 7.2% 01/02 – 6.2%	00/01 – 6.9% 01/02 – 6.6%	00/01- 5.3% 01/02 – 5%	Not identified	Unemploym ent levels have declined faster in Ealing when		Note/reflect level of unemployme nt. Variations in unemployme	

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
			Three wards in Southall (Southall Broadway & Dormers Wells) and one in Acton (South Acton) have the highest unemployme nt rates, above 4.5% (ILO classification)	02/03 – 7%	02/03 – 5.1%		compared with the London and UK average		nt across the borough should be recognised. Seek to reduce poverty & social exclusion & encourage sustained economic growth.	
18	Unemployment Rate - % of working age pop (June – May) (Nomis – EDS)	03/04 - 6.4	94/95 - 9.3 95/96 - 10.1 96/97 - 10.5 97/98 - 7.9 98/99 - 6.1 99/00 - 6 00/01 - 6.6 01/02 - 4.9 02/03 - 6.1 Three wards in Southall (Southall Broadway & Dormers Wells) and one in Acton (South Acton) have the highest unemployme nt rates,	94/95 - 12.3 95/96 - 11.9 96/97 - 10.7 97/98 - 9 98/99 - 7.9 99/00 - 7.5 00/01 - 6.9 01/02 - 7 02/03 - 7 03/04 - 7.1 (London)	94/95 - 9 95/96 - 8.5 96/97 - 7.5 97/98 - 6.5 98/99 - 6 99/00 - 5.5 00/01 - 5 01/02 - 4.9 02/03 - 5 03/04 - 4.9 (England)	Not identified			Note reflect level of unemployme nt. Variations in unemployme nt across the borough should be recognised. Seek to reduce poverty & social exclusion & encourage sustained economic growth.	

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area) above 4.5% (ILO classification)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
46	Employment rate (%) by ethnicity (2003) (Nomis Local Area Labour Force Survey – EDS)	White – 83.5% Non White – 58%	Not identified	White – 76% Non White – 56% (London)	White – 77.5% Non White – 58%	N/A	Comparative data overtime is unavailable to identify trends	Those ethnic groups most likely to be economica Ily inactive in Ealing are Pakistani (47.9%), Banglades hi (43.9%) and Black African (43.4%) groups.	Improve the education and skills base of ethnic minority groups	Comparative data overtime is unavailable
19	Employment Deprived Government Indices of Deprivation 2000	38 th of all the UK authorities	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	Not identified		Relatively high level of deprivatio n for the borough as a whole, with pockets of deprivatio n in certain boroughs.		
20	% of population in good health	71.07	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified		~		Comparative data not identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
21	Census 2001 Life Expectancy (www.fti.neighbourh ood.gov.uk)	Male 2002 – 76 Female 2002 – 81.2	M 2000 – 75.7 F 2000 – 80.6 M 2001 – 75.9 F 2002 – 81.2 There are considerable variations in life expectancy throughout the borough. The highest life expectancy for both males and females is in the ward of Hanger Hill. The ward of Southall Green has the lowest life expectancy for males, whereas Norwood Green has	M 2000 – 75.3 F 2000 – 80.4 M 2001 – 75.6 F 2001 – 80.7 M 2002 – 75.9 F 2002 – 80.8	M 2000 – 75.7 F 2000 – 80.4 M 2001 – 76 F 2001 – 80.7 M 2002 – 76.2 F 2002 – 80.7 (England)	Estimates for life expectancy in 2010 for males in Ealing are 78.1 years and 81.5 years for females.		Life expectanc y is marginally higher in Ealing when compared with the London average. As expected life expected life expectanc y has increased overtime.	Provide accessible essential services, with a particular emphasis on those parts of the borough with lowest life expectancy rates. Need to reduce health inequalities	

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
			the lowest life expectancy for females.							
22	Standardised Mortality Rate (www.fti.neighbourh ood.gov.uk)	95 (2003) Below 100 indicates a lower death rate than the national average			100 (2003)			Lower than average mortality rate.	Provide accessible essential services	
23	Mortality by cause (ONS Vital Statistics – VS4d Mortality by selected cause – CDRom only) See London Health Observatory – October 04	Cancer CHD Stroke Accident Suicide Mortality from all circulatory diseases for those aged less than 75 Ealing 132.79		127.25	127.90 (England and Wales)	At a national level substantially reduce mortality rates by 2010: from heart disease and stroke and related diseases by at least 40% in		Need to reduce mortality rates.		Unable to locate data at a local or regional level
	http://www.nwlha.nh s.uk/AboutTheSHA/ health_in_nw_londo n.doc	Mortality from all cancers for those aged less than 75 Ealing 123.41		132.96	133.76 (England and Wales)	people under 75; from cancer by at least 20% in people under				
		Mortality from accidents all ages Ealing 17.05		14.75	16.67 (England and Wales)	75; from suicide and undetermined				
		Mortality from suicide and injury undetermined (England and		9.342	9.40 (England and Wales)	injury by at least 20%.				

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		Wales rate 9.40, London 9.42)								
24	Main causes of death in Ealing, 2001 (Public Health Mortality Files, ONS, 2001)	Ealing 9.03 Diseases of Circulatory system – 34.3% Cancers – 23.2% CHD – 39% Respiratory disease – 15.2% Diseases of the digestive system – 4.5% Mental & Behavioural disorders – 3.7% Injuries – 2.5% Diseases of the nervous system – 2.1% Anaemias – 0.7% Other – 13.8%	There has been a gradual but slight decline in the number of deaths since 1990. This trend mirrors that for London and England (Compendiu m of Clinical Indicators). Mortality rates for all causes are highest in North and South Southall	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	There has been a gradual but slight decline in the number of deaths since 1990. This trend mirrors that for London and England (Compendiu m of Clinical Indicators).	Note uneven geographi cal distribution of mortality rates linked to areas of deprivatio n.		No comparative data identified for the regional or national level
25	Infant Mortality Rate Deaths per thousand live births <u>http://www.statistics.</u> <u>gov.uk/downloads/th</u>	Under 1's (2002) Males - 51.3 Females - 49.6	4.5 (1993) 5.4 (1998),		Under 1's Males – 285.6 Females – 271.9 (England only)	Reduce smoking during pregnancy. Early initiation of breast		Infant Mortality is a high level indicator in the		

