# West Ealing Centre Neighbourhood Forum

# WECNF Management Committee Meeting Minutes

**Date/Time:** Wednesday, 9<sup>th</sup> November 2016 at 7.00pm **Venue:** Welshore Community Hub, 99 Broadway, West Ealing, W13 9BP

Present: Eric Leach (Chair) Arthur Breens John Cowing Efie Drivyla Will Howe Nigel Presky Dave Randles Paul Conlan (Cllr Walpole ward) Brendan O'Neill (rCOH Ltd) Apologies: Tony Burton Suzanne Fernandes Geoff & Gita Langston Anthony Warwick-Ching

#### Minutes of Previous Meeting

Minutes for the previous committee meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> July 2016 were agreed. These minutes are available for view on WECNF's website

#### Draft Neighbourhood Plan: Regulation 14, Pre-submission Consultation

The chairman reported that the extended pre-submission consultation period which commenced on 5<sup>th</sup> August 2016 ended on 31 October 2016. A total of 16 contributors provided written feedback and details have already been circulated to all WECNF Cttee members.

Brendan O'Neill from rCOH Ltd summarised the feedback received and identified 3 main issues:

- 1) Policy 7: Proposed changes to Dean Gardens
- 2) Policy 10 & 13: Parking policy within the Town Centre
- 3) Policy 14: Inclusion of heritage local listings within the Neighbourhood Plan

Once agreed amendments have been made to the pre-submission plan, a final submission plan will be submitted to the Local Authority. This must be accompanied by a written Conditions Statement (rCOH to write) and a Community Consultation Statement (WECNF to write). The Cttee agreed to arrange a further meeting with LBE in an attempt to resolve any outstanding issues and any remaining points of disagreement will then be referred to the independent examiner once he or she has been appointed. If possible, the final submission plan will be submitted before Christmas 2016 although this timescale will be dependent on the meeting availability of the local authority.

### **Detailed Feedback**

The Cttee then considered line by line all the detailed feedback that had been received during the consultation period and decided whether amendments should be made to the presubmission draft. Consultants rCOH Ltd will provide the Cttee with a detailed written feedback report but, in the meantime, the Cttee were reminded that no new policies can now be added to the plan. The Cttee reserved judgement on some of the more contentious feedback received pending further discussion at a meeting with the Local Authority.

Further details of the feedback received and the decisions taken by the Cttee can be found on the document attached to these minutes cross referenced by contributor/item number but a summary of the decisions taken by the Cttee is shown below:

Affinity Sutton Group	Items 1(a)–1(d)	Noted by Cttee
BDP Consultancy	Items 2(a)–2(d)	Noted by Cttee
Cushman & Wakefield	Items 3(a)-3(c), 3(e)	Noted by Cttee
Cushman & Wakefield	Item 3(d)	rCOH to respond in feedback report
Dron & Wright	Item 4(a)	Noted by Cttee
Dron & Wright	Item 4(b)–4(c)	rCOH to respond in feedback Report
Peter Eversden MBE	Items 5(a)–5(d)	Rejected – Cannot amend Local Authority wording
Peter Eversden MBE	Item 5(e)	Rejected – not necessary
Peter Eversden MBE	Items 5(f)–5(g)	Rejected – cannot add high level changes to plan
Peter Eversden MBE	Item 5(h)	Noted – no assets of Community Value Identified
Peter Eversden MBE	Item 5(i)	Rejected – LBE existing policy
James Guest	Item 6(a)	Rejected – not necessary
James Guest	ltem 6(b)	Noted – rCOH to reconsider Plan wording taking into account new Sui Generis planning categories
James Guest	Item 6(c)–6(d)	Rejected – cannot add new Policy
Highways England Ltd	Items 7(a)–7(b)	Contents noted by Cttee
Historic England	Item 8(a)	Rejected – not necessary
Historic England	Item 8(b)	Rejected – not necessary but remove duplication in Para 2.7

Historic England	Item 8(c)	Rejected – not necessary
Historic England	Item 8(d)	Accepted – add shop front Improvements to CIL list
Kevin Raftery	Item 9(a)	Rejected – cannot add new policy
Kevin Raftery	Item 9(b)	Rejected – not necessary. (Dean Gardens Policy 7 also deals with safety)
LBE	Item 10(a)	Noted - discuss with LBE
LBE	Item 10(b)	Rejected – not necessary
LBE	Item 10(c)	Rejected – Vision statement only Evidence not required
LBE	Item 10(d)	Rejected – Vision statement only Evidence not required
LBE	Item 10(e)	Accepted – delete word 'local'
LBE	Item 10(f )-10(g)	Rejected – not necessary
LBE	Item 10(h)	Noted – discuss with LBE
LBE	Item 10(i)–10(j)	Rejected – not necessary
LBE	Item 10(k)–10(l)	Noted by Cttee
LBE	Item 10(m)	Rejected – LBE misinterpreted Plan
LBE	Item 10(n)	Noted by Cttee
LBE	Items 10(o)-10(q)	Noted – Possible discussion with LBE
LBE	Items 10(r)	Rejected – disagree: discuss with LBE
LBE	Items 10(s)–10(u)	Noted by Cttee
LBE	Items 10(v)	Accepted by Cttee. Amend Plan
LBE	Item 10(w)	Rejected – not necessary
LBE	Item 10(x)	Noted by Cttee
LBE	Item 10(y)	Noted: Discuss with LBE
LBE	Item 10(z)	Noted by Cttee
LBE	Item 10(aa)–10(ab)	Noted: Discuss with LBE
LBE	Item 10(ac)	Noted by Cttee
LBE	Item 10(ad)	Noted: Discuss with LBE
LBE	Item 10(ae)	Rejected – policy clear
LBE	Item 10(af)	Rejected – no need to conform

LBE	Item 10(ag)	Rejected - disagree with LBE
LBE	Item 10(ah)	Rejected – Does not need to conform with DPD
LBE	Item 10(ai)	Accepted – include cycle links. Amend Plan
Natural England	Item 11	Noted by Cttee
Network Rail	Item 12	Noted by Cttee
Savills (UK) Ltd	Item 13	Noted by Cttee
Theatres Trust	Item 14(a)	Noted by Cttee
Theatres Trust	Item 14(b)-14(c)	Rejected – cannot be mandatory requirement
TFL Planning	Item 15(a)	Noted – discussion with LBE
TFL Planning	Item 15(b)-15(d)	Noted by Cttee
TFL Property	Item 16(a)-16(b)	Noted by Cttee

## <u>A.O.B</u>

- Chairman & rCoh to consider requirements for CIL (Community Infrastructure Levy NP Para 6.4). Do we need to hold a dedicated CIL meeting or can opinions be collated through social media?
- The Cttee agreed to hold a social event before Christmas and Arthur Breens kindly offered to host the event once more. Possible dates are either Monday, 12<sup>th</sup> December or Thursday 15 December.

The chairman thanked everyone for their attendance and the meting closed at 2055.