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	eme_health/DH1_35 _2002/DH1no35.pdf		over the same period London was 6.1 and England and Wales was 6 http://www.lh o.org.uk/Hea Ith_Inequaliti es/Attachme nts/PDF_Fil es/ineq_sum mary.pdf			feeding. Reduce the gap (Infant Mortality Rate) between routine and manual social groups by 2010.		London health strategy. http://www .nwlha.nhs .uk/AboutT heSHA/nh s_health_s upplement .pdf		
26	Access to Hospital (Exact indicator yet to be specified)	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified				
27	Access to GP Surgeries (2005)	89	Not identified	Brent 78 Hammersmith and Fulham 34 Harrow 50 Hillingdon 52 Hounslow 56 Kensington and Chelsea 45 Westminster 52	N/A	None identified	N/A			Regional data of limited use as boroughs vary in size
28	Access to NHS Dentists (2005)	58	Not identified	Brent 61 Ham & Ful 36 Harrow 52 Hillingdon 42 Hounslow 46 Ken & Chel 32 Westminster 82	N/A	None identified	N/A			Regional data of limited use as boroughs vary in size
29	Access to Opticians (2005)	30	Not identified	Brent 18 Ham & ful 18 Harrow 28 Hillingdon 34	Not identified	None identified	N/A			Regional data of limited use as boroughs vary in size

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
				Hounslow 24 Ken & Chel 25 Westminster 62						
63	Access to key services: post office/food shops/GP/Primary School	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A			No Ealing data identified
30	Accessibility to Green Space (Exact indicator yet to be specified)									
34	Overall crime rate (recorded crime BCS comparator) (www.fti.neighbourh ood.gov.uk) see also www.met.police.uk/c rimestatistics	80.4 (2003)	Not identified	83.8 (2003)	69.3 (2003)	None identified	No comparator overtime	The crime rate for Ealing is lower than the overall London average, but substantial ly higher than UK levels.	Need to reduce and prevent crime. Recognise scope for designing out crime.	No comparator overtime.
37	Index of Multiple Deprivation 2004 by Super Output Area - Crime (Rank – 1= most deprived, 32,482 = least deprived) (ODPM (EDS))	10,561	Not identified	12,051 (West London) 11,821 (London)	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	There are several pockets of deprivatio n within the borough. Note significant spatial variations in levels of	Need to reduce poverty and social exclusion. Target those wards performing most poorly.	No comparator data available overtime or national data.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								deprivatio n across the borough.		
35	Total notifiable offences – per 1000 households Crime Survey www.homeoffice.gov .uk/rds/bcs1.html	126	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A			No regional and national data identified
32	Theft of/from motor vehicles per 1000 households Crime Survey www.homeoffice.gov .uk/rds/bcs1.html	22.6	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A			No regional and national data identified
33	Burglary from dwelling per 1000 households Crime Survey www.homeoffice.gov .uk/rds/bcs1.html	5.1	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A			No regional and national data identified
31	Number of sexual offences per 1000 households Year? Crime Survey www.homeoffice.gov .uk/rds/bcs1.html	1.2	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A			No regional and national data identified
38	Perception/fear of crime British crime survey	Not identified	N/A	London 18% High level of worry about burglary	England and Wales 12% High level of worry about burglary 13% high level of	None identified	N/A		Need to reduce perception of crime.	

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	http://www.homeoffic e.gov.uk/rds/pdfs05/l ondon05.pdf			20% high level of worry about car crime 26% High level of worry about violent crime -Outer London 17% High level of worry about burglary 18% high level of worry about car crime 25% High level of worry about violent crime Inner London 20% High level of worry about burglary 24% high level of worry about car crime 26% High level of worry about violent crime	worry about car crime 16% High level of worry about violent crime				Recognise scope for designing out crime.	
50	Road Safety: Killed and seriously injured (total) (BV099)	03/04 - 58.09	02/03 - 72.30	Not identified	Not identified	Targets for Ealing 03/04-84.87 04/05-81.38	Road safety in Ealing has improved significantly between 02/03 and 03/04	The accident rate for Ealing is well below identified targets	Need to reduce road accidents of all kinds	No regional or national comparator identified
36	Indices of Deprivation (Index of Multiple Deprivation – ODPM)	a) Overall average rank – 99 out of 354 local	Not identified	a) Data not available	a) 99 out of 354	None identified	N/A	There are several pockets of deprivatio n within	Need to reduce poverty and social exclusion.	No comparator overtime.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		 authorities b) Income Scale 27 out of 354 local authorities c) Employment Scale – 42 out of 354 		 b) Data not available c) Data not available 	b) 27 out of 354c) 42 out of 354			the borough. Note significant spatial variations in levels of deprivatio n across the borough.	Target those wards performing most poorly.	
41	Literacy and numeracy of 11 year olds (neighbourhood renewal project)	78%	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A	Not possible to determine without comparati ve data		No comparative data is available at the regional or national level
42	Literacy and numeracy of 14 year olds (neighbourhood renewal project)	73%	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A	Not possible to determine without comparati ve data		No comparative data is available at the regional or national level
39	Skills Level: Educational Attainment - % of 15 year-old pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A*-C or equivalent (BVPI 038)	03/04-54.2%	02/03-50%	Best London Quartile (02/03) – 53%	Best England Quartile (02/03) – 54%	03/04-51% 04/05-53% 05/06-55%	Increasing	Ealing Exceeds BVPI targets, although it falls short of the Best London and Best England Quartile.		Data only available for a two year period.
40	Skills Level: 19 year olds with level 2 qualifications and above	73.8% 18-19 year olds	Not identified	72.2&	Not identified	This indicator is identified in the UK Sustainable		Weaker skills and qualificatio ns, reduce		No national data or local comparator data overtime

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
						Development Strategy		earnings potential and dissuade higher value knowledge businesse s from locating in the borough.		
43	Skills Level: % of working age population qualified to degree level or higher (Norris local area labour force survey – EDS)	38%	Not identified	31% (London)	25% (England)	None identified	N/A	38% of Ealing's working age population are qualified to degree level or higher, above the average for London and England. The high skills base in Ealing relative to England and London overall will be significant in		No comparative data is available to identify trends/patterns over time.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								attracting inward investment from the knowledge business sector.		
44	Number of pupils with English as an additional language http://www.dfes.gov. uk/rsgateway/DB/SB U/b000050/10492e. pdf	1997 - 41.8%	1996 – 36.1%	Inner London average - 34% Outer London average - 22.6%	National average - 7.5% Ealing is the 8 th highest local authority in England in terms of the number of pupils with English as additional language	N/A		Correlatio n exists between poor school performan ce and % of properties with EAL.		
45	Number of educational establishments in the borough (2005)	6 Nurseries 65 Primary Schools (56 of which have nurseries) 13 Secondary Schools 21 Private Schools 6 Schools for pupils with special educational needs	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	N/A			Comparative data not identified, nor likely to be useful given varying size of boroughs/author ities.
47	Annual Earnings – Average Household Income (including benefits) (GLA Paycheck data 2005) (EDS)	£34,303	Amongst Ealing wards, mean household incomes range from between £25,873 in	£34,455 (West London) 34,625 (London)	Not identified	N/A		The average household income for Ealing is similar to London and West	Need to reduce poverty and social exclusion.	No national comparator identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
			Dormers Wells and £43,741 in Ealing Broadway.					London averages. However, there are significant spatial variations in terms of household income levels across the borough.		
48	Percentage of population of working age who are claiming key benefits (or similar) Borough and Sub – Regional Demographic Profiles 2005	Incapacity benefit 16-65 yrs – August 2003 % males – 7.3 % females – 5.3 % total – 6.3 Income support (16 and over) % male – 6.7 % Female – 11.6 % total – 9.1 Jobseekers Allowance (men 16-64, females 16- 59) % males – 4.21 % females – 1.8 % total – 3.0	Not identified	Incapacity Benefit 16-65 yrs August 2003 % males – 7.2 % females – 5.0 % total – 6.1 Income support (16 and over) % male – 7.1 % Female – 12.5 % Total – 9.8 Jobseekers allowance (men 16-64, females 16-59) % males – 4.8 % Females - 2.1 % total – 3.5	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	There are lower levels of income support and JSA claimants within Ealing when compared with the London average.		No comparator data is identified for the national level or for the local level overtime.
49	Fuel Poverty Population spending more than 10% of their household	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	1.2 million (2002) DTI	None identified	N/A			No data identified for this indicator

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
15	income on fuel % of local authority buildings suitable for and accessible by disabled people (BVPI 156)	1.5% 10 of the 11 libraries in the borough are wheelchair accessible	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	BVPI target?	N/A			No regional or national comparator identified
51	% of new homes built to lifetime homes standards	Data not yet recorded. To be recorded in Annual Monitoring Report.	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	100% (London Plan) 100% (Ealing UDP)	N/A			Data not currently recorded
52	% of new homes built to Wheelchair Housing Standards	Data not yet recorded. To be recorded in Annual Monitoring Report.	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	10% on sites with 10 or more units.	N/A			Data not currently recorded
12	Household Projections	2001 – 118,262 Population projections 2006 – 315,077 2011 – 324,102 2016 – 333,489	N/A	Not identified	Not identified	UDP target of 9,750 new dwellings by 2017	Projections indicate a need for additional households.	Note need for additional housing, particularly affordable. This reflects growth in population and trend for smaller household size.		No comparative data at a regional or national level
9	Housing accommodation type (Census 2001)	Detached 4.3% Semi Detached 23.45% Terraced 29.61 Flat/maisonette 42.5	Not identified	London Detached 6.04 Semi Detached 19.31 Terraced 25.93 Flat /	England Detached 22.7 Semi Detached 31.58 Terraced 26.04 Flat / Maisonette	N/A		Flat/maiso nette accommo dation identified as the		No comparator data (overtime)

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
				Maisonette19.2	0.4			main housing type in Ealing. The percentag e of housing stock which are flats/maiso nette is much higher than London and national average.		
10	Housing Tenure (%) Census 2001	Own outright 24.6 Own with mortgage/loan 37.1 Shared ownership 1.3 Rent (council) 11.8 Rent (RSL) 7.1 Rent (private Landlord) 15.6 Other 2.5	Not identified	OUTER LONDON Own outright 27.2 Own with mortgage/loan 40.0 Shared ownership 0.9 Rent (council) 11.6 Rent (RSL) 6.6 Rent (private Landlord) 11.3 Other 2.5LONDON Own outright 22.1 Own with mortgage/loan 33.5 Shared ownership	ENGLAND Own outright 29.2 Own with mortgage/loan 38.9 Shared ownership 0.7 Rent (council) 13.2 Rent (RSL) 6.1 Rent (private Landlord) 8.8 Other 3.2	N/A				No comparator data (overtime)

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
				1.0 Rent (council) 17.1 Rent (RSL) 9.1 Rent (private Landlord) 14.3 Other 2.9						
13	Household Structure (Annual Public Health Report 2003)	Total – 118,032 Married Couple – 48203 Cohabiting Couple – 10319 Lone Parent Household –9390 One Person Household – 36055 Other -14065 (Borough and Sub-Regional Demographic Profiles 2003)	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A		There are a higher proportion of married couple household s (31.2%) in Ealing, and a smaller proportion of one- person (30.5%) and lone- parent (10.7%) household s in Ealing when compared to London (34.7% and 11.1% respectivel y). Therefore important to recognise the need		No regional or national comparator data

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								for family housing, particularly affordable family housing.		
8	House prices (£) (Source unknown)	Detached 539,956 Semi Detached 290,587 Terraced 235,538 Flat/Maisonette 168,578 Mean 227,675 LA Net rent 63.95	Not identified	Detached 466, 011 Semi Detached 259,299 Terraced 237,830 Flat/Maisonette 203,793 Mean 234,535 LA net rent 61.75	Detached 208,435 Semi Detached 119,748 Terraced 103,351 Flat/Maisonette 138,762 Mean 138,370	In order to bring the real price trend in line with the EU average of 1.1% an extra 120,000 homes will be needed each year in England (Barker Review 2004).	N/A	House prices in Ealing are higher than the regional and national average. Need for affordable housing is high.		No comparator data (overtime)
53	Housing Completions	860 (2004/05)	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	UDP target of 650 units per annum		Annual completion s for last year are well above annual targets		
54	No. of housing completions which are affordable	266 (2004/05) 28% of total housing completions	55 (2001) 30 (2002) 244 (2003) 197 (2004)	Not identified	Not identified	UDP policy – A requirement of 50% for projects which exceed the threshold.	The permissions data indicates that this percentage is increasing.	The average proportion of affordable housing on sites above the threshold (i.e. 15		

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								units or 0.5 ha) where affordable housing is required as a matter of policy was 44%.		
55	Affordable Housing Ratio (house price/earnings affordability ratio) (Land Registry 2003 (EDS))	8.65 (2003)	7.5 (2000) 7.65 (2001) 8.45 (2002)	8 (2000) 8.4 (2001) 9.1 (2002) 9.6 (2003) (London data)	Not identified		The greater the ratio the harder it is to afford a local house on local earnings. Although Ealing houses are cheaper than those in London, they are less easy to afford on a local wage, and are getting increasingly difficult to afford.	Property prices are increasing at a greater rate than wages.		No national comparator identified
11	Housing Deprivation (Index of multiple deprivation 2000)	20 of Ealing's 25 wards are in the 10% most deprived nationally	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	Northcote ranked 2 nd out of whole country	N/A	Note varying levels of poverty and affluence		No comparative data at a regional level or overtime

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								across the borough		
14	Vacant properties Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix (HSSA) return. http://www.london.g ov.uk/mayor/housing /empty_housing/em pty_property_bull.pd f	2317 properties? (2001)		London 2005 – 9600 2004 - 9000 2003 - 10,000	England 2005 – 48600 2004 – 57,500 2003 - 62600	Not identified				
56	Unfit Homes per 1000 dwellings (2002) (AMR)	52	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified					No comparator data identified
57	% of local authority homes which are recorded as 'non decent' BVPI84a	37.7	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	BVPI target - 53.3		Ealing performs well above BVPI target		No comparator data identified
58	Households lacking basic amenities (2001 Census)	8.3%	Not identified	8.5% (London)	8.8% (England)	None identified	N/A			
59	Homelessness/Tem porary Accommodation/Ro ugh Sleepers http://www.odpm.go v.uk/stellent/groups/ odpm_housing/docu ments/downloadable /odpm_house_0389 70.xls	Data not identified	Not identified	31,530 (London) 2003/4	137,230 2003/4	Not identified	N/A			No Ealing data identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
60	% of residents satisfied with the LA cultural services: Sports/leisure facilities	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A		Need to provide a better quality of cultural services for residents in the borough	No Ealing data identified. Difficult to quantify satisfaction
61	% of residents satisfied with LA Cultural services: Parks and Open Spaces	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A			No Ealing data identified. Difficult to quantify satisfaction
62	Residents satisfaction with Town Centres (Ealing Residents Panel Survey 2002)	Ealing – 66% Southall – 46% Acton – 49% Greenford – 50% Hanwell – 35% Northolt – 37%	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	Difficult to determine without comparati ve data (either overtime or regionally)		Difficult to quantify satisfaction
64	Modes of travel used by Ealing Residents to visit nearest town centre (Annual Monitoring Report) Census Note also an environmental indicator	32% Bus 31% Car/Van 31% on foot 3% by tube 2% by bike 1% by train	Not identified	Bus 11.1 Car/Van 33.5 Tube 18.8 Bike 2.3 Train 12.2 Motorcycle/scoote r/moped 1.4 Passenger in car of van 2.5 Taxi / Minicab 0.7 On foot 8.4 .Other 0.4	Bus 7.5 Car/Van 54.9 Tube 32 Bike 2.8 Train 4.2 Motorcycle/scooter/ moped 1.1 Passenger in car of van 6.1 Taxi / Minicab0.5 On foot10 Other 0.5 (England)	By 2010, increase the use of public transport (bus and light rail) by more than 12% in England compared with 2000 levels, with growth in every region. (DfT PSA 3)	N/A	Whilst car use is still high in Ealing it is lower than the regional and national average. Walking is a much more popular mode when	Need to discourage car use. Reflect high levels of walking.	

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								compared with the London and national average.		
65	Mobility: (a) number of trips per person by mode. (b) distance travelled per person per year by broad trip purpose	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	This indicator is identified in the UK Sustainable Development Strategy	None identified	N/A			No Ealing data identified
66	% of people surveyed who think that their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds can live together harmoniously Quality of life indicator 3 (year?)	71	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A	Difficult to determine without comparati ve data (either overtime or regionally)		No regional or national comparator identified
67	Community engagement – member of a)ECN b) BME forum c) Refugee Forum Quality of life indicator 7 (year?)	2002 a)230 b)no data c)7	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A	Difficult to determine without comparati ve data (either overtime or regionally)		No regional or national comparator identified
68	Number of people volunteering through the volunteer bureau	257	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A	Difficult to determine without comparati ve data		No regional or national comparator identified. Comparative

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								(either overtime or regionally)		data limited given the varying size of boroughs/author ities.
69	Community Identity – Level of participation in local elections Quality of life Indicator 5 http://www.ealing.go v.uk/council/lsp/full+ community+strategy. asp	34.1 (May 2002)	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A		Note level of participation - consider when preparing SCI	
70	% of adults surveyed (2002) who feel they can influence decisions in their local area a) individually b) by working together Quality of life indicator 4 http://www.ealing.go v.uk/council/lsp/full+ community+strategy.	a) 32 b) 64	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A	Difficult to determine without comparati ve data (either overtime or regionally)		No regional or national comparator identified
	asp Environmental Indica	itors				l				
118	Number of kilograms of household waste collected per head	04/05 – 444.91	02/03–465 03/04–424.6	London top 25% 02/03 – 441	Not available	03/04-450 04/05-450 05/06-450	Waste generation is increasing, although the rate of generation			

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
							achieves BV targets			
71	Total tonnage of waste recycled (municipal)	04/05-20137 (Estimate)	02/03-15789 03/04-15838	Not available	Not available	03/04-20000 04/05-26200 05/06-40500 Target: Reduce the quantity of waste going to final disposal by around 20% by 2010 compared to 2000, and in the order of 50% by 2050 (EU 6 th Environmental Action Plan 2001) Target: By 2010, the amount of biodegradable municipal waste going to landfill must be reduced to 75% of the total produced in 1995. By 2013, the amount must be reduced to	The total tonnage of waste being recycled in Ealing is increasing, although the rate of recycling is still below national targets. Waste generation is also increasing.		Provision for the treatment of both municipal and non- municipal waste needs to be made. Need to identify potential sites to accommodat e new waste facilities in the borough. Convert existing transfer sites to recycling facilities.	This data relates to municipal waste only. Data for non- municipal waste streams is incomplete and less reliable. Data for these other waste streams is currently being investigated.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
						50% of the 1995 total, and by 2020, to 35% (EU Landfill Directive 1999).				
72	% of the total tonnage of waste arising which have been recycled (BV082a)	04/05-12.14% (Estimate)	02/03-9.26% 03/04- 10.95%	02/03 London top 25% - 11%	Not identified	04/05-20% 05/06-20%	The total tonnage of waste being recycled in Ealing is increasing, although the rate of recycling is still below national targets		Recognise need to deal with both municipal and non- municipal waste streams	
73	CO2 emissions by end user	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Kyoto Protocol target – reduce UK greenhouse gas emissions by 12.5% below base levels over the period 2008- 12. National Target – reduce carbon dioxide emissions by 20% below 1990 levels by 2010. UK	N/Ă	No data to determine		No Ealing data identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
						Government (2003) target – reduce carbon dioxide emissions by some 60% by about 2050.				
74	CO2 emissions by sector (1999) (Millions of tonnes of CO ²)	Data not identified	Not identified	Transport – 8.6 Domestic – 18.0 Industrial – 2.9 Commercial – 11.9 Total 41.5 (1999 London)	Not identified	The Mayor's Energy Strategy states that London should reduce its emissions of carbon dioxide by 2010, as a crucial first step on the long-term path to a 60 per cent reduction from the 2000 level of 2050.	N/A	No data to determine		No data available at the local level
75	Energy Efficiency – the average SAP rating of local authority owned dwellings (BV063)	03/04-58	02/03-53	02/03 London top 25% - 60	Not identified	BV targets 03/04-55 04/05-62 05/06-63 UK to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 12.5% below 1990 levels by 2008-12 and national goal 20% reduction in CO2	The SAP rating for local authority owned dwellings has improved overtime.		Reduce contributions to climate change. Tackle fuel poverty. Introduce and apply assessment methods (eg BREEAM & Eco-Homes), with the aim of achieving greater	Note limitations of data. Data is for local authority owned dwellings only, which comprise a relatively small percentage of total stock.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
						emissions below 1990 levels by 2010 (Kyoto Protocol 1997). The Government is committed to raising the average energy efficiency of domestic homes by a fifth by 2010 compared to 2000. Various targets have also been set for local authorities managing their own estates.			energy efficiency in new development s.	
76	Proportion of energy supplied from renewable sources	Data not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	The Energy White Paper (2003) seeks to double renewable's share of the UK electricity supply from the 2010 target of 10% to 20% by 2020. Both the		No data to determine	Reduce contributions to climate change.	No Ealing data identified (see indicator 77 below)

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
						Mayor's Energy Strategy and the UDP expect major development to generate at least 10% of their energy needs from renewable sources.				
77	The number of applications where equipment for renewable power generation has been secured (Annual Monitoring Report)	1 application recorded for Acton High School where 5.8% of their energy requirements are to be met through on site renewable equipment (2004/05 AMR)	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	The Energy White Paper (2003) seeks to double renewables share of the UK electricity supply from the 2010 target of 10% to 20% by 2020. Both the Mayor's Energy Strategy and the UDP expect major development to generate at least 10% of their energy needs from renewable sources. The Mayor's	The number of applications incorporatin g renewables is likely to increase as more experience is gained in applying the UDP policy.		Reduce contributions to climate change.	No comparative data identified at a regional or national level.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
						Energy Strategy also expects there to be at least one RE scheme in every borough by 2010.				
78	% of new homes built on previously developed land (BVPI)	04/05-99%	02/03-100% 03/04-100%	Best London Quartile (02/03) – 100%	Not identified	BVPI target 03/04-80% 04/05-80% 05/06-80% The national target is that by 2008, 60% of additional housing should be provided on previously developed land and through conversions of existing buildings. Each region will propose its own recycling target to be set in RPG, which should contribute to achieving the national target (Source: PPG3/Urban	Note slight decline between 03/04 and 04/05	Ealing has performed well above the BVPI target		

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
79	Dwelling density – recent developments Source: LHCS 2004/ODPM	63 dw/ha	Not identified	Outer London – 45 dw/ha Inner London – 91 dw/ha	Not identified	White Paper). National target 30 dw/ha minimum (PPS 3)	N/A	For recent developm ents dwelling densities for Ealing are above outer London averages.		
80	The number of days when air pollution is moderate or high	04/05-10	98/99-17 99/00-17 00/01-? 01/02-14 02/03-28 03/04-19 The whole borough is declared an air quality managemen t area. Areas particularly affected include: along the A406 (North Circular Road), the A4005 (Hanger Lane), the A312 (The Parkway),	98/99-? 99/00-? 00/01-8 01/02-7 02/03-14 03/04-19 04/05-6 No regional average is available. As a comparator, data for Hillingdon Site 1 is given.	Not identified	The Air Quality Strategy 2000 for England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland sets targets for nine key pollutants for the protection of human health and two targets for ecosystem protection.				Ealing data taken from Ealing Mon. Site 2. DEFRA data not directly comparable as collected on the basis of calendar years as opposed to financial years

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area) A4000	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
			(Wales Farm Road).							
81	% of borough exposed to noise levels above 60dB(A) (year?) http://www.noisema pping.org/docs/Lond onRoadTrafficNoise Map.doc	Day - 17 Night - 4	Day - 16 Night - 5	Not identified	Not identified	None identified		Minimise impact of Heathrow. Discourag e further growth which may result in an increase in flights over the borough.		
82	Number of noise nuisance complaints received 2004 – 2005 (LBE Environmental Health) Number of noise nuisance complaints received 2003 / 2004 http://www.cieh.org/r esearch/stats/noise0 3.htm	Aircraft - 3 Road -15 Rail - nil Commercial noise -363 Alarms - 123 Domestic noise - 1477 Noise insulation -6	Not identified	Not identified	England Industrial - 9,903 Commercial / leisure - 42,431 Domestic - 211,121 Construction / Demolition - 12,022 Vehicles & machinery - 12,500 All Categories - 287,977	Target - to achieve a reduction in the number of people regularly affected by long-term high levels of noise from an estimated 100 million people in the year 2000, by 10% in 2010 and by 20% in 2020 (EU 6 th Environmental Action Plan 842001).	N/A	Through location and design based policies, it should be possible to minimise noise problems.		The local and regional data is not directly comparable – different categories have been used to record complaints
84	Area of Protected Open Space	Total hectares – 1701ha	Not identified	Comparator borough data - %	Not identified	None identified	N/A			Data is over 10 years old.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	(includes Green Belt, MOL and other protected sites) (LPAC 1994)	% of total area of the Borough – 30.7% Within the adopted UDP there are currently: 3 Heritage Land sites 12 Green Corridors 7 Green Belt sites 12 Metropolitan Open Land sites 115 Pubic Open Spaces 84 Community Open Space		of total area Brent – 15% Enfield – 38.2% Harrow – 25.7% Hounslow – 34.0% London average – 41.5%						These figures are however unlikely to have changed significantly over this period, given the protected status of these sites.
85	Gains or loss in open space (ha) (loss of designated open space to development) (Annual Monitoring Report – Permissions and Completions data)	Completions – 0 Permissions – 0 Note however loss of 750 sq. m. of non-designated open space to residential.	Not identified. Future data will be recorded in the AMR.	Not identified	Not identified	None identified, although noted that locally would seek to minimise loss open space. See also indicator 78 '% of development on brownfield land'.	N/A	Need to minimise loss of open space.		No regional or national data identified
86	Gains or loss of open space designated for its nature conservation value (Annual Monitoring Report – Permissions and	1 application recorded for development on a site forming part of a Nature Conservation Management	Not identified. Future data will be recorded in AMR.	Not identified	Not identified	None identified, although noted that locally would seek to minimise loss open	N/A	Without comparati ve data difficult to determine.		No regional or national data identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	Completions data (2005))	Area. The approved development did not however comprise built development. There are currently 75 nature conservation sites in the borough and 5 Nature Conservation Management Areas listed in the UDP.				space/biodiver sity.				
83	Satisfaction with open space in the borough (BVPI Report 2004/05)	67% of residents are satisfied with the borough's open space. In respect of the quality of the Green Space, during 2004/05 the Council achieved Green Flag awards for both Southall Park and Acton Park.	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Government target - to deliver cleaner, safer, greener public spaces, to improve the quality of the built environment in deprived areas and across the country with measurable improvement by 2008.				No regional or national data is identified. Satisfaction difficult to quantify.
97	 Biodiversity (a) Priority Species status (b) Priority habitat 	Priority species VASCULAR PLANTS 1. Dyer's	Not identified	Priority species - 20 vascular plants	Not identified	EU Sustainable Development Strategy	N/A	There are a considera ble		No comparative data. Qualitative data only.

No		Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	status http://www.lbp.org.u k/cgi- bin/lbp/audit/find- species.pl?borough= Priority- SCC&nav=bird	greenweed 2. Bluebell 3. Marsh marigold 4. Mistletoe 5. Black poplar 6. Broad-leaved helleborine 7. Narrow-leaved water-dropwort 8. Wild service tree 9. Pepper saxifrage 10. Wood anemone 11. Divided sedge 12. Honeysuckle 13. Opposite leaved golden saxifrage 14. Wood speedwell BUTTERFLIES 1. Green hairstreak 2. Purple hairstreak 3. White letter hairstreak 3. White letter hairstreak 3. Brown argus OTHER INVERTEBRATES 1. Crane fly - Ornosia bicornis 2. Fungus gnat - Megaphalmidia				committed the EU to halting the rate of biodiversity loss by 2010.		number of Priority species and habitats in the borough which should be protected.		

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		crassicornis 3. Fly - Poegilobothus dulcatus								
		REPTILES 1. Grass snake 2. Common lizard								
		AMPHIBIANS 1. Great crested newt								
		MAMMALS 1. Hedgehog 2. Water vole 3. Pipistrelle bat								
		FUNGI 1. Antrodia pseudosinuosa 2. Orbilia fimicoloides 3. Aniptodera fusiformis 4. Sporodesmium ontariense 5. Coronicium alboglaucum 6. Hemimycena epichloe 7. Rhodocybe gemina								
		PRIORITY HABITATS Ancient								

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		woodlands - Horsenden Hill Wood - Perivale Wood - Long Wood - Fox Wood Old, unimproved pastures - Horsenden Hill fields (various) - Perivale Wood pastures - Northolt Manor Fields - Islip Manor - Yeading Brook Fields River Brent - selected parts								
98	Bird Populations	BIRDS 1. Bullfinch 2. Linnet 3. Song thrush 4. Spotted flycatcher 5. Black redstart 6. Lesser whitethroat 7. Mute swan 8. Tawny owl 9. Lesser spotted woodpecker Data not yet identified	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			Data yet to be identified for Ealing. It would be useful to monitor changes in bird populations overtime.
117	Public Transport	PTAL levels are	Not	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	Accessibility Levels (PTAL)	clearly highest around the town centres and particularly in those centres to the east of the borough, notably Ealing and Acton. Conversely levels are low in the west of the borough, particularly in Greenford, Perivale and Northolt (excluding their respective town centres).	identified							
89	Road Traffic	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Related Target: Alternative fuels, including bio fuels, should account for at least 7% of fuel consumption for cars and trucks by 2010, and at least 20% by 2020 (European SD Strategy 2001).				No Ealing data identified
90	Traffic Flow	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	No data to determine issue		No Ealing data identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
91	Mode of transport to work (Census)	Working from home – 8.5% Tube – 23.4% Train – 4.8% Bus or coach – 10.7% Powered 2- wheeler – 1.1% Car or van driver or passenger – 41.8% Cycle – 2.2% Walk – 6.8% Other incl. Taxi and minicab – 0.4% (2001)	3.9% 22.0% 2.8% 11.5% 1.0% 45.8% 1.9% 8.3% 0.3% (1991)	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	There has been a fall in the proportion of residents travelling to work by car, although this is not the same as a fall in traffic volumes because of the rise in population over the same period. The proportion travelling to work by public transport has also risen for this same period.	Despite the large number travelling by car, a large percentag e of Ealing's household s do not have access to a car.		No regional or national comparator identified
92	Average Trip Length (London Area Transport Survey 1991 & 2001)	Not yet identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	No data to determine issue		Data still to be identified
119	Amount of Section 106 funding secured for transport improvements (AMR 2004/05)	There were 25 sealed legal agreements, raising £5,187,000 in planning benefits in 2004/05. Nearly a	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified				

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		third (31.3%) of this total was secured for transport works – the largest share of the total.								
93	Car Ownership (%) (Office for National Statistics – Census 2001)	EALING No access to car / van 31.7 Access to 1 car / van 46 Access to 2 cars / vans 18.4 Access to 3 cars / vans 3.2 Access to 4 or more cars / vans 0.4 All the cars in the area 112907 Av number of cars / vans per household 1	% with no car (wards) Highest %: South Acton 46,7% East Acton 38.6% Acton Central 37.9% Lowest %: Lady Margaret 20.4% North Greenford 21.7% Perivale 22.8%	OUTER LONDON No access to car / van 28.6 Access to 1 car / van 45.6 Access to 2 cars / vans 20.8 Access to 3 cars / vans 4 Access to 4 or more cars / vans 1.1 All the cars in the area 1865171 Av number of cars / vans per household 1.0	ENGLAND No access to car / van 26.84 Access to 1 car / van 43.69 Access to 2 cars / vans 23.56 Access to 3 cars / vans 4.52 Access to 4 or more cars / vans 1.39 All the cars in the area 22607629 Av number of cars / vans per household 1.1	Not identified	N/A	Car ownership as a percentag e of the population is lower than for London as a whole (37.5%), but higher than the average for outer London and England as a whole. There are substantial difference s between ownership levels throughout the borough	There are large variations in car ownership across the borough and this variation should be targeted.	
94	Percentage of main rivers and canals recorded as good or	100%	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	Enhance status and prevent further	N/A	Need to protect and		No regional or national data identified as a

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	fair quality (a) biological (b) chemical quality (see Environment Agency General Quality Assessment)					deterioration of aquatic ecosystems and associated wetlands. Requirement for nearly all inland and coastal waters to achieve 'good status' by 2015. Annual targets will be reported in River Basin Management Plans and programmes of measures. (EU Water Framework Directive 2000).		enhance the quality of waterways in the borough.		comparator
95	Flooding (indicator to be specified)	No data identified	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	N/A			No Ealing data identified
88	Water consumption 2003 (litres per person) www.sustainable- development.gov.uk/ performance/16.htm	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	154	Not identified			Promote reduction in water consumption. Encourage reuse and recycling.	No data for Ealing identified
87	Number of conservation areas in the borough	29	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	Possibly monitor change in			Comparative data unlikely to be useful given

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
							area of conservation areas.			the varying size of boroughs/author ities.
99	Local and strategic viewpoints and views (UDP)	19 viewpoints 7 views 13 landmarks	Not identified	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			No comparative data available overtime. It would be useful to monitor changes overtime
100	Number of Archaeology Priority Areas (UDP)	8 in Acton 9 in Ealing 2 in Hanwell 7 in Northolt and Perivale 4 in Southall	Not identified	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			No comparative data available overtime. It will be useful to monitor changes overtime.
101	Buildings at Risk (Statutory Listed Buildings) (English Heritage 2005)	2005 – 11 entries	Not identified	2005 - 581 entries in London % of listed entries at risk in London 1999 – 5.5% 2005 – 4.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A			It will be useful to monitor if the number of entries change overtime.
	Economic Indicators			· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·	T	Ι			
103	New Firms: Registrations – Enterprise: VAT registrations per 10,000 adults	2003 – 53.8	2001 – 46.4 2002 – 47.2	2001 - 56.8 2002 - 56.3 2003 - 61.6	2001 - 37.5 2002 - 38.9 2003 - 41.8	N/A	New business registrations in Ealing have generally been	The rate of business growth in Ealing has been consistentl y above		
				(Data for London)	2000 110		increasing during the mid to late 1990's but have been falling since	that for West London, London and the UK.		

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
							2000. With the exception of the year 2001, the rate of business growth in Ealing has been consistently above that of West London since 1996, which in turn has also been above that for London and the UK during the same period.	Accommo dating this business growth will be a key challenge, as will providing a suitable environme nt to attract further inward investment		
104	% of all VAT registered business stocks by industrial sector, 2002 (Ealing in Figures)	 Agriculture/Fishing – 0.1 Mining/Energy/Water – 0.1 Manuf. – 7.7 Construction – 7.3 Whole/Retail Trade/Repair – 25 Hotels & Restaurant – 5.4 Transport & 		 Agriculture/Fishing – 0.3 Mining/Energy/Water – 0 Manuf. – 6.7 Construction – 6.6 Whole/Retail Trade/Repair – 23.6 Hotels & Restaurant – 5.7 Transport & 	 Agriculture/Fish ing – 7.4 Mining/Energy/ Water – 0.1 Manuf. – 8.8 Construction – 10.6 Whole/Retail Trade/Repair – 21.7 Hotels & Restaurant – 6.8 Transport & 		Ealing's manufacturi ng and retail and wholesale sectors are slightly larger than those for London and West London. The Business	Ealing's manufactu ring and retail and wholesale sectors are slightly larger than those for London and West London. The Business		No comparative data available overtime for Ealing.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		Comms – 5.1 Finance – 0.5 Business Service – 35.8 Public Admin/Other – 11.9 Education/He alth – 1.2		Comms – 3.8 Finance – 2.1 Business Service – 40.5 Public Admin/Other – 12.8 Education/He alth – 1.3 (London data)	Comms – 4.5 Finance – 0.9 Business Service – 28.9 Public Admin/Other – 8.8 Education/Healt h – 1.4 (GB data)		services sector in Ealing is much greater than that of GB, although it is smaller in comparison to West London and London.	services sector in Ealing is much greater than that of GB, although it is smaller in compariso n to West London and London.		
105	Employment by industrial sector (%), 2002 (Ealing in Figures – Data from Annual Business Inquiry)	 Agriculture/Fi shing - 0 Mining/Energ y/Water - 0 Manf 9.7 Construction - 6.5 Whole/Retail Trade/Repair - 21.7 Hotels & Restaurants - 6.1 Transport & Comms - 8.9 Finance - 1.2 Real Estate/Rentin g/Business Activites - 22 Public Admin/Defen ce/Social 		 Agriculture/Fi shing - 0.1 Mining/Energ y/Water - 0.3 Manf 6 Construction - 3.4 Whole/Retail Trade/Repair - 15.3 Hotels & Restaurants - 7.4 Transport & Comms - 7.8 Finance - 8.4 Real Estate/Rentin g/Busin. Act. - 23.6 Public Admin/Defen ce/Social 	 Agriculture/Fish ing – 0.9 Mining/Energy/ Water – 0.7 Manf. – 13.4 Construction – 4.5 Whole/Retail Trade/Repair – 18 Hotels & Restaurants – 6.7 Transport & Comms – 6.1 Finance – 4.3 Real Estate/Renting/ Business Activites – 15.3 Public Admin/Defence /Social Security 		The greatest proportion of employees in Ealing work in business services, followed by retail trade and wholesale. The proportion of people who work in Ealing in business services (22%) is a lot higher than for the country as a whole	The greatest proportion of employees in Ealing work in business services, followed by retail trade and wholesale. The proportion of people who work in Ealing in business services (22%) is a lot higher		No comparative data available overtime for Ealing.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		Security – 3.5 Education – 7.6 Health & Social work – 7.2 Other Community/S ocial Personal Services – 5.4		Security – 5.2 • Education – 7 • Health & Social work – 8.6 • Other Community/S ocial Personal Services – 7 (London data)	 - 5.2 Education - 8.7 Health & Social work - 10.9 Other Community/Social Personal Services - 5.3 (GB data) 		(15.3% for GB), and slightly higher than for West London (19.4%). Employment in retail trade and wholesale is relatively high for Ealing as a comparison with London and GB. Ealing's largest employers include the Council, Glaxo Wellcome, Ealing Hospital and M W Kellogg.	than for the country as a whole (15.3% for GB), and slightly higher than for West London (19.4%). Employme nt in retail trade and wholesale is relatively high for Ealing as a compariso n with London and GB. Ealing's largest employers include the Council, Glaxo Wellcome, Ealing Hospital and M W Kellogg.		
102	Organisations which support new and	Business Link for London,	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			No quantitative data available

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
	established businesses operating in Ealing	West London Business Forum, Gateway Enterprise, Action Acton, Southall Regeneration Partnership, Park Royal Partnership.								for this indicator.
106	Job density (jobs per resident) in the borough	0.7	0.9	0.8	Not identified	None identified		Ealing is below the UK and London Average.		No national comparator identified
107	Working Age Population (16 to 59/64 year old) (Draft Economic Development Strategy)	2003 – 184,100	1993 – 206,467 2013 – 221,425 (forecast)	Not identified	Not identified	None identified	Ealing has experienced a decline in its working age population between 1993 & 2003. Given the predicted growth in population the number of employees are also likely to increase	A good skills base will be essential to supporting existing businesse s and new inward investment		No national or regional comparator identified
108	Number of Employees (Draft EDS)	2003 – 115,060	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	None identified		A good skills base will be essential		No national or regional comparator identified

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
								to supporting existing businesse s and new inward investment		
109	Percentage of working age population who are economically active at the time of the 2001 census (Draft EDS)	2003 - 76.6%?	2001- 68%?	London 2001 – 68%	England 2003 – 76.6%	None identified	Ealing has experienced an increase in the percentage of population who are economicall y active between 2001 and 2003			Query Ealing data. Highly unlikely that it would increase so significantly between 2001 and 2003.
111	Inward Investment (EDS)	A total of 25 companies originating from overseas are registered with Think London as having set up in Ealing from April 1995 to the present date. The largest sector in terms of this investment was software. The biggest market investors were USA, closely followed by Japan	Not identified	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
112	Distribution of Industrial/Warehousi ng Floorspace in West London 2003 (EDS)	Ealing has both the greatest amount of factory floorspace (687 sq. m. or 25%) and the greatest amount of warehouse floorspace (1,550 sq. m. or 30.3%) of any borough in West London, culminating in a total floorspace of 2,237 sq. m. (28.5%)	N/A	Not identified	N/A	N/A	Within West London Ealing has experienced the largest increase in warehouse floorspace and the largest decrease in factory floorspace.	Ealing has a substantial supply of factory and warehous e floorspace		
113	Change in Employment Floorspace – Permissions & Completions data (2004/05) (Annual Monitoring Report)	Permissions Net loss of over 50,000 sq.m. of floorspace for B2 & B8. B1 uses experienced an increase of 12,000 sq. m. Completions Net loss of 26,130 of B1 floorspace & 19,860 sq. m. of B8 floorspace. B2 floorspace experienced an increase of 7,623 sq. m.	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	N/A	Not identified			
114	Vacancy Rates of Major Employment Locations (March – May 05)	175,108 sq. m. of industrial & warehousing premises are	165,607 sq. m. (2002)	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	Whilst there has been an increase in vacant	Without comparati ve data it is difficult		No national or regional comparator identified.

No.	Indicator (Source)	Quantified Ealing data/local performance	Local performanc e comparator (overtime or by area)	Regional Comparator	National Comparator	Identified targets	Trend	Issue identified	Action/Issue s for Plan/SA	Gaps/Reliabilit y of data
		vacant, representing 7.8% of total stock (2005).					premises since 2002, it is not considered excessive.	to determine.		
115	Vacancy Rate (December 2003) (GLA (EDS))	6.8%	Not identified	6.3% (West London) 8.3 (London)	Not identified			The vacancy rate in Ealing is marginally higher than the West London average, but substantial ly lower than the London average.		
116	Vacancy rate of retail units within the town centres (Ealing Town Centre Health Checks 2004)	Acton – 11% Ealing – 5% Greenford – 4% Hanwell – 13% Southall – 4% Northolt – 2% Park Royal – 8% (data for 2004)	Acton – 13% Ealing – 4% Grf'd – 4% Han.I– 18% South. – 4% North. – 2% Pk RI – 0% (data for 2000)	N/A	N/A	Not identified	Since 2000 vacancy rates have increased for Ealing and Park Royal Town Centres. Vacancy rates have decreased at Hanwell and Acton			
117	ICT funding (£) in schools (2004/5)	£2,488,000.00	Not identified	Not identified	Not identified	N/A	No data available to determine trends.			